

# बीर सेवा मन्दिर दिल्ली

★

क्रम संख्या

वान न०

वर्ष

# Orical Quarterly

ED BY

W, M.A., B.L., F.R.S., PH.D.  
ages, Super royal, 8vo  
re, Religion, Philosophy, Folk-lore,  
raphy, Geography, Lithology etc  
an Rs 8 12 Foreign 14s

OPINIONS

*Royal Asiatic Society* The Quarterly more and more learned You deserve focussing, so many eminent writers in all Oriental Journals, and about India

*of Sanskrit Literature* (Oxford 1928) are due to the editor Dr Narendra Quarterly in which there has already "on a wide range of topics"

**Prof. S. K. Meilakar** of Ionna—I regret very much that I delivered so long subscribing for your excellent Quarterly It is a journal that few oriental students can afford to be without

**Dr. M. Walleiser**—I am quite astonished at the variety and quantity of information contained in it your I H Quarterly stands in the foremost rank of all Oriental Journals

**Prof. E. W. Hopkins** of Conn—I am much pleased with its appearance and contents of so useful a periodical

**Prof. L. Finot** read with an undecreasing interest your excellent Quarterly of which every number affords so much for learning and thinking

**Prof. M. Winternitz**—It is full of highly interesting and important matter and keeps up a high standard of scholarship It promises to become a great help to all students of Indian History

**Prof. H. Jacob**—I have perused your Quarterly with great interest I may have occasion to contribute to it now and then

**Prof. J. Jolly** of Germany—I entertain a very high opinion of the Quarterly

**Prof. Jules Bloch**—It is very interesting indeed and various and important matters are discussed in it

**Prof. Louis de La Vallée Poussin**—It is a great pleasure to read your excellent Quarterly

**Dr. Otto Schrader**—Your very promising Indian Historical Quarterly looks like becoming so far as Indian subjects are concerned a most respectable rival of the *JRAS*, of Great Britain and Ireland

**Dr. Otto Strauss**—I am very well pleased with your Journal and I hope it will be as interesting and successful as the bygone years

**Prof. J. Przyluski**—L'intérêt des arts et des lettres dans votre revue ne m'a pas échappé C'est une publication de première ordre très variée est fort instructive

**Dr. E. J. Thomas**—The Indian Historical Quarterly has always attracted me as being one of the most interesting Oriental Journals and I realise how much this depends on wise editing I think you are doing a great work in helping and stimulating oriental scholarship in India

**Prof. Sylvain Lévi**—Congratulate you on the truly 'national' work you are doing for Mother India Your Quarterly is the best evidence of the wonderful progress accomplished by Indian scholars in these last years a progress that I followed with a sincere joy

THE CALCUTTA ORIENTAL PRESS LTD

9 Panchanan Ghose Lane Calcutta

## NOTICE

The *Indian Historical Quarterly* closes its 18th year with the publication of this issue. Copies of the 1<sup>st</sup> of the 19th volume of the *Quarterly* will be sent to our subscribers in due course per V. L. P. unless we are instructed beforehand to act otherwise.

It has been our sad experience that some of our subscribers by not acting up to this instruction have caused us loss, for the refusal of each V. L. P. packet means to us a loss of postage of about 7/6 besides the copy of the *Quarterly* which is retained by the Post Office in such a condition that we have to reject it altogether. We therefore repeat our request to those who do not wish to continue as subscribers to kindly inform us a card to the effect before the 15th March, 1943.

In this connection we would like to draw the attention of our subscribers to the inland Postal rules quoted below regarding the retention of V. L. P. packets at the Post Office.

"If, however, you desire that the article may be retained in the Post Office for a further period exceeding three days but not exceeding ten days, you should submit a written application bearing postage stamps affixed thereto at the rate of two annas per day or part of a day.

Managers,

INDIAN HISTORICAL QUARTERLY

# RGVEDA SAMHITA

With Rgārthadīpikā of Venkata Mādhava—a hitherto unpublished and Pre-Śāyana commentary with critical apparatus edited by Dr. LAHSHMAN SARUP, M.A., D.Phil., Officer & Academic Head of the Sanskrit Department of the Panjab, Lahore

Venkata offers a scientific explanation of the most obscure passages of the Rgveda which mostly differs from the explanation given by the other commentators and thus he reveals the truth that has been hidden for centuries before. This revelation will revolutionise the traditional concept of the Indian civilisation in the eyes of the world and will establish for ever the foremost position of the Vedic civilisation in the World History. In addition to top notes a peculiar feature of this edition are the critical and comparative footnotes. Critical notes are based on the collation of MSS. and record even their minutest differences. Comparative notes are derived from the utilization of commentaries of Sayana, Skanda, Yaska, Brihmanis etc. All cases in which Sayana, Skanda or Yaska etc. differ from Venkata or give additional meanings are recorded in the footnotes together with different or additional meanings as the case may be. In this manner all the different interpretations of all Rgvedic words available from all sources are brought together in one place. *Highly appreciated by the Indologists of the World.* The book is being printed in one of the finest presses in India. The whole book will be completed in six big vols. Volumes I and II are ready for sale. Price of each volume is fixed at Rs. 40. Very limited number of copies are being printed. Book your order at once.

## OUR OTHER NEW PUBLICATIONS

**Introduction to Prakrit** by Dr. A. C. Jain. **Iconography** by Prof. B. C. Woolner. 3rd revised edition. Rs. 8. **Bhattacharya**, A pioneer book on the subject of images of Gods and Goddesses. **Indian Epistemology** by Dr. T. W. Prasad. M.A., Ph.D. It represents the first systematic attempt to determine the origin and development of epistemological thought in Indian Philosophy on the basis of the original Sanskrit texts. Profusely illustrated. Rs. 12.

**Creative India** by Prof. Benoy Kumar Saha. It unfolds the panorama of India's culture down the ages. It is a brilliant and comprehensive record of India's contribution to world civilization. Rs. 15. **History of Hindu Mathematics** by Drs. B. Datta and A. Singh. Vol. I: Numerical Notation & Arithmetic. Rs. 6. Vol. II: History of Algebra. Rs. 7/8. **Rigveda Pratishakyha** English translation of the text with additional notes.

**Practical Lessons in Yoga** by Swami Sivananda Saraswati. Fully illustrated. Rs. 4. **Manjula Devi Sastri** M.A. Rs. 15.

**Imperial History of India** by Dr. K. P. Jayswal (700 B.C. to 770 A.D.). Rs. 8. **Kicakavadh** of Nitivarman with commentary of Jinardana edited by Dr. De. Rs. 4. **Padyavali** of Rupagoswamin critically edited by Dr. De. Rs. 5.

**History of India (150 A.D. to 350 A.D.)** by Dr. Jayswal. Rs. 10. **Indian Antiquary Journal** of Indian Ancient Indian Colonies in the Far East by Dr. R. C. Majumdar. Vol. I. Rs. 15. Research Book Vols. available with prices on application.

Besides above we can supply any book on Indian Antiquity at the shortest notice to any part of the world.

**MOTI LAL BANARSI DASS**  
ORIENTAL BOOKSELLERS & PUBLISHERS, SAIDMITHA, LAHORE.

# POONA ORIENTAL SERIES

PUBLISHERS

## THE ORIENTAL BOOK AGENCY

15, Shukrawar, Poona 2 (INDIA)

LATEST PUBLICATIONS 1940-41

- No. 43. NĀMALINGĀNUŚĀSANAM with the *Comm. Amarakośodghātana of Bhaṭṭa Kṣīrasaṃin* ( नामलिङ्गानुशासनम् ) अक्षोरखामिप्रणीतेनामरकोशोद्धाटनेन सहितम् ) Critically ed with introduction, English Equivalents in the margin and various Indices. Almost all unverified quotations have been verified By Drs Har Dutt Sharma & N. G. Sardesai. 1941. Demy. Pp. xv, 536. Rs. 5.
- No. 69. NĀMALINGĀNUŚĀSANAM ( नामलिङ्गानुशासनम् ) A Sanskrit-Dictionary in 3 Chapters by Amara Simha Critically edited with preface, *English equivalents for each word in the margin and English Index* by Dr N. G. Sardesai and D. G. Padhye. Cr. Pp 4, 195. Rs. 1-8.
- No 70. BRAHMASŪTRA-CATUḤSOTRI ( ब्रह्मसूत्र-चतु.सूत्रो ) The first four Aphorisms of Brahmasūtras along with Sankarācārya's Commentary with English trans., exhaustive Notes with extracts from the Commentaries, such as, Bhāmati, Sābarabhāṣya and Index by Dr Har Dutt Sharma Cr. Pp. 2, 176. Rs. 2.
- No 71. CĀṆAKYA-NĪTI-VYAVAHARA-SĀRASANGRAHA ( चाणक्यनीति व्यवहार-सारसंग्रह ) Practical, Ethical and Political Maxims of everyday use by Cāṇakya, with a Marāṭhi transl by G. S Sardesai As. 4
- No 72. ŪRUBHANGAM ( ऊर्ध्वभङ्गम् ) Breaking of Hughes—A Sanskrit Drama attributed to Bhāsa critically ed with Intro, Notes and Eng. trans by Prof C. R. Deodhai. As 8
- No. 73. PALI DHĀTUROPĀVALI ( पालि-धातुरुपावलि ) Declension of Pāli roots with their Sanskrit, English, Marāṭhi, Hindi and Gujarātī meanings by N. V. Tungar Cr. Pp. 60. As. 6.
- No 74. ANCIENT INDIA by Prof. Dr. S. Krishnaswami Aiyangar. A thoroughly revised edition brought up-to-date, in two volumes each Rs 10
- No 75. Festschrift Prof. P. V. KANE. A Volume of Studies in Indology presented to Prof. P. V. Kane, M.A., LL.M., on his 61st Birth-day the 7th of May 1941, edited by Dr S. M. Katre and P. K. Gode. Contains 74 research-articles on various branches of Indology by eminent Scholars from the East and the West. Roy. Pp xvi, 551 with Prof. Kane's Portrait. Rs 15.
- No. 76. HISTORY OF THE GUPTAS by Prof. Dr. R. N. Dandekar. This is a complete connected history of the Guptas, the Imperial Guptas and the later Guptas of Malwa with an exhaustive Index and a map of India. Cr. Pp. 227. Rs 3
- No 77. अलङ्काररत्नाकरः सटीकः Rs 3
- No 78. छान्दोग्योपनिषत् Eng. Trans by Jha Rs 5

## THE POONA ORIENTALIST

A Quarterly Journal of Oriental Studies.

Edited by

VIDYĀSUDHĀKARA

DR HAR DUTT SHARMA, M.A., PH.D.

Annual Subscription Rs 6. in India and Sh. 10/6 or

\$3.00 outside India.

*If you want to be in touch with the*  
**MIND OF INDIA**

**READ**

## **THE CALCUTTA REVIEW**

An Official Monthly Publication of Calcutta University

**YEARLY SUBSCRIPTION**

**Rs. 7-8 ONLY**

**HALF-YEARLY SUBSCRIPTION**

**Rs. 4 ONLY**

**The Best Minds of India contribute regularly to its pages**  
**On all Subjects of general interest.**

**YEAR BEGINS FROM OCTOBER**

**Subscription may begin from any month**

*For Free specimen copy write with one anna stamp to*  
*The Manager, **THE CALCUTTA REVIEW.***

**The wide circulation of the "REVIEW" ensures a very wide**

## **ADVERTISING**

area Our roll of subscribers is fully representative and one can  
 reach the Indian Intellectual public of all ranks and classes  
 by advertising their articles on these pages

*write to—*

*The Manager, **CALCUTTA REVIEW.***

*The Calcutta Review Office, Senate House,*

**CALCUTTA**

## **Sri Krishnaraja Memorial Number of the Quarterly Journal of the Mythic Society**

Price Rs. 4-0-0 India Sh 9 Foreign 2, \$. America. Packing  
 and Postage extra. 6 as, India; 9d foreign 25 Cts. America.

### **FEW OPINIONS**

It will indeed serve admirably the purpose of commemorating an illustrious and  
 beneficent reign. **Rajadharmapravina Diwan Bahadur**  
**K S. Chandrasekhara Aiyar**

*I consider it a worthy Memorial to the late Maharaja.*

**Rt. Hon'ble Sir D. B. Jayatilaka**

.. the journal contains papers on the history of Mysore, places of archaeological  
 and historical interest, and ancient monuments and inscriptions. **The Mail, Madras**

There are also a few other informed monographs bearing on different aspects  
 of the State's historical evolution and its greatness **The Hindu, Madras**

The publication maintains a high level of scholarship and thought throughout  
 and constitutes a production of immense value and importance.

**Indian Express, Madras**

'It is only fitting' as Sachivottama Sir C. P. Ramaswami Aiyar remarked 'that  
 a society like this should commemorate his name and many good deeds.'

**Daily Post, Bangalore.**

Copies can be had from:

**THE CURATOR, MYTHIC SOCIETY**

**Cenotaph Road, Bangalore City**

# ESSENTIAL ORIENTAL LITERATURE

	Rs.	As.
<b>Early History of Vaishnava Faith and Movement in Bengal</b> (Dr. S. K. De, M.A., D.Lit.) ... ..	10	0
<b>Krishnakarnamrita of Lilasuka</b> (ed. Dr. S. K. De) ... ..	6	0
<b>Padyavali of Rupa Gosvamin</b> (ed. Dr. S. K. De) ... ..	5	0
<b>Kichakavadha of Nitivarma</b> (ed. Dr. S. K. De) .. ..	4	0
<b>Collection of Fragments of Lost Brahmanas</b> (Dr. Batakrishna Ghosh, D.Lit.) .. ..	6	0
<b>Suvarnadvipa</b> (in two parts) (Dr. R. C. Majumdar, M.A., Ph.D.) .. ..	10	0 each
<b>The Arab Invasion of India</b> (Dr. R. C. Majumdar, M.A., Ph.D.) .. ..	1	4
<b>Art of War in Ancient India</b> (Dr. P. C. Chakravarti, M.A., Ph.D.) ... ..	5	0
<b>Studies in the Puranic Records on Hindu Rites and Customs</b> (Dr. R. C. Hazra, M.A., Ph.D.) .. ..	5	0
<b>Eastern Humanism</b> (Professor Sylvain Levi) .. ..	0	8
<b>The Meaning of Art</b> (Dr. Rabindranath Tagore) .. ..	2	0
<b>Doctrine of Sakti in Indian Literature</b> (Dr. Prabhat Chandra Chakravarti) ... ..	5	0
<b>Early Arabic Odes</b> (Dr. S. M. Husain, M.A., D.Lit.) .. ..	15	0
<b>History of the Paramara Dynasty</b> (Dr. D. C. Ganguly, M.A., Ph.D.) .. ..	10	0
<b>Concepts of Riti and Cuna in Sanskrit Poetics</b> (Dr. P. C. Lahiri, M.A., Ph.D.) .. ..	4	0
<b>Meditative and Active India</b> (Prof. Carlo Formichi) .. ..	0	8
<b>Krittivasi Ramayana</b> (Adi-kanda) [Bengali] (Dr. N. K. Bhattacharya, M.A., Ph.D.) .. ..	2	8
<b>The Idealistic School in Buddhism</b> (Prof. Giuseppe Tucci) .. ..	2	0
<b>Harivamsa of Bhavananda</b> [Bengali] (ed. Mr. Satish Chandra Ray) .. ..	3	0

## HISTORY OF BENGAL

[Vol 1: Hindu Period]

Edited by Dr. R. C. Majumdar, M.A., Ph.D.

Rs. 15/- Postage extra

*Ask for our full descriptive catalogues*

**General Printers & Publishers Limited**

119, DHARAMTALA STREET, CALCUTTA.

## CONTENTS

	PAGE
<b>Articles:</b>	
The Vedic and the Epic Kṛṣṇa . By Dr S. K. De, M.A., D.LIT.	... 297
The Dynastic Chronicles of Kashmir . By Dr. U. N. Ghoshal, M.A., PH.D.	. 302
The Philology of the Pālī Language By R. P. Chaudhuri, M.A.	. 342
<b>Miscellany:</b>	
The word Ba'ūrah in Murūj ul-Zahab of Al Ma'sūdī By Dr. H. C. Ray, M.A., PH.D., D.LIT.	369
The Date of Subandhu . By Dr. Manomohan Ghosh, M.A., PH.D.	373
A Note on the Hanumān type Copper Coins of Pithvīdeva and Jājalladeva of Mahākośala By L. P. Pandeya Sharma	375
<b>Reviews:</b>	
Select Inscriptions Bearing on Indian History and Civilisation	379
Introduction to Indian Textual Criticism By Dr. Manomohan Ghosh, M.A., PH.D.	381
Studies in the History of the British in India By A. C. Banerjee, M.A.	382
History of Ancient India By Dr. D. C. Sircar	383
Buddha Pūrvakā Bhāṭīyā Itihāsa . By Dr. Ramashankar Tripathi, M.A., PH.D.	384
<b>Select Contents of Oriental Journals:</b>	386

# The Indian Historical Quarterly

Vol. XVIII

December, 1942

No. 4

## The Vedic and the Epic Kṛṣṇa

There is some speculation regarding the identity of the epic Vāsudeva-Kṛṣṇa with the Kṛṣṇa of *R̥gVeda* viii. 74, whom the *Anukramanī* styles Kṛṣṇa Āṅgīrasa, and with Kṛṣṇa Devakī-putra, who is described as the pupil of Ghorā Āṅgīrasa in the *Chāndogya-Upaniṣad* (iii. 17. 6), and it has been suggested that a tradition exists, from the time of the *R̥gVeda* and the *Chāndogya-Upaniṣad*, of Vāsudeva-Kṛṣṇa as a Vedic seer or teacher. This speculation is necessitated by the fact that two important features of Vāsudeva-Kṛṣṇa emerge in the Epic, namely, Kṛṣṇa as the not-over-scrupulous tribal chief, and Kṛṣṇa as the deified philosophical and religious teacher, and it is felt that the two features should be reconciled. It has been suggested that these figures belong to different cycles of legend. Some scholars have even gone to the length of separating these two aspects of Kṛṣṇa, although there is no conclusive evidence or tradition for this procedure in the Epic itself. We have R. G. Bhandarkar's suggestion, accepted by Grierson and Garbe, but rejected by Hopkins and Keith, that Vāsudeva-Kṛṣṇa was originally a local or tribal chief who was deified, or a legendary saint of the Vṛṣṇi-Sātvas who he taught a monotheistic religion, that he lived in the 6th century B.C., if not earlier, that originally he was quite different from the Kṛṣṇa of whom a tradition is supposed to exist from the time of the *R̥gVeda* and the *Chāndogya-Upaniṣad* as a seer or teacher, that Vāsudeva became identified with Vṛṣṇi earlier than with Kṛṣṇa, and that his legends came to be mixed up, but it must be said that these facile, though attractive, conjectures are not proved. Some scholars have even maintained that Vāsudeva-Kṛṣṇa did not figure at all in the original Epic, but was introduced later, perhaps to justify the action of the Pāṇḍavas, but this is also an unproved hypothesis of the same type. The existence of cycles of legend in an epic like the *Mahābhārata* is



indeed not denied, but the assumption of two or several Kṛṣṇas is based upon the further *a priori* assumption that the Kṛṣṇa-legend in the Epic must be analysed into several groups, and that each of these groups was originally concerned with different persons of the same name, but was subsequently mixed up to form one mass round one personality. Whatever plausibility these assumptions may possess, there is, unfortunately, nothing conclusive in the Epic itself, nor in the previous literature, to warrant such a complacent splitting up of the existing data.

It is noteworthy that the identity of the Vedic Kṛṣṇa with the Epic Kṛṣṇa is not at all supported by the Purāṇic tradition. We have no description, either in the Epic or in the Purāṇa, of Kṛṣṇa as a seer of Vedic Mantras or as a pupil of an Upanisadic seer. In the Purāṇic tradition the name of Vāsudeva-Kṛṣṇa's teacher is given as Kāśya Samdipani of Avantī, and that of his initiator as Gārga. As a Kṛṣṇa, father of Viśvakāya, is mentioned in *ṚgVeda* i 116. 23 and i 117 7, and a Kṛṣṇa Hārita in *Atareya Āraṇyaka*, iii 2 6, it is clear that Kṛṣṇa is not an uncommon non-divine name, but the attempts to connect or identify these Kṛṣṇas, or to establish the tradition of a sage Kṛṣṇa "from the time of the *ṚgVedic* hymns to the time of the *Chāndogya Upanisad*", as R. G. Bhandarkar suggests, have not, so far, proved very successful. All that can be said without dogmatism is that there are the Vedic and Upanisadic Kṛṣṇas, on the one hand, and the Epic and Purāṇic Kṛṣṇa, son of Vasudeva, on the other, but that the links which would connect or identify them beyond all doubt are unfortunately missing.

These missing links are supposed to be furnished, however, in the case at least of Kṛṣṇa of the *Chāndogya-Upanisad*, by the fact that he is described therein as Devakī-putra, and by the allegation that there is a close similarity between the doctrines taught to Kṛṣṇa Devakī-putra in the Upanisad and the doctrines taught by Vāsudeva-Kṛṣṇa in the *Bhagavad-gītā*. Although the possibility of accidental coincidence of names is not altogether excluded, there can be no doubt that a very strong point, and perhaps the only strong point, of this view lies in the similarity of the description Devakī-putra, as well as in the comparative rarity of the name Devakī. But this one circumstance alone cannot be taken as conclusively supplying the means of connexion between the two Kṛṣṇas. For corroboration, therefore, somewhat doubtful similarity has been industriously discovered between the teachings of Ghorā Āṅgīrasa to Kṛṣṇa Devakī-

putra and the teachings of Vāsudeva-Kṛṣṇa to Arjuna. As this point has been argued in some detail,<sup>1</sup> it would be worth while to discuss it here.

In the *Cbāndogya-Upaniṣad* III. 17. 6, Ghora Āṅgīrasa, who is described in the *Kauṣītaki-Brāhmaṇa* xxx. 6 as a priest of the Sun, teaches certain doctrines to Kṛṣṇa, son of Devakī, of which the three main points are the following: (i) a mystic interpretation of certain ceremonies comprised in the Vedic sacrifice as representing various functions of life, (ii) the efficacy of the practice of certain virtues, which are declared to symbolise the Dakṣinā or priest's fee, an important element in the ritual, the virtues being austerity (Tapas), liberality (Dāna), straightforwardness (Ārjava), non-injury (Ahimsā) and truthfulness (Satya-vacana), and (iii) the importance of fixing one's last thoughts on three things, namely, the Indestructible (Aksita), the Unshaken (Acyuta) and the Essence of Life (Prāṇasamśita), and the whole passage concludes with the citation of some Vedic Mantras in praise of the Sun. It is argued that these doctrines reappear in the *Bhagavad-gītā*, and the coincidence of certain passages is held to be striking. In the *Gītā*, there is symbolical interpretation of sacrifice, the virtues are also mentioned in xvi. 3, the importance of last thoughts is taught in viii. 5 and 10, while the epithets Aksara, Acyuta etc. are also found, and lastly, the traditional communication of the original doctrines of the *Gītā* to Vivasvat or the sun-god is mentioned in iv. 1.

At first sight, these parallels appear striking enough to merit attention, but it is possible to make too much of them. It must be recognised that the teachings of Ghora Āṅgīrasa, even if he is a sun-worshipper, are clearly Upaniṣadic. As the *Gītā* admittedly echoes some of the teachings of the Upaniṣads, and as some of its verses are easily shown to be made up of tags from the Upaniṣads, such verbal and other parallelisms are hardly surprising. The mystical interpretation of symbolic sacrifice or symbolising of the Vedic ritual is not at all rare in the Brāhmaṇa, Āraṇyaka and Upaniṣad, and cannot be said to be exclusive to the teaching of Ghora Āṅgīrasa. The *Bhagavad-gītā* probably borrows the idea from the general Brāhmaṇic and Upaniṣadic literature, but there is nothing to connect it

<sup>1</sup> Hemchandra Raychaudhuri, *Early Hist. of the Vaiṣṇava Sect*, 2nd Ed., Calcutta University, 1936, pp. 79-83. See also L. D. Barnett, *Hindu Gods and Heroes*, London 1922, pp. 82-83, and in *JRAS*, 1929, pp. 123-29, *BSOS*, V, 1928-30, pp. 635-37. W. D. P. Hill, *Bhagavadgītā*, (Oxford Univ. Press), 1928, pp. 5-6.

with the details of the particular interpretation given by Ghorā Āṅgīrasa. Unless this can be shewn, the argument loses all its force. It is well-known that the *Gītā* interpretation of sacrifice is somewhat different, for it not only symbolises the sacrifice but also attempts to sanctify it by its theistic theory of desireless Karman. Not much capital need also be made of the enumeration of particular virtues in the *Gītā*, for it occurs in a fairly comprehensive list of godlike qualities, and forms in no sense an exclusive mention of those stated by Ghorā Āṅgīrasa. Nor is it a complete list of the outstanding virtues of the Bhāgavata cult, even though it mentions Ahimsā<sup>2</sup> on which Barnett lays a stress greater than that found in the text itself, and argues from the prominence given to this virtue in the later development of Vaiṣṇavism. Such lists occur also in other places in the *Mahābhārata*, as well as in the *Gītā*, in the descriptions of the ideal man from various points of view, and no definite deduction can be made from such laudatory enumerations of more or less general and recognised virtues. Nothing is gained by connecting these well known virtues with the three (Dama, Tyāga and Apramāda) mentioned in the Besnagar inscription, although the Apramāda of the inscription is missing in Ghorā's exposition.<sup>3</sup> The fact is also overlooked that the doctrine of Dama, Tyāga and Apramāda is not unknown in other parts of the Epic, which parts have no palpable connexion with Bhāgavatism, it occurs, for instance, in the Sanatsujāta sub-parvan of the Udyoga.<sup>4</sup> In the same way, the doctrine of last thoughts cannot be regarded as an essential doctrine

2 See Minal Dasgupta in *III Q* viii, 1932, pp 79-81, where the question of Ahimsā is discussed, and it is rightly concluded "In the *Bhagavadgītā* Ahimsā is mentioned as a laudable virtue and as a *śānta tapas*, bodily penance (x, 5, xiii, 7, xiv, 2, xvii, 14), but it is out of the question that the Bhagavat should insist on this doctrine to Arjuna on the battle-field. To the *Gītā*-theory of desireless action as well as of the immortality of the self, the distinction between injury and non-injury in itself is immaterial. It is remarkable, therefore, that while Ahimsā as a religious attitude is practically ignored in the *Bhagavadgītā*, it is insisted upon in the Nārāyaṇīya both by legend and precept, and in this respect, later Vaiṣṇava faiths follow the Nārāyaṇīya rule."

3 In spite of Barnett's very ingenious interpretation (*BSOS*, v, p 139), one fails to see in the triad of the inscription "a rude summary of the same principles as that of the *Gītā*"

4 Ed Bhandarkar Institute, Poona 1940, 5 43 14, Bombay Ed 5 43 22  
*damas tyāgo' pramādaś ca etesu amṛtam āhṛtam*

of the *Gītā*, and the mention of Akṣara, Acyuta etc. hardly proves anything. The present writer has already dealt with the next argument of the alleged connexion of Bhāgavatism with Sun worship,<sup>5</sup> an argument which is even less convincing, for no worship of the Sun is taught anywhere in the *Gītā*, and even admitting the influence of the solar cult, the alleged solar origin of Bhāgavatism is an extremely doubtful proposition.

Barnett admits that the particular parallels mentioned above are not very close, but he lays stress on their collective significance. On this there is room for reasonable difference of impression, but it would be surely too much to maintain, as Hemchandra Raychaudhuri does, that the doctrines taught by Ghoṣa Āṅgīrasa "formed the kernel of the poem known as the *Bhagavadgītā*", and build an entire edifice of hypothesis on such scanty and precarious materials as detailed above. It must not be forgotten that the parallels in question do not at all form the cardinal or essential doctrines of the *Gītā*, far less its *summa theologiae*, as they avowedly do in the case of Ghoṣa Āṅgīrasa's teaching, and their indebtedness or otherwise, and even their omission, in the *Gītā* would not materially affect the substance of the work.

S K Dī

5 In *BSOS*, vi, pt. 3, 1931, pp. 669-72.

## The Dynastic Chronicles of Kashmir\*

### II Modern Period

In the narrative of Kalhana, the modern or the historical period dawns with the rise of the Kārkota dynasty in the early part of the 7th century A.D. (Book IV), and comes into full bloom with the advent of the Utpala dynasty in 855-56 A.D. (Book V). Of the Kārkota kings, Durlabhavardhana, Pratāpāditya II, Lalitāditya and Jayāpīda (Vinayāditya) are known from their coins (Cunningham, *Coins of Mediaeval India*, p. 38, V. A. Smith, *Catalogue of Coins in the Indian Museum*, p. 268, R. C. Kak, *Handbook to the Archaeological and Numismatic Sections of the Sir Pratap Singh Museum, Srinagar*, p. 133, *I A S B*, Numismatic Supplement, pp. N. 7-8). The kings Candrāpīda, Lalitāditya (Muktāpīda), and probably also Durlabhavardhana are mentioned in the valuable Chinese annals. King Cippatayajayāpīda (otherwise called Brhaspati) is mentioned as his patron by the poet Rājānaka Ratnākara in his *Haravijaya* poem. By checking Kalhana's dates for Candrāpīda and Muktāpīda with those from the Chinese annals and by considering Kalhana's account of the synchronism of the poet Ratnākara with King Avantivarman of the Utpala dynasty, Stein. (I, *Introd.* pp. 67, 96) has found it necessary to rectify Kalhana's chronology with the addition of twenty-five years. The above correction necessarily applies to Kalhana's first recorded precise date, namely 3889 Laukika Era (813-14 A.D.) for the death of Cippatayajayāpīda above-mentioned. How much truth and fiction are mingled in this part of Kalhana's narrative is best illustrated by his long and detailed account of the reign of King Lalitāditya Muktāpīda. Of the series of conquests attributed to this greatest of the ancient Kashmirian kings, some are rendered certain not only by intrinsic probability, but also by the external evidence. Thus we may well believe with the chronicler that the king extended his authority over the lower hills to the north of the Punjab comprising Jālamdhara and Lohara and probably also a few Śāhi principalities along the upper course of the Indus. The account of the defeat of Yaśovarman of Kanauj, the patron of Bhavabhūti and Vākpatriāja, may be

\* Continued from vol. XVIII, p. 207

equally based on fact. Equally historical may be the account of Lalitāditya's victories over the Tuhkhāras (Turks of Badakhshan and the Upper Oxus valley), the Bhauttas or Tibetans (against whom the Kashmirian king is known from Chinese annals to have sought the support of the Emperor), and lastly the Daradas (or Dards still inhabiting the mountainous regions immediately to the north and north-east of Kashmir). On the other hand the author's description of his hero's victorious march throughout the whole of India from Gauda and Kalinga in the east along the sea-shore of Karnāta, the Kāverī, Malaya and the islands of the southern Ocean and thence to Dvārakā and Avanti in the west, may be safely dismissed as a repetition of the conventional accounts of *digvijaya* of great Indian kings given by the other poets. Equally unhistorical are the hero's alleged victories over the Uttarakurus ("the hyperborean paradise" of the Indian Epics) and the Strirājya ("the land of the amazons") in the north. [Kalhana's description of Lalitāditya's *digvijaya* is vague enough, but Stein is hardly correct when he complains, (*Introd* p. 90), of the absence of "all historical details" in the Chronicle. Kalhana at any rate mentions among Lalitāditya's adversaries a Karnāta princess Rattā who ruled "like Durgā" over Dakṣiṇāpātha and is specially praised for making the roads over the Vindhya's evidently on her northern frontier "adequate and free from obstacles." It is difficult to understand why Stein (Bk. IV, 153n following Wilson) suggested the identification of the Vindhya's here mentioned with the Eastern Ghats.] After this it is no wonder that Kalhana should in all seriousness reproduce some of the popular legends which had gathered around this King Arthur or Emperor Charlemagne of Kashmirian history, including a legend (IV, 277-306) which Alberuni tells of king Kaniska. More romantic even than the above is Kalhana's picture (IV, 402 ff.) of Jayāpīḍa, Lalitāditya's grandson and almost as great a hero of Kashmirian popular legend. Based probably on genuine tradition is a notice of his patronage of the grammarian Kṣīra (Kṣīrasvāmin), Udbhata (author of a well-known *Alaṃkāra* work), Dāmodaragupta, (author of the *Kuttanīmata*) and Vāmana. Probably as authentic is the account of Jayāpīḍa's revival of Mahābhāṣya studies in his own country, and his foundation of Jayapura as a new capital. The lurid picture of Jayāpīḍa's tyranny in his later years and the strong Brahmanical reaction following therefrom bears the stamp of truth. On the other hand the stories of the hero's wanderings in the land of an imaginary king of Pundravardhana and of his wars with a king of

Nepal and one of "the eastern regions" otherwise unknown to history as well as of his conquest of "the land of the Amazons" have no pretence to historical truth. [For a full critical account of the Kings of the Fourth Book see Stein, I, *Introd.* pp. 87-97 and the references there given].

From the time of Avantivarman (885/6-883 A.D.), founder of the Utpala dynasty, Kalhana gives for each reign the initial and closing dates recorded in years, months and days of the Laukika era which, as Bühler was the first to show, began in Kali Samvat 25 expired, i.e. 3076-75 B.C. The accuracy of these dates has not yet been disproved by independent evidence. Again, the series of successive kings from Samkaravarman, son of Avantivarman, onwards is corroborated by the unimpeachable evidence of coins. It has therefore been rightly concluded (Stein, I, *Introduction*, p. 97) that the truly historical period of Kashmirian history begins with the Utpala dynasty above-mentioned. That the tendency to embellish the historical narrative with poetical hyperbole persisted even to these times may be judged from Kalhana's record (V, 136-155) of Samkaravarman's foreign expeditions. These were undertaken, if we are to believe the Chronicler, to revive the tradition of "conquest of the world." The king, we are told, issued from "the Gate" of Kashmir with nine lakhs of foot-soldiers, although "the country had through the action of time become reduced in population and wealth." From the Chronicler's subsequent description it follows that the king's warlike operations were confined to the lower hills north of the Punjab and were attended with slight success (Cf. Stein, I, *Introd.*, p. 99). For the half-century preceding his own times, Kalhana's narrative has the advantage of drawing upon the statements of eye-witnesses. Referring to the execution of four young princes by king Harsa Kalhana quotes (VII, 1066) the impressions of aged men in his own time who "let flow showers of tears while relating their story." In connection with the same reign he quotes (VII, 1123-24) verses sung by wandering poets (*kaucīranas*) ridiculing the folly of the king in seeking the hand of the queen of Vikramāditya VI Cālukya. Kalhana's minute account of the last years of Harsa's reign must have been largely based on the statements of contemporaries like his own father Candapaka who held the high office of 'lord of the gate' at the time and a cook who was the sole surviving eye-witness of the tragedy of the king's death (Cf. Stein I, *Introd.*, p. 73. Coming to the reign of Bhīkṣācara, Kalhana quotes (VIII, 917) the evidence of eye-witnesses about the valour of the king's rival Sussala in "the wonderful battle" near Parnotsa.

It will be seen from the above that not to speak of the ancient times, the historical period alone in Kalhana's chronicle extends over five centuries. Kalhana justifies the claim that he makes in one of his introductory verses (I, 21) of writing a well-arranged work. The *Rājataranginī*, in fact, is divided into eight *tarāṅgas* ("waves"), of very unequal size it is true, each dealing with a single dynasty or a pair of them. Thus Book I consisting of 373 verses deals with the reigns of the "lost" fifty-two kings and their immediate successors of the Gonandīya dynasty. Book II (171 verses) is concerned with some isolated reigns. In Book III (530 verses) we have an account of the restored Gonandīya dynasty. Book IV (720 verses) is occupied with the Kārkota dynasty and Book V (483 verses) with the Utpalā dynasty. Book VI (368 verses) has for its theme the dynasties of Yaśaskara and Parvagupta. Book VII (1732 verses) is concerned with the first Lohara dynasty and Book VIII (3449 verses), the last and the longest of all, deals with the second Lohara dynasty down to the Chronicler's own time. (See the excellent chronological and genealogical tables in Stein, I, *Introduction*, pp. 134-145).

### *Political history, court scandals etc.*

As a historical composition, the "River of Kings" is not confined in its scope to what is called political history, but is a work of varied contents. Especially in the last two Books which deal with recent and contemporary history the author gives us, as is natural under the circumstances, vivid accounts of the royal court including details of the royal family, the successive appointments to the principal administrative offices as well as court intrigues and scandals. As regards the last point, we may mention that revolting stories of debauchery are recorded of a number of evil Kings such as Cakravartman (V, 392ff.), Ksemagupta (VI, 158ff.), and Kalāsi (VII, 292ff.). What is quite extraordinary is that lapses from the Brahmanical moral or social code are industriously reported even of admittedly able rulers like Queen Diddā (VI, 189, *ibid.*, 321-22) and king Yaśaskara (VI, 69ff.), as well as of other characters who did not play any important part on the historical stage. These facts would seem to illustrate the completeness—unapproached by the chronicles of any other part of India—with which the pictures of court life have been handed down by the Kashmir Chronicle. We may further take them to illustrate the freedom



which the authors of historical Kāvya's, could if they chose, enjoy in recording the uglier aspects of their heroes' characters.

### Administration

The *Rājatarāṅgīnī*, however, is far from being a mere Court-Gazetteer. With his father occupying a high office (that of 'Lord of the Gate') under King Harsa, Kalhana could not but feel interested in the past and present administration of his native land. In his First Book (I, 118-120) we find him making a notable attempt to trace the development of administrative institutions in his home-land in the dim past. Even before Jalauka (one of the 52 'lost' kings) when the kingdom according to the chronicler, had not attained its proper development in wealth, judicial administration (*vyavahāra*) and the like, it was reputed to have possessed a staff of seven officials. These were the *Dbarmādhyakṣa* (Judge), the *Dhanādhyakṣa* (Revenue Superintendent), the *Kosādhyakṣa* (Treasurer), the *Camūpati* (Army Commander), the *Dūta* (Envoy), the *Purohita* (Chaplain) and the *Darvaṇī* (Astrologer). Jalauka who is credited with clearing the land of *Mlecchas* and settling people of the four castes from Kānyakubja and other conquered countries, is said to have created eighteen offices 'in accordance with traditional usage'. Coming to historical times, Kalhana ascribes (IV, 141-43) a further expansion of the official organisation to Lalitāditya who is said to have created by the side of the eighteen older offices the five new offices (or rather titles) beginning with the word 'the Great'. These were the posts called *mahāpratihāra*, *mahāsamdhivigraha*, *mahāśvaśālū*, *mahābhāṇḍāgāra* and *mahāsādhanabhāga*. Further evidence of the complex bureaucratic organisation is found in connexion with the author's incidental references to a number of administrative posts in later times. Some of these offices like those of the *Nagarādhyakṣa* or *Nagarādhipa* (City Prefect), the *Pratihāra* (Chamberlain), the *Daṇḍanāyaka* (Prefect of Police?) and the *Rājasthānīya* (Chief Justice?) had their counterparts in other parts of India. Common to both also was the office of *Akṣapātala* (Accounts Office), though the Ekāṅgas of the *Rājatarāṅgīnī*, forming a kind of military police attached to the same are not traceable elsewhere. Other offices like those of the *Pādāgra* (apparently concerned with the collection of the revenue), the *Dvārapati* (Lord of the Gate, i.e. commander of the frontier passes), the *Maṇḍaleśa* (Governor), the *Kampanēśa* (Commander-in-chief) and the

*Sarvādhikārin* (Prime Minister) seem more or less to be peculiar to Kashmir. (For references, see Stein II, Index s.v.).

As regards the branches of administration, we find a number of rulers in Kalhana's long record of kings and dynasties being credited with a high sense of justice and exceptional sagacity in the decision of difficult law-suits. Kalhana delights in telling anecdotes of these rulers, which no doubt were sufficiently impressive to be handed down to his own times by authentic tradition. To confine ourselves to the historical period, we may begin with the anecdote (IV, 55 ff.) of king Candrāpīḍa and the tanner, which illustrates the former's anxiety to do justice to the meanest of his subjects. In the course of this story the king is made to utter the following noble words illustrative of the author's sense of his personality: "If we, who are to look after right and wrong, do unlawful acts, who should proceed by the right path?" The same king's desire to do justice even at the risk of his life is illustrated by the following anecdote (IV, 82 ff.) of a Brahman wife bereft of her husband by the witchcraft of an envious Brahman and seeking redress from the ruler. Of the Brahman king Yaśaskara (939-948 A.D.) two stories are told (VI, 14 ff.) illustrative of his Solomon-like wisdom in the decision of difficult law-suits. Even of so recent a king as Uccala (1101-1111 A.D.) Kalhana records (VIII, 123 ff.) a similar judgment in a difficult suit between a depositor and a fraudulent merchant. On the other hand Kalhana had only too many occasions, as we shall see presently, to refer to the violations of justice by evil rulers.

In the course of the long and detailed narrative of the history of his native land Kalhana from time to time throws light upon the administration of the finances. Of the seven offices which, according to Kalhana's authorities, existed even before king Jalauka, two were certainly concerned with revenue administration. These were the offices of the *Dhanādhyakṣa* and the *Kosādhyakṣa* above-mentioned. Of the four new offices said to have been created by Lalitāditya, one viz., the *Mahābbhāṇḍāgāra* (Superintendent of the royal store-house) was evidently charged with collection of the royal revenue. Probably the first authentic fact in the revenue history of Kashmir is the reference (IV, 620 ff.) to the cruel exactions (including the appropriation of the whole harvest for three years and confiscation of the *Agrahāras* of Brāhmins) perpetrated by Jayāpīḍa who was, according to Kalhana, the first Kashmirian king to be ruled by the *Kāyasthas* (officials). We find also in the same reign the earliest reference (IV, 589) to the

creation of special funds (*Gaṇḍas*) for which separate revenues were assigned and which were worked by separate officers. A later king, Śamkaravarman (883-902 A.D.), according to Kalhaṇa (V, 167), established two revenue offices namely the *Atīpatibhāga* ('the share of the lord of the market') and the *Gṛhākṛīya* ('domestic affairs'). The former evidently was entrusted with the collection of the royal market dues, which can be traced back to the *Arthaśāstra*. The latter, which was in charge of one treasurer and five secretaries (V, 177), was entrusted with raising the revenue, as later references (V, 176, VII, 1428 etc.) indicate, from manipulation of weights and measures, from fines on villagers, from fees levied on domestic occasions and so forth. Śamkaravarman's exactions extended (V, 167-176) to spoliations of temple-properties and temple-corporations (*paśad*) as well as systematic levy of forced labour and other imposts from the villagers. As the author ruefully complains (V, 179-181), the result of the king's measures was that the Kāyasthas (officials), 'those sons of slaves', alone rose in power, while the learned lost all respect and the kings their royal dignity. Thus, as the author writes severely in his concluding judgment (V, 178), "This foolish [ruler] accepted [residence in] hell for himself, in order to benefit by his sinful acts future kings or the functionaries." Coming to later reigns, Kalhaṇa refers (VI, 136) to financial exactions under king Parvagupta (949-950 A.D.). During the regency of Queen Diddā a low-born upstart holding the office of head of the treasury created a new revenue office and certain new imposts (VI, 266). A later king, Saṃgrāmarāja (1003-1028 A.D.) is spoken of (VII, 110) as fleecing his subjects. King Ananta (1028-1063 A.D.) is mentioned (VII, 144, 147, 189-94) not only as wasting his revenues on his favourites but also as planning the sacrilegious destruction of divine images. In the same reign a wicked minister is said (VII, 203) to have introduced an impost of 1/12 while his good successor is said (VII, 211-212) to have abolished the royal privilege of marking the gold according to quality and price in order to remove the chance of oppression by later kings. King Kalaśa (1063-1089 A.D.), Ananta's son and successor, is mentioned (VII, 367) as raising a loan from rich persons, when marching against his father. Among Kalaśa's wicked acts immediately before his death are mentioned (VII, 696-97) his sacrilegious destruction of some divine images and confiscation of properties of those who died without issue. These exactions were out-done by Kalaśa's son Harṣa (1089-1101 A.D.) who carried out a wholesale spoliation of

temple-properties as well as defilement and destruction of divine images, and thus earned for himself the epithet of 'the Turuṣka' (VII, 1095). In connection with these exactions the tyrant is said (VII, 1091, 1103-04) to have created a number of new offices like those of the *Devotpātananāyaka* (prefect for the overthrow of divine images) and the *Arthanāyaka* (prefect of property). In the reign of Kalhana's contemporary king Jayasimha an unruly *Ḍāmara* is said (VIII, 2010) to have, after imprisoning the king's officers, 'collected the customs at the watch-station and had his own name stamped in red lead on the wares as if he were the king'. This illustrates a method of receiving payment of tolls which has its antecedent in Kautilya's *Arthaśāstra*. Reference is made (VIII, 1428) in the same reign to exactions of taxes on various auspicious occasions. (On the above cf. the present writer's *Hindu Revenue System*, pp. 249-252)

An interesting sidelight is thrown by Kalhana on municipal administration in his own time. To the credit of a bravo who had earned the office of City Prefect by a political murder at the king's bidding, Kalhana records (VIII, 3334 ff.) that this officer first remedied the long-standing abuses such as the misuse of cash in commercial transactions and the imposition of fines on householders for moral lapses of married women. But afterwards the same official punished many persons on the plea that they had received dancing girls in their households as married wives.

A unique interest belongs to the enlightened reign of Avantivarman (855/6-883 A.D.) because of the extensive drainage and irrigation works constructed under the king's orders by an officer of untutored genius called Suyya. The land of Kashmir, says the chronicler in introducing his account (V, 84-121), was always liable to devastating floods of the Mahāpadma (Volui) lake and the many streams. Volunteering his services for preventing this calamity, Suyya by a very simple but ingenious contrivance deepened the bed of the Vitastā (Jhelum) at its two ends (the village Nandaka in Madavarājya and the gorge Yaksadara or 'the demon's cleft' in Kramarājya), cleaned the river-bed at its bottom after constructing a temporary stone dam, constructed new beds for the river at all threatened points and built protective stone embankments for seven *yojanas* (nearly 42 miles) along the river bank (apparently up its course above the Volui lake). (See Stein's notes on V, 85, 87, 103). With his usual topographic accuracy Kalhana tells us how Suyya in the course of these operations shifted the junction of the Vitastā and the Sindhu from its old to its

existing position. On the land raised from the water by Suyya's efforts he founded many villages protected by circular dykes. These measures were followed up by the construction of extensive irrigation-works according to strictly technical processes described by the chronicler. Well might the enthusiastic author, steeped in Brahmanical lore, appraise Suyya's achievement in a single birth as equalling that of the God Viṣṇu in his four incarnations of Varāha, Paraśurāma, Rāmacandra and Kṛṣṇa. With his usual appreciation of concrete facts the author concludes by quoting the resulting fall in the price of rice, the staple produce of the valley. Formerly the average price of one *khārī* of rice was 200 *dinnāras* in good years and as high as 1050 *dinnāras* in times of famine. But it was reduced to 36 *dinnāras* after Suyya's changes.

#### *Pious foundations and buildings of cities*

With characteristic antiquarian zeal Kalhana records from the earliest times (those of the lost 52 kings) down to his own time innumerable foundations of temples and the like by pious kings, queens, ministers and other officials and their wives. While the oldest references probably rest on popular tradition alone, those from the Kārkota dynasty onwards have undoubtedly a historical basis. In one interesting passage (VIII, 2414) Kalhana singles out Diddā among queens and Sussalā (wife of Jayasimha's minister Rihana) among ministers' wives as occupying the foremost rank for their numerous religious foundations. Foremost among the builders of towns and their shrines are the kings Pravarasena II (2nd half of the 6th century), Lalitāditya (1st half of the 8th century) and Avantivarman (855/6—883 A.D.). The first is credited with the construction of Pravarapura (on the site of modern Śrīnagar) with its shrines of Viṣṇu Jayasvāmin and Śiva Pravaraśvara. The second built the magnificent Mārtanda temple and the great city of Parihāsapura with its splendid temples of Viṣṇu Muktaścāva, Parihāśakśāva and Govardhanadhara as well as the equally famous Buddhist Rājavihāra and the colossal Buddha image. The third built the city of Avantipura with its temples of Viṣṇu Avantīsvāmin and Śiva Avantīśvara. (For full archaeological notes on the above see the references quoted in Stein, I, Introd. pp. 84-85, 92, 97. See also *Ann. Rep. A.S.I.*, 1914-15, 1916-17, and Ram Chandra Kak, *The Ancient Monuments of Kashmir*, London 1933, pp. 118-25, 131-35, 146-49).

*Charitable endowments*

Connected with the above are Kalhaṇa's references to the creation of charitable endowments of various sorts by a number of royal and other donors. From the time of the last 52 kings onwards Kalhana records numerous instances of the grant of *agrahāras* and *maṭhas* (hospices) by the kings, queens, high officials and their wives and so forth (For references see Stein, II, Index *s.v.* *agrahāra* and *maṭha*). As regards other endowments of a similar nature, king Narendrāditya I (Khrīkhila) is said (I, 347) to have founded a permanent endowment (*aksayinī*) for the feeding of Brahmans. Of the saintly queen of Tuṅjina I we are told (II, 58) that she established a hospice (*sattra*) 'where multitudes of indigent people coming from all parts receive food even at the present day'. A later king, Raṇāditya I, is said (III, 461) to have established a hospital (*ārogyasālā*) for the healing of sick people. Coming to historical times, a minister of king Jayāpīḍa is mentioned (IV, 494) as the author of a charity foundation (*bhaktasālā*) while the 'foremost Kāyastha' of king Ananta's time is said (VII, 149) to have built a *maṭha* for the blind (*andhamattha*). King Yaśaskara is credited (VI, 87) with the foundation of a matha "for students from Āryadeśa who were devoting themselves to the acquisition of knowledge." Among the greedy and oppressive officials of king Sussala's reign Kalhaṇa singles out (VIII, 570-71) a Kāyastha who created a permanent endowment for the distribution of food (*avicchinnasattra*) giving relief to famine-stricken people from various foreign lands. Of the minister Rihana's wife Sussalā above-mentioned we are told (VIII, 2416) that she constructed all kinds of pious works such as water-wheels, wells and halls for students.

It speaks much for Kalhaṇa's honesty as a historian that he faithfully records the pious foundations of admittedly bad rulers and ministers. To take one conspicuous instance, he mentions, though as an example of the inscrutability of the human mind, the foundation of a Śaiva shrine by Mihirakula, a monster of cruelty. From the latter's tainted hands we are told (I, 305-7) *agrahāras* were received by Brahmans from Gandhāra "resembling himself in their habits and verily themselves the lowest of the twice-born". As the instance just quoted shows, Kalhaṇa has no praise for pious acts proceeding from such tainted sources. Especially bitter is his denunciation of those evil rulers of the 'modern' period who despoiled foundations of previous kings for benefiting their own. Thus in denouncing the tyrant Śaṃkaravarman for building his town out of the spoils of Lalitāditya's

capital of Parihāsapura, he speaks (V, 160) with bitter satire of the 'poets and kings of these modern times' who 'augment their own work by plundering the poems or the property of others.' Describing the erection of a Śaiva temple by Kṣemagupta out of the spoils of a famous Buddhist *vihāra* and other decayed temples, Kalhana comments severely (VI, 174) upon the folly of those who feel elated in robbing the property of others but are ignorant of the same fate overtaking their own constructions. When speaking of the pious foundation of a prince of his own time, Kalhana says with bitter irony (VIII, 335), "This pure-minded man, though he was one of our time, did not proceed to plunder other foundations and to make grants of the property of poor people." On the other hand Kalhana expresses (VII, 122) his appreciation of the good sense of Saṃgrāma-rāja who did not establish even a drinking-place on the ground that 'the wealth he owned was unlawfully acquired'.

#### *References to scholars and poets*

As a scholar and poet, it was quite natural for Kalhana to be interested in the growth of learning in his land and the lives and fortunes of his fellow-poets. According to a tradition recorded by him (I, 176) Candragomin and other scholars acting under the orders of king Abhimanyu I (one of the lost kings) revived the study of the Mahābhāṣya which had fallen into disuse through the absence of teachers and texts. (The above follows the reading and translation of Stein in preference to those of Kielhorn *IA*, V, 107). A similar claim is made (IV, 488) evidently on more authentic grounds on behalf of king Jayāpīḍa. Turning to another point, we find Kalhana mentioning (II, 16) a great poet Candaka, the author of a remarkable but unnamed play, as being the contemporary of king Tuiyīna I. Coming to the historical period, king Jayāpīḍa is said (IV, 489 ff.) to have achieved enduring fame for his scholarship, while he is said to have bestowed his patronage upon the grammarian Kṣīra (probably identical with the well-known *Amarakośa* commentator), the Bhartṛa Udbhata (author of the famous *Alamkārasāstra*) and the poet Dāmodaragupta (described as the author of the *Kuṭṣanīmata*). King Avantivarman is praised (V, 33 ff.) for his patronage of the poets Ānandavardhana (author of the well-known work called the *Dhvanyāloka*) and Ratnākara (known to be the author of the *Haraviṣaya* poem). The brilliant and accomplished Harsa in the early and glorious part of his reign is said (VII, 934-37) to have been such a

lavish patron of scholars that Bilhana, the Kashmirian poet, enjoining high favour of the contemporary Cālukya king Vikramāditya VI thought even his great splendour a deception. On the other hand Kalhana has too much honesty to omit mentioning a number of wicked kings who earned infamy by ignoring men of letters. Under the tyrant Saṃkaravarman, we are told, (V, 204-206) poets like Bhallaṭa had to lead the meanest existence while a load-carrier drew a pay of 2000 *dinnāras*. As the chronicler exclaims in indignant language, this boorish king 'who did not speak the language of the gods but used vulgar speech fit for drunkards' proved by his act his descent from a family of spirit-distillers.

### Military affairs

Nothing in the *Rājataranginī* is more surprising than Kalhana's accurate and minute descriptions of military operations forming a considerable portion of the troubled history of Kashmir during later times. Again and again the author gives details of the routes of armies (including the distances and the seasons) which Stein's industrious research has proved to fit in exactly with facts. What, however, constitutes his unique merit among the authors of historical *Kāvyas* is that he gives technical details of the marches, battles and sieges befitting a truly military historian. To take a few instances, Kalhana strongly criticises (VII, 48 ff.) through the mouth of 'the illustrious Śāhi Trilocanapāla' (of the Hindu Śāhiya dynasty) the rashness and incompetence of his Kashmirian ally Tuṅga (the minister of king Saṃgrāmarāja) in the fight against 'Hammīra' 'the leader of the Turuska army' (i.e. Sultan Mahmud of Ghazna). Noticing that Tuṅga 'gave no thought to night-watches, the posting of scouts, to military exercises and other (preparations) proper for an attack', the Śāhi urged him, but in vain, to take up his position on the scarp of a hill till he had become familiar with 'the Turuska warfare.' The rejection of this wise advice led to the utter rout of the confederate host, with the result that it 'brought about the descent of the Turuṣkas on the whole surface of the earth'. Again, when speaking (VII, 968 ff.) of an expedition against the hill-state of Rājapuri in Harsa's reign, Kalhana carefully notes how the royal army delayed on the route 'fearing the heat of the Āśādhā month', and he mentions how at last success followed from the royal commander's ingenious contrivance of throwing burning arrows smeared with vegetable oil which made the enemy credit him with the



possession of 'the weapon of fire'. In connection with the siege of Srinagar by the rebellious *Ḍāmaras* in *Sussala's* reign, *Kalhana's* minute description (VIII, 729 ff. with *Stein's* notes) enables us to understand how the city was invested by different bodies of rebels from the south (on the bank of the *Kṣiptikā* stream), the north (by way of *Amarāvata*) and the east (on the *Mahāsarit* stream). The roads were kept in uproar with the troops marching out with music, with the return of the wounded, with the flight of routed soldiers and so forth. The king whose courageous defence of the capital is highly praised by the chronicler, personally arranged for the treatment of the wounded, and encouraged his troops with gift of 'marching allowances, gratuities and medicines'. The rebels attacking from the east, being no match for the king's strong cavalry, marched by a narrow path along the embankment across the marshes lining the north of the (*Dāl*) lake. "As they were strong in archers, they came off best in the fight in the narrow embankment across the lake". The king, disheartened by the treachery and mutiny of his troops and disaffection of his subjects, left the capital for the family stronghold of *Lohara* by a circuitous route which *Stein* very aptly explains by a reference to the advanced season. The date of the king's flight is given as 'the 6th day of the dark half of *Mārgaśīras* in the year of the *Lukika* era 4196' (i.e. Nov. 13th, 1120 A.D.). Equally admirable is *Kalhana's* detailed account (for which reference may be made to VIII, 1076 ff. along with *Stein's* notes) of the fresh siege of *Srinagar* by the rebels in 1122 A.D., the year following *Sussala's* restoration. Even more impressive is *Kalhana's* account (VIII, 2505 ff.) of the siege and capture of the rebel stronghold of *Śirahūlā* situated in a most inhospitable territory on the north-west frontier by king *Jayasimha's* forces in 1140 A.D. Not only is the site of the castle (cf. VIII, 2492) where it is said to be situated between the *Sindhu* river and the streams of the *Madhumatī* and the *Muktāśrī* as well as the peculiar shape of its hill (cf. VIII, 2528 where it is said to be 'narrow below where it projects into the stream and with a long stretched ridge') described with the author's usual accuracy, but also the physical and climatic conditions of the country around are clearly indicated (cf. VIII, 2510-11 where reference is made to its 'trees of darkness' and its 'terrible' winter owing to the heavy snowfall). These data have enabled *Stein* to identify the site with the *Gaṇeś Ghāt* ridge situated on the *Kisangangā* about 2½ miles below the ancient shrine of *Śīradā* now called *Sardi*. *Kalhana's* detailed account of the preparations

for the siege made by the royal forces have been shown by Stein to be in complete agreement with the physical and climatic conditions of the site. The 'Lord of the Gate' Udaya, as we learn from the chronicler, posted himself at the Draṅga or frontier watch-station, which has been identified by Stein with the little village of the same name 'situated on the direct route from the Uttar *parganā* to the Śāradā Tirtha (Śārdī) on the Kīṣāṅgāṅgā'. Stein explains this by reference to the strategic importance of the village which, being the meeting place of several valleys extending down from the water-shed to the Kīṣāṅgāṅgā, forms an excellent position for preventing the enemy's retreat into Kashmir proper. The other royal general Dhanya built rows of wooden huts for the besieging forces on the bank of the Madhumatī. This step, according to Stein, was most necessary, as the Kīṣāṅgāṅgā valley has sufficient level ground only near Śārdī above which the land is almost uninhabitable for a considerable distance, while the climate owing to the heavy rain and snowfall and the extensive forests and numerous neighbouring snowy peaks is even colder than what might be expected from its elevation of 6500 ft above sea-level. The king, Kalhana continues, sent his generals immense supplies, a measure which, Stein says, was rendered necessary by the inhospitable nature of the country around Śārdī. The means of transport was the same oppressive system of forced labour which, as Stein observes, was used for the annual transport of stores for the Gilgit garrison until the building of the Gilgit road a few years before his time. Though the royal troops bravely held their own for three or four months, they were unable to make any impression, as they neglected to cut off the enemy's food supplies. At length they were led by the direct orders of the resolute king to lay a regular siege to the castle. Leaving his camp on the Madhumatī bank, general Dhanya advanced to the main approach to the castle and built a continuous line of block-houses whence at night he kept up fires burning so that 'even an ant could not move about without being noticed'. Dhanya further blocked the enemy's access to the water by keeping boats constantly plying about on the river. Explaining these details with reference to the local topography, Stein says that the high ridge to the south of the castle which was its main approach and must have been occupied by Dhanya would enable him to cut off the enemy's supplies from the neighbouring hamlets and prevent all exits from the fort. Again, the keeping of boats (or rather rafts) for preventing access to the river which flows both to the north and west of the castle, 'would be

practicable in the low water of the winter season when the siege took place by fastening the rafts to ropes fixed on the opposite river-bank north of the castle' In the result the rebel Dāmara leader was so much afflicted with privations of food and drink that he surrendered two of the pretenders who had taken refuge with him to the royalists. The victorious general raised the siege and returned to the capital in triumph. (On the above, see Stein, II, Appendix, Note I *The Castle of Śrābhīlā*, and his notes on VIII, 2507, 2509-13, and 2583).

### *Foreign relations*

Kalhana's full and detailed narrative of reigns and dynasties throws valuable light from time to time upon the foreign relations of the kingdom during the past centuries. It is indeed to be regretted that he is completely silent about the political power of Kashmir at the time of Hiuen Tsang's visit (c. 631-33 A.D.) probably during the reign of Durlabhavardhana, when the kingdom, according to the Chinese pilgrim, exercised sovereignty over all adjacent countries on the west and south down to the Punjab plains. (For references see Stein I, *Introduction*, p. 87) Making all allowance for Kalhana's exaggerations we may say that the Kashmirian power undoubtedly reached its height in the reign of Lalitāditya, who indeed is credited by the chronicler (IV, 146 ff.) with a victorious march all over India as well as extensive conquests of fabled lands on the west and north. The independent evidence of the Annals of the T'ang dynasty shows how Lalitāditya used both arms and diplomacy to curb the menace of the Tibetan power, for the Kashmirian king claimed not only to have won repeated victories over his northern neighbours and made common cause against them with a king of Central India, but also invited the help of a considerable Chinese force against the common enemy (See Stein I, *Introduction*, p. 91). On the other hand the author's account of the foreign expeditions of Jayāpīḍa resolves itself, as Stein well observes (*Introduction*, p. 95), into a mass of mere legendary anecdotes. The expedition of Śaṅkaravarman, in spite of Kalhana's magniloquent description (IV, 136 ff.), appears from his own account to have been confined to the Southern hill-states and the adjoining Punjab plain and to have been attended with indifferent success. But it brought Kashmir at any rate into contact with the powerful Hindu Śāhiya kingdom under its first king Lallīya Śāhi. This contact was renewed in the reign of Gopālavarman (902-904 A.D.) when the powerful minister Prabhākaraśaṅkara (V, 232-33) vanquished the rebel-

lious Śāhi of Udabhāndapura (i.e. Sāmanta, the second Śāhi ruler in Alberuni's list) and bestowed the throne upon Toramāna-Kamaluka (i.e. Kamalu, the third king of Alberuni's list) The traditional connection was renewed when Bhīma Śāhi, the next king of the dynasty, had his daughter's daughter Diddā married to king Kseinagupta and he built a Viṣṇu temple in her adoptive country VI, 177-78 Again, when Trilocanapāla the last independent king of the dynasty sought the help of Saṃgrāmarāja against the forces of Sultan Mahmud of Ghazna, the minister Tunga marched out (VII, 47 ff.) with a large army but shared in the disastrous defeat which, to quote Kalhana's words, 'brought about the descent of the Tuuskas on the whole surface of the earth' (VII, 70) Ordinarily, however, the political relations, friendly or hostile, of Kashmir were confined to the petty hill-states on its frontiers Among the more important of such states were the kingdoms of Kāsthavāta (modern Kishtwar on the upper Chinab) and Campa (modern Chamba on the upper Ravi), the hill-states of the Dārvābhīṣāra (the lower and middle hills between the Chinab and the Jhelum) including above all Rājapuri (modern Rajauri) and Lohara (modern Loharan on the north-west of Rajauri), the kingdom of Uraśā (modern Hazara district between the Jhelum and the Indus), Daradadeśa or the territory of the Darads on the upper Kisangangā, the territory of the Bhauttas (or Tibetans) comprising as early as in Chinese times the tracts of Baltistan and Ladakh Of these states Rājapuri, no doubt because of its situation on the most direct route to the Punjab, was often brought into close relations with Kashmir From the 10th century onwards Rājapuri was practically an independent state, though the Kashmir rulers (as Kalhana tells us) frequently sent expeditions into the country The adjoining hill-state of Lohara was intimately connected with Kashmir from the beginning of the 11th century when a branch of its ruling family ascended the Kashmir throne. Subsequently this branch succeeded also to Lohara which became the family stronghold of the Kashmirian kings and as such played a conspicuous part in the history of the kingdom [On the above see Stein II, *Memoir on the Ancient Geography of Kāśmīr*, Ch IV, Section I]

### *Famine, flood and fire*

With his characteristic passion for facts Kalhana has several times recorded careful details of natural calamities that overtook his native land in the past. Already in the reign of Tuñjina I, one of the ancient kings,

we hear (II, 17-54) of a great famine which was relieved by the noble-hearted king and his saintly queen. Coming down to the historical period, Kalhana mentions (V, 270-78) a dreadful famine, resulting from a devastating flood, to have taken place in 917-18 A.D. during the misrule of the tyrant Pārtha and his wicked ministers. The chronicler vividly illustrates the resulting rise in prices by saying that 1 *khārī* of rice sold for 1000 *dinnāras*. In the reign of Abhimanyu I (958-972 A.D.) a great conflagration broke out at Śrīnagar, of which the extent is carefully noted by the chronicler (cf. VI, 190-191 where the fire is said to have started from near the Tungeśvata market and spread as far as Bhiksukīpāraka near the shrine of Viṣṇu Vardhanasvāmin and destroyed the great buildings within the limits of 'Vetāla's measuring-line'). A great famine caused, is before, by a flood swept over the country in 1099-1100 A.D. (VII, 1219 ff.), when king Harsa was oppressing his subjects and a plague was raging. The cumulative effect of the people's sufferings is well described by the author in the following words: 'On this land which suffered wounds, as it were, of the king's infliction there fell also another series of calamities which were like caustics thrown (on those wounds)' (VII, 1216). What terrible havoc was caused by this outbreak is illustrated by Kalhana with reference to the famine prices of some principal commodities. These are given as 500 *dinnāras* for 1 *khārī* of rice, 1 *dinnāra* for 2 *palas* (i.e. as Stein calculates 500 *dinnāras* for 1 *khārī*) of grape juice and 6 *dinnāras* for 1 *pala* (i.e. according to Stein's calculations 11,520 *dinnāras* for 1 *khārī*) of wool. 'Of salt, pepper, assafoetida and other articles it was difficult even to hear the name' (On the above see Stein II, Appendix, Note H. *The Tera Dinnāra and the Monetary System of Kashmir*, esp. pp. 325-26. Comparing these figures with the prices of Moslem times Stein proves the extraordinary cheapness of all indigenous products in Kashmir not only in Hindu times but for centuries thereafter). In 'the terrible year of the Laukika era 4199' i.e. 1123-24 A.D., when Sussala was besieged in his capital by the rebellious Dāmaras, a great fire was started by them which reduced the whole city to ashes. With his usual care Kalhana records the extent of this awful calamity (cf. VIII, 1169 and 1171-72 where we are told that the fire started in the Kāsthila quarter and then spread to Māksikasvāmin and Indradivibhavana Vihāra). This was followed by a terrible famine of which the effects are described by the chronicler with great vividness (VIII, 1206 ff.).

*Miscellaneous affairs*

Kalhana's interest in the past history of his native land is not confined to the affairs of finance and justice, pious constructions, peace and war. Among king Kalaśa's good acts during the latter part of his reign is mentioned (VII, 606) the king's introduction of a taste for choral songs (*upāṅga-gīta*) and a careful selection of female dances 'as customary in other lands'. The accomplished Harsa in the early part of his reign is said to have introduced into his court (VII, 921 ff.) gorgeous fashions of dress and ornament and adopted a new coin-type borrowed from the gold-coinage of Karnaṭa.

*Military usurpation of power*

In the course of his work Kalhana has occasion to describe the terrible evils of the usurpation of power by the military forces of the Crown. For nearly 30 years (904-36 A.D.) an organised body of foot-soldiers called Tantrins was so powerful as to make and unmake kings at their will in the fashion of the Praetorian Guard of the Roman Empire in the early centuries of the Christian era. The kings who were in the service of the Tantrins ousted one another 'like village officials' by offering greater and greater bribes. As the chronicler writes (V, 266) with patriotic grief and shame, "In this land, the rulers of which had conquered Kānyakubja and other (countries), the kings (now) maintained themselves by giving bills of exchange to the Tantrins". It was during this period that the kingdom was overtaken by the severe famine of 917-18 A.D. to which reference has been made immediately above. The callous indifference shown by the evil king and his ministers is condemned (V, 278) by the chronicler in words of pathetic contrast with the good old times. "Thus demons of kings led to destruction at that time those subjects who had been dear to Tuṅjīna [I], Candrāpīda and other protectors of the people." The series of short inglorious reigns during this time is compared by the chronicler (V, 279) with 'the bubbles produced in the water by a down-pour of rain on a dull day'. The evil lives of licentious queens (V, 281-286) who competed for the favour of powerful ministers completed the sombre picture. When at last the power of the Tantrins was broken by a great victory won by king Cakravarman in 936 A.D., the chronicler could exultingly say (V, 338-40) that the victor had like a great snake destroyed those evil Tantrins who had like cruel snake-charmers reduced princes

'deserving of respect, unapproachable and of great descent' to helplessness and had wantonly exposed them to public shame.

### *Feudal anarchy*

A potent source of misrule in Kashmir in later times was the rise to power of the *Ḍāmaras*, the landholding barons great and small. Already during the reign of king Avantivarma, reference is made to a powerful member of this class who rendered himself obnoxious by plundering temple endowments and was deservedly put to death in a summary fashion by the king's faithful minister *Sūra*. It was with the help of *Ḍāmaras*, as Kalhana informs us, that Cakravarman won his great victory over the Tantrins to which reference has been made just now. From the accession of the Lohara dynasty in 1003 A.D. Kalhana's narrative shows how the *Ḍāmaras* acquired such military and political influence as to become an unending danger to the royal authority. Harsa made a notable attempt to exterminate this turbulent class, but the attempt cost him his throne and his life. The succeeding reigns down to Kalhana's own time form almost a continuous record of struggles between the central authority and the *Ḍāmaras* or else between the different sections of the *Ḍāmaras* themselves, that were aided by the rise of successive pretenders (On the above see Stein II, Appendix, Note G, *The Ḍāmaras*, where full references are given. To Stein belongs the credit of first clearly explaining the meaning of *Ḍāmaras*). We shall see later how Kalhana's painful experience of the habitual lawlessness of the *Ḍāmaras* coloured his judgment on this class as a whole.

### *Historical portraits*

In analysing the contents of Kalhana's great work we have reserved for consideration in the last place his remarkable series of character-sketches. In truth it may be said of Kalhana that he stands unique among the known authors of historical *kāvyas* for the individuality of his historical portraits. From the commencement of the historical period and specially for his recent times the throng of characters—kings, queens, ministers and other officials, territorial nobles, courtiers, parasites, pretenders—that fills the stage in Kalhana's narrative appear before us in the reality of their ordinary lives and experiences. Even the groups and classes of people like the Brahman assemblies and the priestly corporations, the native and foreign soldiery, the

merchants and officials, are reproduced before our eyes with all their characteristic weaknesses or strong points. We propose to illustrate this point by giving a brief retrospect of the period from the beginning of the Utpala dynasty onwards. Avantivarman, the founder of the dynasty, is presented before us as an able ruler generous towards his subjects (cf. V, 18. The wise Avantivarman gave away the whole treasure in alms and allowed only the regal *caurīs* and parasol to remain of that splendour'), affectionate towards his relations and followers (cf. V, 42. 'Avantivarman who was free from jealousy granted permanent royal prerogatives to his uterine brothers and *Sūra* and the latter's son'), lavish in his pious foundations (V, 23 ff.). A pretty anecdote recorded about him (V, 17) proves him to be above royal conventions and formalities. The king was served with equal zeal and ability by his minister *Sūra* (cf. V, 63. 'Such a king and such a minister whose relations were never disfigured by the blemish of mutual hatred have not otherwise been seen or heard of'), who is praised (V, 33 ff.) for his patronage of learning and his pious foundations. An anecdote told of him illustrates his deep loyalty to the king and his strict justice awarded without respect for rank or personal relations. This relates to the story of his summary execution of a powerful *Dāmara*, his own favourite, who had roused the king's displeasure by plunder of temple property. The chronicler tells a touching story (V, 43 and 124) relating how the king, although a *Vaiṣṇava*, acted as a *Saiva* out of deference to his *Saiva* minister, but at the approach of death confessed with folded hands his *Vaiṣṇava* faith to his minister. The illustrious *Suṣya* who shed lustre on the reign by his construction of extensive drainage and irrigation works is fittingly praised by the chronicler for his uncanny skill (cf. V, 102. 'He made the different streams, with their waves, which are like the quivering tongues of snakes, move about according to his will just as a conjurer does with the snakes'). He is also mentioned (V, 120) for his grant of a village called after his own name to the Brahmins. *Śaṅkara* varman, son and successor of Avantivarman, at first won fame as a conqueror and builder, but afterwards turned into a cruel oppressor of his subjects. Great point is given to the author's condemnation of the tyrant by an imaginary remonstrance put into the mouth of the noble-hearted Prince *Gopālavarman* to which the king replies in a brutally cynical speech ending with the words. 'You yourself should grant me to-day this one boon. May you not after ascending the throne oppress your subjects even



more!<sup>1</sup> (V, 202) Under the weak successors of Gopālavarman the kingdom fell a prey to the Tantrins, the Praetorian Guard of Kashmirian history, to whom reference has been made above. Cakravarman who crushed the power of the Tantrins by a great victory made himself infamous by raising a Candāla woman to the rank of Chief Queen and making her relatives and followers his ministers and favourites (cf. V, 391: 'Robbers as ministers, a Śvapāka woman as queen, Śvapākas as friends. What wonders were left for king Cakravarman to achieve?') The baseness of the ministers who with a few honourable exceptions stooped to flatter the upstarts and of the degraded Brahmins who accepted *agrabāras* from the sinful king is justly condemned (V, 389-393, 403) by Kalhana. The author's injured Brahmanical pride manifests itself in indignant denunciation of the presumption of the Candāla queen in entering divine temples (V, 394) and bitter satire on the arrogance and boorishness of her father who rebuked a high official in the vernacular for neglecting to carry out the royal orders for granting a village to himself (V, 397-398). When the king at length was justly murdered by some Dāmaras, Kalhana could say that 'the wicked lover of the Śvapākī' was 'killed by robbers like a dog' (V, 413). His successor was 'the evil ruler resembling a demon', justly called the 'mad Avanti'. The evil deeds of 'this most degraded of kings' included indulgence in coarse buffooneries, the brutal murder of his father and other relatives, and atrocious cruelties towards women and labourers (V, 414-48).

Yaśaskara who was elected to the throne by a Brahman assembly after the extinction of the Utpala dynasty is described by Kalhana as a king of great wisdom, ability and justice whose rule was an unmingled blessing to the subjects (VI, 6-13). With some inconsistency however, the same king is elsewhere (VI, 70ff) stigmatised for amassing riches, for treachery in getting rid of Tantrins and for private vices. Kalhana describes with moving pathos the sad end of this king who, afflicted with a painful disease and retiring to a sacred spot to die, was deserted by most of his followers, was robbed by some others and was at length poisoned by those who were anxious to seize the kingdom. Among other characters of this period we may mention the villainous and scheming minister Parvagupta, born in a humble writer's family but filled with the unholy ambition of seizing the throne on seeing 'kings who were like worms' ever since the rise of the Tantrins to power (V, 421). Instigating the tyrant 'the mad Avanti' to destroy his own family, Parvagupta deceived even the good king Yaśaskara

into giving him a high office and repayed his benefactor by robbing him on his death-bed (VII, 102-3, 118) Parvagupta found his opportunity after the accession of the child-king Samgrāmadeva, Yaśaskara's son and successor, when he quickly seized the supreme power and assumed royal honours. Failing to destroy the child by witchcraft, he suddenly attacked the palace and killed the king, and seized the throne (VI, 121-125). Other base acts recorded of him by the chronicler, included his pandering to Avanti's buffooneries and cruelties (V, 420 ff.) and lusting though in vain, for a noble-minded queen of Yaśaskara (VI, 138-144). In the following half-century the most outstanding figure of Kashmirian history was Diddā, Queen of Parvagupta's son and successor Ksemagupta. Descended on her mother's side from the illustrious Śāhi dynasty of Uḍabhāṇḍapura, she gained complete ascendancy over her worthless husband, after whose death she ruled successively as regent for her son and three grandsons and at length by her own right. Cruel and self-indulgent, with a strong touch of feminine inconsistency (cf. VI, 193: 'The king's mother and guardian, confused in her mind and listening to every body, after woman's wont, did not reflect what was true and what not'), of a nature intensely suspicious, not too proud to conciliate disaffected Dāmaraś (cf. VI, 282: 'The queen, fearing a rebellion, disregarded the shame of humiliation and exerted herself to appease them. How can those who are absorbed by selfishness have a sense of honour?'), with an insatiable thirst for power, she was yet gifted with high political and diplomatic talents, with capacity for firm action (cf. VI, 256-58 where she is said to have exterminated 'those treacherous ministers who during sixty years from the year of the Laukika era 3977, had robbed sixteen kings from king Gopālavarmaṇ to Abhimanyu of their dignity, lives and riches'), with a short spell of pious devotion towards deities and tender regard for her subject's welfare (cf. VI, 295, 'From that time forward the wealth which she had acquired by evil acts became purified through her astonishing deeds of piety', VI, 297. 'From the time that he had roused in her the priceless affection for her people and she had abandoned her evil ways, the queen became esteemed by everyone'). Among Diddā's ministers may be mentioned Phalguna, a faithful counsellor of Yaśaskara and Ksemagupta, 'who out-shone all by his counsel, courage, energy and other good qualities' (VI, 199), and having nobly sought refuge from the queen's unjust persecutions in voluntary exile, returned to her service at her call and served her faithfully till his death. Even the queen

felt such respect for his character that she concealed her cruelty and malignity till his death after which she 'committed hundredfold excesses by open misconduct' (VI, 314). An equally attractive and still more honourable character was 'the faithful Naravāhana, the best of ministers' (VI, 260), who again and again proved his loyalty and valour by singly fighting the rebels but was at last driven to commit suicide by the queen's unjust suspicions. His sad end is said by the chronicler (VI, 278) to be befitting a man with a high sense of honour. Less attractive is the figure of Yaśodhara who deserted the rebels to accept the office of Commander-in-Chief from the queen and afterwards, going over, to the enemy's side was captured and justly punished by his infuriated sovereign (VI, 218 ff.) Of a decidedly evil type are the ministers Rakka and Sindhu who poisoned the queen's ears against her most faithful servants (VI, 233, 267). Sindhu's brother Bhuyya, on the other hand, is praised by the chronicler for encouraging the queen in her pious acts and rousing in her 'the priceless affection for her people'.

Samgrāmatāja, who ascended the Kashmir throne by Diddā's nomination and became the founder of the Lohara dynasty, is described by the chronicler as indolent and pleasure-loving and yet of sufficient spirit to resent the domination of the all-powerful minister Tunga (cf VII, 72. "The king felt annoyed at his dependence on Tunga, even an animal's spirit is pained by dependence on others"). The king disgraced himself by causing the assassination of Tunga by base teachers and by conferring offices on wicked and incapable men after the latter's death. Tunga who was the son of a Khaśa villager from the neighbouring territory of Parnotsa and was raised by Diddā's favour to the high office of Prime-Minister, is described by Kalhana as a man of great courage and capacity which failed him in his unfamiliar warfare with Hamūira (Sultan Mahmud of Ghazna) and afterwards in his choice of low-born favourites like the Kāyasthas Bhadrēśvara and Candramukha to high offices. Haruāja son of Samgrāmatāja who enjoyed a short reign of only 22 days is warmly praised by the chronicler for the efficiency and goodness of his rule (VII, 129. 'He whose orders were never infringed cleared the land of thieves and prohibited the closing of doors in the market-street at night'). On the other hand the queen-mother Śrīlekhā is justly blamed by the chronicler for her licentious character and her unnatural thirst for power (VII, 123 ff. and 133 ff.) Kalhana's description of Ananta, son and successor of Haruāja, shows us a

king possessing high courage in fighting rebellious Dāmaras and tender solicitude for his faithful troops (VII, 156 ff.), but wasteful and extravagant 'like one born on the throne' (cf. VII, 144ff. mentioning the exorbitant salaries of  $1\frac{1}{2}$  lakh and 80,000 *dinnāras* duly drawn by two of the king's Śāhi favourites also cf. VII, 188 ff. describing the king's extravagant gifts to his favourite horse-trainers and two foreigners one of whom took the throne and diadem as the security for his debt). Towards the end of his long reign he fell completely under the influence of his Queen Sūryamatī (cf. VII, 199 'From that time onwards it was the queen who took the king's business in hand, while the king left off talking about his prowess and did what he was bid to do'), who at first led him to a virtuous life (cf. VII, 201 'Wise Anantadeva surpassed even the *munis* by his devotion to Śiva, his vows, bathings, liberality, morals and other virtues') and brought him the services of the wise and faithful minister Haladhara and the latter's valiant nephew Bimba (VII, 208 ff.). But the same queen afterwards induced the king against the advice of his wise ministers to abdicate the throne in favour of their unworthy son Kalāśa. Even when Ananta resumed the royal power, he neglected again and again under the evil influence of his Queen to chastise his son in time. Too late the king realised the baneful consequences of his submission to his wife's will (cf. the reproachful words put into Ananta's mouth, VII, 423 ff., beginning with the words, 'Pride, honour, valour, royal dignity, power, intellect, riches—what is it, alas, that I have not lost by following my wife's will') and with her counter-reproaches ringing in his ears, sought relief in suicide (cf. VII, 453 'The king who ought to have been accustomed to ease found at last occasion, freed from the worrying of his wife and son, to stretch out his legs and sleep'). The Queen Sūryamatī is described by Kalhana as a wise and devoted wife (cf. VII, 197 where she is stated to have redeemed out of her own savings the royal throne and diadem taken by a foreign merchant as a security for the king's debt) and a lady of great piety (cf. VII, 180 ff. giving a list of her pious foundations and munificent gifts of *agrahāras* to Brahman). But all her virtues were brought to naught by her blind love for her unworthy son which landed both herself and the king in endless miseries and at length forced the latter, as told above, to find refuge in suicide. The Queen nobly atoned for her fault by burning herself on the funeral pyre of her husband amid the lamentations of her people. At the last tragic scene her fine womanly qualities were shown by her eager, though vain, wish to see

her son, her sipping the water of the sacred Vitastā for obtaining final deliverance and, last but not the least, her solemn oath attesting to the purity of her moral character. When 'she leapt with a bright smile from the litter into the flaming fire', 'the sky became encircled and reddened with sheets of flame just as if the gods, in order to celebrate her arrival had covered it with minium' (VII, 478-479). Three faithful male and as many female servants whose names are carefully recorded by the chronicler followed their unfortunate mistress to death.

King Kalaśa whose reign is described by the chronicler in great detail, is presented as a mixture of opposites (cf VII, 534 where Kalhana refers to the king's doings as being of a mixed character). Led by 'the wretched foreigners' and other evil associates in early youth into shameless debauchery (VII, 273 ff.) of which the evil effects were felt even in the king's old age (VII, 519 ff.), behaving with base ingratitude towards his doing parents (VII, 366 ff.), occasional plunderer of temple endowments (VII, 570) and sacrilegious destroyer of divine images (VII 696), he was yet capable of vigilant watchfulness over state affairs (VII, 507 ff.), of establishing pious foundations (VII, 525 ff.) and of introducing improved fashions of song and dance (VII, 606). The very detailed account (VII, 617 ff.) of the relations between Kalaśa and his eldest son Prince Harsa in the years immediately preceding the king's death is interesting as illustrating the mixed feelings of tenderness and suspicion which they entertained towards each other. The weak side of Kalaśa's character was shown by his retiring to die in the Mātanda shrine, although he had been hitherto a worshipper of Śiva and had performed *tāntric* rites under the direction of Gurus (cf VII, 712. 'The pride which he had before shown in the instructions of his Gurus was rendered ridiculous by such cowardly submission more befitting miserly wretches and the like'. In connexion with the above, Stein's mention of Kalaśa's late conversion to *Vaiṣṇava* worship (VII 712 n. is a slip) Kalaśa was fortunate enough to be served by a succession of able ministers who made the king's power feared and respected by the neighbouring hill rājās, eight of whom assembled to do him honour at his capital (VII, 587). Among these ministers we have to mention the valiant and faithful *rāja-putra* Bijja who after serving the king with exemplary loyalty sought refuge from the king's unjust suspicion in a voluntary exile, the resourceful Vāmana whose wonderful official acts were remembered even down to Kalhana's day and who alone cared to perform the king's funeral rites after

his death, the brave Malla who won high fame by his successful invasion of Uraśā (VII, 585 ff.), the valiant but irritable Kandarpa whom Kalaśa could only with difficulty persuade to stick to his office (cf. the characteristic anecdote told of him VII, 603-04 which has every appearance of truth) and who lived to distinguish himself by his capture of Rājapuri during Harṣa's reign and being driven to exile by his ungrateful master was remembered by the latter with regret in the last days of his misery. Among the king's parasites was the villainous Viśavaṭṭa who first urged Harṣa to kill his father (VII, 617 ff.) and then betrayed the Prince (VII, 629) and was justly executed by the latter after his accession.

Kalhana describes Utkarṣa, son and successor of Kalaśa, as a mean and miserly character whose 'only daily occupation was to inspect the hoards of the treasury and to weigh them' (VII, 756) and who thereby earned the just reprobation of his own stepmothers and his brother as well as all respectable citizens (VII, 758-760, 773-74). Among his ministers was the cruel but faithful Nonaka who advised Harṣa's execution (as he had done in the last reign) and afterwards upbraided the king for his folly in disregarding his advice (VII, 782 ff.) After Utkarṣa's death Nonaka was imprisoned and executed by Harṣa who however regretted the death of 'a man of a large mind and devoted to his master' (VIII, 890).

For sheer mixture of contradictory qualities the character of Harṣa, Utkarṣa's elder brother and successor, stands unrivalled. In an eloquent passage (VII, 868 ff.) prefacing the account of the reign, Kalhana mentions the incomprehensible character of this king which was quite unlike that of other kings dealt with by him. The story of king Harṣa, he explains 'has seen the rise of all enterprises and yet tells of all failures', 'brings to light all kinds of settled plans and yet shows the absence of all policy' 'displays an excessive assertion of the ruling power and yet has witnessed excessive disregard of orders' 'tells of excessive abundance of liberality and of equally excessive persistence in confiscation' 'gives delight by an abundant display of compassion and shocks by the superabundance of murders' 'is rendered charming by the redundancy of pious works and soiled by the superabundance of sins' 'is attractive on all sides and yet repulsive, worthy of praise and deserving of blame'. Even as a Prince, Harṣa is described (VII, 609-611) as 'possessed of exceptional powers', 'knowing all languages, 'a good poet in all tongues', 'a depository of all learning', who patronised distinguished men from other lands. Elsewhere

(VII, 942) he is mentioned as the author of songs of such tender pathos that they were appreciated even during Kalhana's lifetime. (That these encomiums were well deserved is proved by the almost similar terms in which the contemporary Kashmirian poet Bilhana writes of Harsa in his *Vikramāṅkacarita*. See references in Stein, VII, 609-101. In the same context Stein refers to the quotations of verses ascribed to a certain Harsadeva in a number of Sanskrit anthologies). Kalhana describes in striking language Harṣa's extraordinary physical frame and commanding presence (VII, 874-878) as well as the splendour and brilliance of the king's court (VII, 881 ff.) We are expressly told that Harsa introduced new and elegant fashions of dress and ornament (VII, 921 ff.) and that he borrowed a coin-type from the Deccan. (This last statement is supported by the discovery of Harṣa's unique gold coinage imitated from the Deccan models. See Cunningham, *Coins of Mediaeval India*, p. 34). The author also speaks (VII, 934 ff.) of Harsa's lavish patronage of men of learning which made even Bilhana enjoying the splendid patronage of the contemporary Cālukya king sigh for his favour. But such high praise was not to be bestowed upon the king for long. With well-deserved severity Kalhana exposes (VII, 1001 ff.) the perversity of the king who led by evil counsellors drove his valiant and faithful Commander-in-Chief Kandarpa into exile, who executed a number of young princes without any cause, whose wholesale confiscation of temple treasures and destruction of divine images earned for him the designation of a Turiska, who not content with his accumulated treasures oppressed the people with imposts of all kinds. Other acts of folly mentioned (VII, 1120 ff.) by Kalhana (which, as he himself says, would appear incredible to posterity) included an unholy passion for the beautiful Cālukya Queen, the worship of slave-girls posing as goddesses and so forth. His want of moral sense 'as befitted the son of king Kalaśa' was exhibited (VII, 1147 ff.) by the liberties he took with his step-mothers and sisters, his partaking of pig's flesh etc. The king's cowardice was conspicuously displayed in his failure to take two successive fortresses, while his morbid cruelty was shown by his imposing heavy fines upon the people already afflicted with plague, flood and famine and still more by his ferocious persecution of Dāmaras. Well might the chronicler state that some demon had descended in the form of Harsa 'to destroy this land hallowed by gods, tīrthas and ṛshis' (VII, 1243). The chronicler goes on to mention some of the king's peculiar habits including 'cruelty, excessive conduct, meanness and pleasure in

doing things which befitted the god of death' which were 'like those of a goblin'.

The author's moving account of the last days of Harṣa, which is one of the master-pieces of historical description, gives us in studiously simple language the picture of a king whom an unending series of misfortunes had bereft of all resolution and wisdom and even of personal courage (cf. VII, 1454 'His wisdom, bold resolution and decision vanished all at once in his misfortune, when the time of his ruin had approached') and the tragedy of whose fall was redeemed only by his tender affection for his noble son Bhoja, his belated remorse for the wrong done to his subjects and his loyal servants in former years, and last but not the least, the heroism which he displayed at the time of his death. Kalhana tells us how Harṣa surrounded by his foes and deserted by most of his troops neglected the wise advice of his few faithful ministers to retire to the family strong-hold of Lohara (VII, 1386 ff.), how he failed to muster up courage to seek his own death (VII, 1407), how at the sight of the awful tragedy of his queens and princesses burning themselves in the royal palace after his own defeat at the city bridge-head he continued muttering to himself an ancient verse ('The fire which has risen from the burning pains of the subjects does not go out until it has consumed the king's race, fortune and life' VII, 1581), how he made his last faithful minister Candaka leave his side in a vain quest for his departed son (VII, 1587), how when deserted even by the *rājaputras* and denied shelter in every house of note in the capital he failed to remember a faithful Dāmara who alone had kept faithful 'like a true wife never turning the eyes towards anyone else' (VII, 1630-31), how when he heard the news of the death of his well-beloved son he in his misery fancied that he saw the son 'as a child with his limbs adorned with strings of pearls and resting on his own breast (VII, 1675), how he gently upbraided his faithful attendant who reminded him of the selfish indifference of his subjects in a speech of ineffable tenderness for his lost son (cf. VII, 1687 'If I myself after hearing that my son, the life of my life, is dead, yet remain here as if all were right, how can anyone else be blamed for showing indifference?'), and how at the last moment, finding himself surrounded by his foes to whom he had been basely betrayed, he sold his life dearly, showing even at the end the magnanimity worthy of a great prince (VII, 1702 and 1705 ff.). At the close of his narrative Kalhana sums up the causes of Harṣa's failure in words which can hardly be regarded as



complete. For Harsa's failure, according to the author (VII, 1715-16) was due to his aversion to battle alone or else only to his want of independent judgment.

Of the members of Harsa's family we may first mention the bold and resolute Prince Bhoja, 'foremost of the fighters', who repulsed Sussala's attack on the capital (VII, 1525 ff.) and afterwards met a heroic death in fighting against his treacherous servants (VII, 1654 ff.) Mention may also be made of the heroic Sāhi and other Queens who burnt themselves in 'the four-pillared pavilion of the palace of a hundred gates' when Uccala with his Dāmaras burst into the city (VII, 1579). We may, lastly, refer to Harṣa's bold and impetuous brother Prince Vijayamalla who helped his release from prison and accession to the throne by a timely rising and who afterwards, when led into treason by the king's unjust persecution, fought his way with his brave wife through the royal forces only to be killed by an avalanche.

Among the king's ministers we may first speak of the cunning city-prefect Vijayasimha who took the decisive step in raising Harsa to the throne and putting Utkarsa under arrest. A very attractive figure is Candrarāja who justified his high descent (cf. VII, 1364 'He, descended from the illustrious Jindurāja and other ancestors who had not desired to die on a couch, displayed noble conduct') by accepting the dangerous post of Commander-in-Chief which none else of the frightened ministers would accept in the king's last desperate fight with the brothers Uccala and Sussala. Winning the first fight and killing the enemy's general, he afterwards found himself deserted by his troops and maintaining the unequal combat for long, was killed in battle (VII, 1499 ff.) With his death, as Kalhana justly observes, vanished Harsa's last hopes. An equally attractive personality is Ānanda who, raised to the position of Governor by Harsa, first distinguished himself, in a successful fight with Uccala and afterwards, being deserted by his troops, was captured and put to death. He was, as Kalhana aptly says (VII, 1376), 'the only one to purchase glory at the expense of his body among king Harṣa's servants who were characterised by treachery and timidity'. His mother, one of those virtuous women who have borne sons worthy of praise for devotion to their lord's service', found relief for grief for her only son in mounting the funeral pyre (VII, 1580). A touching story told by the chronicler (VII, 1381 ff.) illustrates at once the mother's strong affection for her son and proud acquiescence in his devo-

tion to the State service—both befitting a Roman matron of the early Republican Period—and the king's high appreciation of the son's loyalty. Other attractive figures of the same period are the high minister Canpaka, father of Kalhana, who could be persuaded only with great difficulty by the deluded king to leave him (VII, 1587) and the faithful attendant Prayāga who stayed with the king till the end and was killed by his side (VII, 1622 ff.). Among the king's evil ministers was 'the wretch' Lostadhara who put into the king's head the idea of confiscating temple treasures (VII, 1080 ff.), the vile Madana who accepted the post of Chamberlain to the Cālukya Queen in effigy (VII, 1125), and the villainous Sunna, prefect of police, who completed a long career of treachery by bringing Uccala to the capital and deserting the king in his last days (VII, 1597-99). [It will be seen from the above that the history of Kashmir in the tenth and eleventh centuries is by no means wanting in noble and heroic characters as well as commanding talents. It is therefore difficult to agree with the following verdict (Ram Chandra Kak, *Ancient Monuments of Kashmir* London 1933, p. 24) which seems to be more rhetorical than true to fact: 'The state of Kashmir in the tenth and eleventh centuries forms a close parallel with that of Italy under Pope Alexander VI and Caesar Borgias. But the Italian Popes and their satellites often differed from the Kashmir kings in that their evil lives were at any rate relieved by the display of commanding talents'. Further it appears to us that the detailed analysis of Harsa's character given above does not justify the title of 'the Nero of Kashmir history' given to him by Stein I, Introduction p. 32.]

From Kalhana's account of the reign of the next king Uccala, he appears before us as a shrewd, wise and energetic Prince devoted to the welfare of his subjects. Faced at the beginning of his reign with formidable difficulties which Kalhana vividly describes (cf. VIII, 7 'Robbers as ministers and feudatories, a brother ready to become a pretender, a land without treasure, what difficulties did not beset the king!'), he met them with ability and success. He conciliated his headstrong brother Sussala by crowning him as the king of the family possession of Lohara, while he brought the unruly Dāmaras under control by a mixture of force and diplomacy. Kalhana quotes him (VIII, 45-47) as taking for his motto two lessons, namely, accessibility to his people from morning to evening in his palace and constant preparedness for suppressing revolts. It was no doubt

in accordance with the second principle that he showed wonderful energy in repulsing the invasion of Sussala and getting rid of a number of pretenders. When the most formidable of his rivals Bhiksācara, son of Bhoja and grandson of Harṣa, fled from his court to the protection of the distant king of Malava, Uccala prudently concluded treaties with Princes on the route to prevent the pretender's entry into Kashmir (VIII, 231). Uccala's beneficent measures for the welfare of his subjects, carried out no doubt in accordance with his first principle, are stated by the chronicler (VIII, 64) to follow from his one great virtue, viz. indifference to wealth. A list of restorations of old temples and images as well as the renovation of the royal throne of Jayāpīḍa (VIII, 77 ff.) attested to the piety and nobility of the king's character. In this connection Kalhana quotes a celebrated judgment of the king in a difficult law-suit to illustrate his uncanny wisdom which he 'must have obtained from the body of Śeṣanāga' (VIII, 122). In an earlier passage (VIII, 85 ff.) Kalhana describes with great relish the king's 'another merit which stood foremost among all his virtues', namely, his humiliation of the hated class of Kāyasthas, those 'plagues of the people'. While allowing so much praise to the king, Kalhana mentions (VIII, 163 ff.) to his discredit, his jealousy of greatness, his rashness of speech, his love of sanguinary combats among his followers and lastly, his arrogant and fickle temper. In describing the king's last days the chronicler dwells on his fatal delusion (cf. VIII, 297. 'The king as if he were anxious to gain Yama's land did not exile those who had been insulted, who were full of aspirations, who had formed a league and lost their subsistence') in trusting himself in the company of some base conspirators who surprised him in his palace and killed him after a resistance worthy of his character.

Of other characters of the reign we may first mention Queen Jayamati of unknown origin and very questionable antecedents (VII, 1460-62) who secured through the king's favour 'the rare privilege of occupying one-half of his throne. As queen she distinguished herself by 'kindness, charm of manners, liberality, regard for virtuous people and wisdom and helpfulness for the needy and the distressed' (VIII, 83). She made noble use of her riches by founding a *Vihāra* with a *Maṭha* which she called after the king's name. Superseded in her husband's favour by a younger rival, she yet burnt herself on a funeral pyre after the king's tragic death (VIII, 363).

Kalhana draws a lively picture (VIII, 256 ff.) of the gang of conspirators who took part in Uccala's murder. They consisted of the brothers Chūḍḍa,

Raḍḍa and so forth descended from a common soldier, but filled with the ambition of seizing the throne and stung to fury by the king's insulting words and dismissal of themselves from their offices, the villainous Kāyastha Saḍḍa who put the idea of treason into the heads of the brothers and was driven to desperation by being discharged from office for misconduct, the noble Bhogaśena the king's 'best friend', who being insulted by the king and taken into confidence by the conspirators attempted, though in vain, to convey him a friendly warning and remained a passive spectator at the time of the murder. Kalhana takes special delight in narrating how the usurper Raḍḍa with his accomplices met a well-deserved death at the hands of the avenging Dāmara Gargacandra (VIII, 342 ff.)

Salhana the half-brother and successor of Uccala, who was next raised to the throne by 'the king-maker' the powerful Dāmara Gargacandra is described by Kalhana as a thoroughly worthless king (cf VIII, 417 'Neither political wisdom nor valour, neither cunning nor straightforwardness, neither liberality nor greed—nothing was prominent in this king's character'). He showed his utter want of judgment in entrusting the important office of Lord of the Gate to a relative 'fitted for assemblies of ascetics', who 'declared that he would ward off the dangers from Sussala by muttering his own magic spell a hundred thousand times at his approach' (VIII, 422-423). The king being a 'mere shadow', the court was dependent on Garga for life and death. Salhana's short inglorious reign, which resembled 'a long evil dream', was closed by deposition at the hands of his half-brother Sussala (VIII, 449).

Kalhana introduces his account of the next reign by drawing (VIII, 482 ff.) a striking comparison and contrast between the character of the two brothers Uccala and Sussala. Sussala's character, he says, was the same as that of his elder brother with some features more, and some less, strongly marked in himself. The contrast, which extends to minute shades of differences (cf VIII, 488 'Though their wrath was alike in appearance, yet that of his elder brother resembled the poison of a mad dog and his own that of a bee') is summed up by the author in the statement (VIII, 499) that Sussala 'surpassed his elder brother in all qualities excepting only liberality, disregard of wealth and easy accessibility'. Kalhana's detailed account of the reign which falls into two equal periods divided by the short interval of Bhukṣācara's usurpation, bears out his somewhat partial verdict only in part. In the beginning of his reign Sussala is described as

pacifying the country by a mixture of force and guile, which Kalhana seems to condone, applied against Gargacandra and other powerful subjects. In a short time, however, he employed wicked Kāyasthas to acquire 'sordid gains' which went to swell his hoard of treasure at the Lohara castle (VIII, 560 ff.) The king proceeded to invite fresh troubles for himself by recklessly provoking the hostilities of Dāmaras and officers (cf VIII, 650 'The action of the king in recklessly rousing these hostilities brought ruin to his subjects and was like the letting loose of a ferocious Vetāla'), while his ingratitude drove even his brave and faithful Commander-in-Chief into disaffection (VIII, 654 ff.) Defeated by the rebellious Dāmaras, the king foolishly perpetrated fresh cruelties which are justly censured by the chronicler (cf VIII, 681) When at length the Dāmaras rose in revolt under the pretender Bhikṣācara and defeated the royal forces, Sussala prudently sent his family to the Lohara castle a step which, as Kalhana notes (VIII, 721) made possible the revival of his fortunes At the beginning of the rebels' siege of the capital, the king showed such wonderful heroism as to rouse the admiration of the chronicler (cf VIII 755 — 'Though the king had before invaded the territories of various chiefs, yet the highest reward of his arm's might was the protection of the city'). But the machinations of some 'villainous Brahmans' and Putohitas of sacred places together with the desertion and mutiny of his troops and the indifference of his subjects at length deprived him of all his resolution (cf VIII, 806) and he sought safety in flight to Lohara

In 'the wonderful battle' near Parnotsa on the Kashmir frontier, which was the talk of eye-witnesses in Kalhana's time, Sussala with his few troops gained a glorious victory over the combined Kashmirian, Khasa and Turuska forces of the pretender and thus 'washed off his burning disgrace for the first time' (VIII, 917) After his restoration Sussala in his distrust of his countrymen gave his chief confidence to foreigners, thus driving, according to the chronicler, numbers of his adherents into the enemy's camp That this censure is a little unmerited is proved by the king's reinstating a brave officer called Yaśorāja (VIII, 1117) whom he had unjustly driven into exile, only to experience his treacherous desertion to the enemy's side. In the following years the king, helped almost alone by his faithful foreigners, displayed such heroism in repulsing his numerous enemies as to extort high praise of the author (cf. VIII, 1199-1200) On one of the critical occasions the king was so much afflicted with sorrow (cf. VIII, 1187)

for the many calamities of his people including a great fire and famine at Śrīnagar that he brought out his son from Lohara and crowned him king, a step which he quickly retracted. A touching anecdote told by the chronicler during this crisis (VIII, 1188 ff.) illustrates at once the king's sublime patriotism and a foreign officer's supreme devotion to his master. Accosted by Kamaliya, son of Lavarāja a chief in the Ṭakka land (Central Punjab), the king told him that he would 'do to day what king Bhijja, that proud grandfather of yours did for his country's sake in the battle with Hammīra' (the last term probably stands for one of Sultan Mahmud's successors). Concluding his short and spirited address with words of burning patriotism, the king declared, 'Is there any person holding a place among self-respecting men who would abandon his country at the end without having wetted it with the blood of his body just as the tiger does not leave his skin without having wetted it with his blood?' When the king turned towards the fight, the noble Kamaliya stopped him by saying, 'while there are servants, it is not fit for kings to proceed in front'. In the last crisis of his life Sussala committed the fatal mistake which the chronicler finds inexplicable in a man of such extraordinary vigilance (VIII, 1276-78) of giving his full confidence to a low-born traitor who ended by killing him in the palace when he was completely off his guard. The king's body, shamefully abandoned by his troops and his relatives, was mutilated and carried off by the traitors.

We have a pleasing picture of Sussala's 'beloved consort' Megha-mañjarī daughter of king Vijayapāla and daughter's daughter of the Lord of Kāñhijata who had brought her up with tender care in place of a son (VIII, 204-205). 'In her were combined love with tenderness, cheerful speech with dignity and cleverness with experience' (VIII, 1219). She had started to join her husband when he was plunged in a series of misfortunes, but she died on the way worn out by the disastrous news from the king. Four faithful female attendants and a humble cook of her household followed the well-beloved queen to death.

Kalhana introduces his general character-sketch (VIII, 1549 ff.) of the contemporary king Jayasinha by justly remarking that the traits of complex characters can only be understood by references to the preceding and following facts and also to the detailed narrative of events. He also notices the opportunity which the study of a contemporary reign affords for impartial judgment. In the immediately following lines he seems to point

out amid some conventional praise that the king's character was a blend of virtues and faults and that it was unfair to forget that the latter were outweighed by the former (cf. VIII, 1554-1555: "How should then the mind of everybody find its way to a right conclusion as regards the nature of his virtues and faults which is so wonderful? Uneven indeed are the features in his character. Not perceiving the excellence of their aggregate result, the people have concluded that there were faults") Kalhana's lengthy narrative of the reign helps us to fill in the details of the picture sketched so broadly and imperfectly above. At the time of his father's death he found himself destitute of troops, surrounded by half-hearted ministers, with his father's murderers still at large, and with the pretender Bhikṣācara preparing to march on the capital. From this danger he extricated himself by a combination of politic generosity (cf. VIII, 1377-80 mentioning how his unprecedented course of offering general amnesty at once brought him a following), resolute action and cunning diplomacy, so that in four months' time he punished his father's murderers, drove out the pretender and brought the whole kingdom under his rule (cf. VIII, 1544). Yet as Kalhana very properly remarks (VIII 1545 ff.), the citizens were without means, the land was overtun by numerous Dāmaras 'who were like kings' the pretender was firmly established at a short distance, the counsellors and feudatories were seditious and the royal servants were solely bent on perfidy. At this juncture the king, under the influence of evil counsellors which Kalhana strongly condemns (VIII, 1615-16) drove his brave and faithful general Sujji by a series of insults into exile. When, however, Bhikṣācara arrived at a Khaśa frontier fort for a fresh invasion of Kashmir, the king and his minister Lakṣmaka used their diplomatic weapons with such effect that the pretender was deserted by his Dāmarā allies and was at last treacherously murdered by the Khaśas. No sooner was the king delivered from his most dangerous adversary than he was faced with a new and formidable rebellion, that of his uncle Lothana, who had been kept a prisoner, at the Lohara castle, but was now set free and crowned king by the mutinous garrison. The king's extraordinary fortitude on hearing of this great disaster is justly praised by the chronicler (VIII, 1798-1810). But he displayed a singular want of judgment (cf. VIII, 1838-39) in choosing a wrong season for sending the relief expedition with the result that the royal forces were driven in retreat from Lohara and the minister Lakṣmaka was surprised and captured

by the rebels. Wisely recalling Sujji from exile and taking advantage of the rebels' internal dissensions, the king was able with Sujji's help to recover Lohara after it had been abandoned by the cowardly pretender Mallārjuna. In a short time the king showed his weakness for evil counsels and his stupidity (cf VIII, 2032-33) by turning against the faithful Sujji whom he caused to be assassinated by base treachery—an act for which he is severely, though indirectly condemned (VIII, 2381) by the chronicler. When the pretender Mallārjuna, aided by the powerful Dāmara Kosteśvara again rose in revolt, the king by his resolute action was able to capture both of them and throw them into prison. At this point Kalhana describes a series of beneficent measures of the king (including the construction and restoration of temples, the encouragement of scholars and the rebuilding of the capital), in terms of somewhat extravagant praise (cf VIII, 2376. 'The king whose mind is all-pervading and steadfast has obtained the foremost rank among the virtuous by his pious actions. *Ibid.* 2400. 'What had not been accomplished in regard to consecration of shrines etc. and other pious works during the time of the illustrious Lalitāditya, Avantivāman and other great monarchs, that has now been achieved'). Summing up the king's achievements, Kalhana says (VIII, 2446). 'He restored to this land which owing to the baseness of the times was like a decayed forest, wealth, population and habitations'. On the other hand, as the chronicler is careful to tell us (VIII, 2480), the want of judgment which the king had shown in driving Sujji into exile was further manifested by his decision, at the advice of a mere boon companion whom he had unwisely raised to the office of prime minister, to send an incompetent commander against the Diradas. The only result of this ill-advised expedition was that the powerful Darada minister fomented a revolt of the pretender Lothana which led to a general rising against the king. The pretenders Lothana and Vighraharāja having taken refuge at the inaccessible castle of Śaahśilā already mentioned, the generals sent against them lost heart and pressed for a peace. But the king's splendid resolution (cf his spirited instructions VIII, 2543 ft. to his general ending with the brave words, 'Therefore cease to remain mere on-lookers and lay siege to the whole castle. Let our life-time pass, as well as theirs, in this enterprise'.) in continuing the attack was rewarded with the surrender of the two pretenders by the Dāmara leader. The king showed his generosity by his kind, if contemptuous, treatment of the prisoners.



When the third pretender Bhoja after repeatedly experiencing the defeat of his Dāmara and other allies as well as their baseness and treachery made a voluntary surrender, he was treated by the king with the generosity befitting his own rank and high character. The submission of Bhoja was followed by a general pacification of the kingdom, which gives Kalhana an opportunity to mention (VIII, 3316) another list of pious acts of the king. The king's tender regard for his faithful servants is touchingly illustrated by his attending the minister Dhanya on his death-bed (cf VIII, 3329. 'The grateful king did not leave the sick Dhanya's side when his end approached, but remained even without taking sleep with those who were praying for his well-being'). In the same connection Kalhana mentions (VIII, 3322) how the king appointed Sañjapāla's brave son to his father's office of Commander-in-Chief after his death.

Among the members of Jayasimha's family Kalhana mentions with high praise (VIII, 2433 ff., 3382 ff.) Queens Ratnādevī and Raddādevī for their pious foundations. Of the latter he says (VIII, 3388) with evident exaggeration 'By her numerous sacred foundations and restorations this wise and clever queen has outstepped O wonder, even the lame Diddī'. The Chief Queen Kalhanikā who is praised (VIII, 3063 ff.) for her magnanimity and other good qualities distinguished herself by mediating between Prince Bhoja and the king at the time of the former's surrender.

Among the pretenders for the throne who lived during this period the first place belongs to Bhiksācara grandson of king Harsa. His repeated efforts to gain the throne kept the kingdom in a state of turmoil during the reign of Sussala and the early part of Jayasimha's reign. When he temporarily obtained the throne after Sussala's flight from the capital he proved himself utterly unfit for his high position. A tool in the hands of the powerful Dīmaras and ministers, he neglected state affairs and devoted himself to low pleasures 'fit only for a market-slave' (VIII, 870). Driven from his throne by a popular reaction in Sussala's favour Bhiksācara showed such unexpected vigour in his subsequent fights with the new king as to earn the chronicler's enthusiastic praise (cf VIII, 1014. 'In the two armies which counted many strong men, there was not one who could face Bhikṣu when he roamed about in battle, *Ibid.*, 1017. 'There was no other hero anywhere like Bhiksācara who could protect the troops in critical positions, bear up with fatigues, never feel tired and never boast'). So strongly is Kalhana impressed with this sudden improvement in the pre-

tender's character that he explains the want of opportunity for learning state-craft as the cause of Bhiksācara's failure as king (cf VIII, 1030. 'He, however, had seen nothing of his father and grandfather. Thus it came about that when he before had obtained the throne, he was misguided') After Sussala's assassination the pretender showed his implacable hatred by sending the murdered king's head to Rājaputī, for which reason he is justly censured by the chronicler (VIII, 1463) How nobly Bhiksācara redeemed the misfortunes due to adverse destiny by his last heroic fight against his treacherous assailants will be told in another place

Of the other pretenders to the throne Lothana who obtained the stronghold of Lohara by an unexpected turn of good fortune, failed to show much worth Mallarjuna who supplanted Lothana is described by the chronicler (VIII, 1979) as possessing not a single good quality While in possession of Lohara he wasted the accumulated treasures on low favourites Afterwards he showed his meanness of spirit by agreeing to pay tribute to the king and then by abandoning Lohara without a fight Captured at length by the royal forces he made himself thoroughly contemptible by his cowardice We are told for instance how before surrendering to the Lord of the Gate he made the latter give him to every body's disgust a solemn assurance for his personal safety, how on his way to the capital he behaved 'just like an animal' without any reflection of any kind occupying his mind and how at last he abjectly presented himself to the king and betrayed his former friends (VIII, 2296, 2299, 2311) In sharp contrast with Mallarjuna's character is that of the pretender Bhoja, son of king Silhana, who is described as a brave wise and high-minded prince After his voluntary surrender to the king, Bhoja repaid his benefactor's generosity with such devoted service as to win the latter's complete confidence (VIII, 3254 ff)

Among the ministers of Jayasinha Laksmaka occupies the first place for shrewd and successful diplomacy Holding the office of Chamberlain under Bhiksācara, he narrowly escaped imprisonment to join Sussala (VIII, 911) After Sussala's tragic death he immediately joined Jayasinha who made him his chief counsellor because of his skill in winning over the people (VIII, 1382) He occupied the dominant position in the king's Council Chamber because of his address in sowing dissensions among the Dāmaras (VIII, 1483-85) While selfishly driving his rival Suppi into exile by poisoning the king's ears against him, Laksmaka by his clever diplomacy prevented

Sujji's projected alliance with Somapāla the chief of Rājaputī (VIII, 1647). Lakṣmaka's last service was to win back for his master the brave Sujji from exile (VIII, 1982 ff.).

Of the other ministers the Dāmaras Pañcacandra and Saṣṭhacandra (sons of the king-maker Gaṅgacandra), Rihana, Dhanya, the two Udayas and Sañjapāla are mentioned again and again for acts of conspicuous courage in the king's service. The two Dāmaras fully justified the traditional loyalty of their family to the royal house (cf VIII, 2780. 'Not one has been born in Sūryavarmacandra's lineage who has not done good service to those born of Malla's race'). Of Rihana we are told that finding himself deserted by his troops in a fight with a rebel Dāmarā leader, he scorned to join in the general flight but boldly flung himself almost alone upon the enemy whom he forced to retire to the forest. The magnificent speech put into the mouth of the general on this occasion does honour to his loyalty and courage (cf VIII, 2819. "Shame on the life of him who though a servant fails in his tasks", *Ibid* 2823. "Those who give up their lives in battle feel dejection only in the beginning, but subsequently enjoy the highest satisfaction of obtaining that happiness which is called absolute bliss"). Another attractive aspect of the minister's character is presented in the chronicler's enthusiastic description of his pious gifts (VIII 3364 ff.) Dhanya who had been a faithful adherent of Sussala joined Jayasinha at the beginning of his reign and was gradually raised to the high position of Chief Justice. Driven into exile by Sujji's influence, he was recalled by his master after that unfortunate general's death. He continued to serve the king in successive fights with pretenders and rebels till his death. Praising his exceptional worth Kalhana says (VIII 3326) that he had 'singly borne the weight of the king's affairs during the troubles from Bhikṣu's death to Bhoja's defeat'. Sañjapāla who had taken a leading part in besieging Salhana at the capital and placing Sussala on the throne showed conspicuous courage in fighting the rebel king-maker Gaṅgacandra (VIII, 511). Even after his ungrateful master had sent him into exile Sañjapāla showed his 'high honesty' in going abroad instead of joining the rebels (VIII, 558). Recalled by Jayasinha Sañjapāla showed his loyalty as well as his high sense of honour by betraying Sujji's intentions to the king while refusing to kill the latter by treachery (VIII, 2086 ff.). In the course of these operations he is said to have addressed the king with the noble words "I do not pay attention to family relations if affairs of State are in their

way. My attachment is to my Lord, in whose service I count my life as grass." After Sujj's murder Sañjapāla bravely fought against his partisans, losing his right arm in the battle (VIII, 2164-2166). Raised to the rank of Commander-in-Chief by his grateful master, he rendered him excellent service by capturing the Dāmara rebel Kosteśvara and by rescuing the general Rihana from a dangerous position (VIII, 2270, 2839). Rashly attacking another Dāmara rebel Triloka in spite of the desertion of his troops, he displayed conspicuous courage along with his two sons but was completely routed (VIII, 3280). In remembrance of his high services, the king appointed his brave son to his office after his death (VIII, 3322).

*(To be continued)*

U N GHOSHAI

## The Philology of the Pali Language

1. An attempt has been made in this paper to discuss in brief the philology of Pali with special reference to Sanskrit and Prakrit.

The vocabulary of Pali is the same as in Sanskrit<sup>1</sup>. First of all the phonetic peculiarities that distinguish Pali from Sanskrit are briefly indicated as follows :<sup>2</sup>

2. There are some letters of the Sanskrit alphabet that are not found in Pali. Among the vowels *r*, *ī*, *li*, *ai* and *au* are missing in Pali.

3. *R*, *ṛ* change into *a*, *i*, *u*. *grhapati* = *gahapati*, *amita* = *amata*, *mrga* = *miga* (rarely *maga*), *ist* = *ist*, *kṛtya* = *kucca* (but *kucca* in *kukkucca*), *itu* = *uta*, *nirrita* = *niḥbūta* (through *nirvuta*): *Vaiṣṇvī* = *Viśālī*, *Vaiṣya* = *Viśsa*, *tula* = *tela*. *Gautama* = *Gotama*, *ausadha* = *osadha*.

4. The above changes are regular, but some variations are met with. *grhapati* = *gahapati* but *grhī* = *gahī*, (*geha*, however, should not be derived from *grha*), *sakid* = *sakad* (*sakadaṃamī*) or *sakid* (*sakideva*), the bases *māti* = *māta*, *piti* = *pita* but the *i* becomes *u* in *māto*, *mātiko*, *pitito*. In a compound, variation is also met with: *ina* = *ana* but *an* - *ina* = *anana*, *śabha* = *asabha* but *ratha* = *śabha* = *ratheshabha*. *ṛ* in a word is changed into *u*. *ṛkṣa* = *rakkha*, *pārīta*

1. There is sometimes difference in meaning of the same word in Pali and Skt. *pamāṇatā* is in Skt. 'brother's wife' the corresponding Pali *paṃpātā* is 'our's own wife', *skandh* means 'to desire' but Pali *skandh* is 'to doubt' (although *āskandh* - 'to desire', *pariskara* is 'adornment', 'cleansing' but *parikkhara* means 'the requisites of a monk', similarly *pacaya* meaning 'much the same' is *parikkhara* is not used in that sense in Skt. *pratigraha*. A word at times is more used in one sense in Skt. and in another sense in Pali. *paṭipada* generally means 'the last day of the lunar fortnight' but the corresponding *paṭipada* in Pali means 'path'. *paṭikkama* means 'chast' *paṭikkama* also means 'exemption'. *sūtra* never means a 'discourse' in Skt. but *sūtra* generally is a 'discourse' in Pali (and sometimes a 'short rule' as in Skt.). *paṇḍa* is a 'lump of food' in Skt. but it is mostly used in Pali in the sense of alms given to a monk (*paṇḍapāṭa*). *anubhava* is 'perception' but Pali *anubhava* means 'poor' (*bāla*) generally means a 'boy' in Skt. and it means a 'fool' in Pali, although a 'boy' may be a 'fool' and a 'fool' may be a 'boy'. (See Childer's Dictionary Introduction).

2. E. Muller in the introduction to his *Pali Grammar*, Pandit Vidhusēkhara Sastri in the introduction to his *Pālī Prākāśa* (in Bengali) and W. Geiger in the Introduction to his *Pali Literature and Speech* have dealt with the phonetic changes from Sanskrit into Pali. Muller's attempt was the first of its kind, and was made years ago and so one can find so inclined find fault with him here and there. I have added much new material.

= *pārula*, *apārṛta* = *apāruta*. *R* rarely becomes *ra*: *bṛhat* = *brahū*; but *Brhatphala* = *Behapphala*

5. Roots with *r* + *ā* +  $\sqrt{\text{hr}}$  + *ta* = *āhrta*, Pali *āhata*,  $\sqrt{\text{sm}}$  + *ta*,  $\sqrt{\text{sm}}$  + *ti* = *smṛta*, *smṛti*, Pali *sata*, *satī*, *pra* +  $\sqrt{\text{rt}}$  + *ta* = *pravr̥tta* = Pali *parav̥tta*,  $\sqrt{\text{kr}}$  + *ta* = *kṛta*, Pali *kata*,  $\sqrt{\text{mr}}$  + *ta* = *mṛta*, Pali *mata*; *sañ* +  $\sqrt{\text{vr}}$  + *ta* = *samvṛta*, Pali *samvuta*,  $\sqrt{\text{bh}}$  + *tya* = *bhṛtya*, Pali *bhac̣ca* — in these the vowel *r* does not undergo guna change. Although in Pali the vowels *r* and *l* are found missing the following words can only be explained by the *gun* of *i* or *li* *ā* +  $\sqrt{\text{hr}}$  + *a* = *āhara(ti)*,  $\sqrt{\text{sm}}$  + *a* = *smara(ti)*, Pali *sarati* *pra* —  $\sqrt{\text{rt}}$  + *a* = *pravarta(te)*, Pali *parav̥ta(tu)*,  $\sqrt{\text{hr}}$  + *man* = *kārman*, Pali *kamma*,  $\sqrt{\text{m}}$  + *ana* = *marana*, *sañ* +  $\sqrt{\text{ir}}$  + *a* = *samvara*,  $\sqrt{\text{bhr}}$  + *ti* = *bharti*, — Pali *bhattu*, nominative sing. *bhattā*,  $\sqrt{\text{jṛ}}$  + *a* = *jarā*,  $\sqrt{\text{klp}}$ , *kalp* + *a* = Pali *kappa(ti)*, ( $\sqrt{\text{i}}$  + *ta*, however, makes *atta* by *reddhi* strengthening, *uju* = *uju*, *mṛdu* = *mudu* but *ajjara* and *maddara* — abstract nouns are derived from the *reddhi* strengthened forms of *i*),  $\sqrt{\text{spr}}$  + *a* + *ti* = *spṛṣati*, Pali *phusati* but  $\sqrt{\text{spr}}$  + *a* = *spṛṣa* = Pali *phassa*,  $\sqrt{\text{kr}}$  + *a* = *kṛṣati*, Pali *kaṣati* but  $\sqrt{\text{kr}}$  + *ya* = *kṛṣyate*, Pali *kassate*, (see 76). It may be seen, therefore, that it is not always possible to say that the *r* of the root shall be changed into *a*, *i* or *u*

6. A vowel in Pali may be changed into another vowel, and no general rules can be laid down for such a change

*ī* = *ā* *praracana* = *pāvacana*; (is *ā* due to the loss of *r*?), *ī* *anij* = *ānija*, *anubhā* = *ānubhava*, *adhī* *an* = *addhāna* (*ā* may be due to the other form *addhū*), *pacā* *mitta* is equated with *pratya* *mitta* but the original form is *pratyag* + *mitta* — the lengthening is due to loss of *q*.

*i* = *e* *madhyama* = *majjhima* *tamisa* = *timissā*, *candī* *amas* = *candimā*, *midanqa* = *mutinga*, *ā*  $\sqrt{\text{sam}}$  = *āsmas*, *nyagrodha* = *niyrodha*, *varispa* = *varissapa*

*i* = *u* *kadā* *cama* = *kudā* *cana* (but the vowel in *kadā* is not changed), *supna* = *supīna*, *sadyas* = *sajju*, *kṛtyah* = *khattum*, *travita* = *tuvita*, *brahmanah* = *brahmano*, *addhan* = *addhuno* (genitive sing.), *asūya* = *usūyā*, *smā* *sāna* = *usāna*, *nimajjati* = *nimajjati*, *saṇ* *mati* = *sammuti*, *navati* = *navati*, *bhāsasi* = *bhāsa* *su*

*Ā* = *ū* *riṇa* = *riṇṇā*, *pāra* *ga* = *pāra* *gū* (also *pāra* *ga*), *sarva* *ṇa* = *sabha* *ṇṇā*, *veda* *ka* = *veda* *gū*, *bhū* *ṇahan* = *bhū* *ṇahā*, (also *bhū* *ṇaha*).<sup>1</sup>

*Ā* = *e* *śū* *yyā* = *seyyā*, *atī* *a* = *ettha* (also *atra*). *śi* *as* = *see*, *pu* *as* *kāra* = *pu* *ek* *khāra*, *phal* *gu* = *phegga*.

*Ā* = *o* *śab* *hṛa* = *sobbha*

*Ā* = *a* *sthā* *payati* = *thapeti*

1. *Ā* = *ū* only in *kṛ* suffix

$\bar{A} = i$  :  $\bar{a}l mal\bar{i} = simbal\bar{i}$ .

$\bar{A} = i$  :  $st y\bar{a}na = th\bar{i}na$

$\bar{A} = e$  :  $y\bar{u}y\bar{a}s = jeyya$

$I = a$  :  $Kan\bar{y}d\bar{i}nga = Kondañña$ ,  $put\bar{h}av\bar{i} = pathav\bar{i}$ , (also  $pathav\bar{i}$ ,  $put\bar{h}av\bar{i}$ ,  $put\bar{h}ur\bar{i}$ ),  $kar\bar{h}i = karu\bar{h}a$  (-ci)

$I = u$  :  $\bar{s}is\bar{u} = susu$ ,  $isu = usu$ ,  $iksu = ucehu$ ,  $dvit\bar{i}ya = dutiya$ ,  $di = du$  ( $vid\bar{h}a$ ),  $Anurudd\bar{h}a = Anuruddha$  through confusion with the prefix  $anu$ -.

$I = e$  :  $mah\bar{i}sh\bar{i} = mahes\bar{i}$  (to distinguish the queen-consort from the she-buffalo),  $sam\bar{i} + \sqrt{i + t}ya = samecca$  (by strengthening  $\sqrt{i}$ ).

$I = o$  :  $Iks\bar{e}ak\bar{u} = Okk\bar{a}ka$

$I = a$  :  $kaus\bar{i}dya = kosajja$

$I = i$  :  $dvit\bar{i}ya = dutiya$ ,  $an\bar{i}ya = aniya$ , (also  $an\bar{i}ya$   $kh\bar{a}damya$  or  $kh\bar{a}dan\bar{i}ya$ ).

$I = e$  :  $sam\bar{i}ksate = samekkhat\bar{i}$

$I\bar{I} = a$  :  $quru = qaru$ ,  $Iks\bar{e}ak\bar{u} = Okk\bar{a}ka$

$I = i$  :  $Sameru = Sineru$ ,  $purusha = purisa$ ,  $yugups\bar{a} = yugucca$

$I = o$  :  $pustaka = potthaka$ ,  $anapama = anopama$ ,  $\bar{s}und\bar{a} = sonda$ ,  $ustra = ottha$ ,  $puskara = pokkhara$ ,  $gulpha = goppha$ ,  $\bar{c}ay\bar{u} = \bar{c}ayo$  (to equate with  $\bar{a}po$  and  $tijo$ )

$\bar{U} = e$  :  $bh\bar{u}ga = bhuygo$ , (also  $bhuyga$  in compound  $gebhuygena$ )

$\bar{U} = u$  :  $yac\bar{u}ga = y\bar{a}ga$ .

$E = o$  :  $des\bar{h}a = dosa$ , (to avoid  $de\bar{s}a$ , country)

$\bar{O} = u$  :  $jyotsn\bar{a} = junh\bar{a}$ <sup>1</sup>

7 Among the consonants,  $s$  and  $ś$  are not found in Pali. They are always replaced by the dental sibilant  $\bar{s}$ .  $\bar{V}as\bar{a}ti = Ves\bar{a}ti$ ,  $K\bar{a}\bar{s}\bar{i} = K\bar{a}\bar{s}\bar{i}$ ,  $an\bar{s}had\bar{h}a = osad\bar{h}a$ ,  $\sqrt{s}as = \sqrt{s}as$

The letter  $h$  also is not met with in Pali. (see 86)  $h$  becomes  $a$  :  $putrah = putto$ ,  $manah = mano$ ,  $\bar{s}reyah = seyya$  (neu),  $pr\bar{a}tah = p\bar{a}to$ ,  $anekas\bar{h} = anekaso$ ,  $\bar{a}tmanah = attano$ ,  $tatah = tato$ ,  $antah = anto$ ,  $parah = paro$  (-hita) but ( $putrah = para$  in  $purato$ ),  $sah = so$  and  $sa$ .  $\bar{A}h$  drops the  $h$ , as in  $putr\bar{a}h = putt\bar{a}$  and  $pac\bar{a}mah = pac\bar{a}ma$ .  $H$  preceded by any other vowel is dropped :  $kapi\bar{h}ah = kapi\bar{h}i$ ,  $\bar{a}h$

1 Melody of sound determines the changes of letters, but no general rules can be deduced. It seems, for instance, that when the original loses a consonant, the loss is made good by changing  $a$  into  $\bar{a}$  or into  $\bar{u}$  :  $put\bar{h}ga + m\bar{a}tr\bar{a} = put\bar{h}am\bar{a}tr\bar{a}$ ,  $sam\bar{a}p\bar{a}t\bar{a} = samam\bar{a}$ ,  $bh\bar{u}nah\bar{a} = bh\bar{u}nah\bar{a}$ .  $\bar{I}h\bar{a}nah\bar{a}$  changes into the other vowel of the word :  $ist\bar{a} = isa$ ,  $it\bar{u} = utu$ , and that a vowel before a double consonant is liable to be changed, e.g.,  $puskara = pokkhara$ ,  $pustaka = potthaka$ ,  $purat\bar{a}na = purakkh\bar{a}na$ ,  $say\bar{u} = seyy\bar{a}$ ,  $at\bar{h}a = ttha$ ,  $phat\bar{h}a = phat\bar{h}a$ ,  $sam\bar{i}ksate = samekkhat\bar{i}$ . There are, of course, many exceptions to these suggestions. Here only Skt. and Pali equations have been given without any attempt being made to go deeper.

=av', bho<sup>h</sup>h=bho; paceyuh=paceyyu(u). Medial *h* is assimilated:  
du<sup>h</sup>hka=dukkha.

There are two sounds in Pali, the consonants *l* and *lh* which are not found in classical Sanskrit. (See 8. *l*, *dh*).

8. Consonantal changes too are frequent, and their range is wider than that in vowel changes:—

*K*=*g* *śākala*=*śāgala*, *māka*=*māga*, *redaka*=*redagū*, *kula*+  
*upaka*=*kulūpaṅga*, also *kulūpaka*)

$$K = p . kakudha = pakudha.$$
$$K = e \quad \text{sub} = swva$$

$G=k$  *bh rṅgāra* = *bh rṅkāra*,  $\sqrt{\text{ethag}}$  = *thak* (ctt).

*tih* = *g* · *yighatā* = *yigacchā* (as well as *yighacchā*)

$C = t : cikitsū = tihicchā$  (but  $icikitsā = ricikicchā$ )

*l = c   prāṇa = pācana*

*J=d . Prasena jāt = Pasenadi, jyotsnā = doṣṇā, (also jumbhā), mā-  
jvalya = daddalla, mghacchā as well as digacchā*

$T=d$  *nighantu* = *nighandu*

$T=1$  *sphatika* = *phatika*.

$$T=1 \quad \bar{\omega}lavika=\omega lavika$$

*D* = 1, *dh* = 1*h*    *Garuda* = *Garula*, *bidāla* = *bilāla*, *sodāsa* = *solāsa*,  
*guda* = *gula*,    *chad* = *chal*    (-*abhihāṣi*),    *edaka* = *claka*,    *nuda* = *nīla*,  
(also *nidda*), *dr̥ḥu* = *dal̥ha*, *gāḍhu* = *gāl̥ha*, *mudha* = *mul̥ha* .

$N = n$  *ṣaḥṣinī* = *ṣaḥṣinī*, *ghrāṇa* = *ghāṇa*, (see 92)

$N=1$  *venu=velu, mīnāla-mulāla*

$$T = c \tanh u = c \operatorname{erf} u$$

*f*=*t*, *vartate*=*vattati*, (also *vattati*), *prate*=*pate*, *pruthama*-*pathama*, (see 93)

$T = d \cdot uta = uda$ ,  $uta = uda$ , (also  $ruta$ ),  $ritast$  =  $ridatthi$ .

*Th* = *th*   *antha* = *attha*, (also *attha*), (see 9.3).

$$Th = dh : \sqrt{r} gath = redh(ati)$$

$D=d$   $\sqrt{dah}=\sqrt{dah}$ , (also  $\sqrt{dah}$ ),  $dan\dot{c}a=danisa$

*D*=t *pādu*=*pātu*, *kusida*=*kuṣita*, *mrduṅga*=*mutiṅga*, *Yama-*  
*daṅgi*=*Yamatagiri*.

$D=b$   $drāḍaṣa=bārasa$ ,  $diāriṃṇatī=bārisatī$ , (only in numerals)

$D=y$   $klāḍita=klāyita$ ,  $vāḍita=vāyita$ , (see 59).

*D*=r. -*duṣa*=-*raṣa* *aśtāda* (=*atthāraṣa* (or *atthādaṣa*), *chārṣa* or *ekādaṣa*, (only in numerals))

*D=1* (through *d*) *udāra*=*ulāra*, *dohada*=*dohala*, *vaidārya*=*celuriya*, *budbuda*=*bubbula*

5 *D*, *dh* only in the middle of a word are changed into *l*, *lh* respectively: *dāha* but *parāḥa* *L* and *lh* are found only in the middle of word (as in Bengali)



*Dh* = *dh* : *ardha* = *addha*, *vraddha* = *rudḍha*; (see 93) .

*Dh* = *th* : *apadhīyate* = *piṭhīyati*.

*V* = *n* : *sakuna* = *sakuna*, *jñāna* = *nāga*, *viññāna* = *viññāna*, *śānaḥ* = *supikaṇṇ*, *jyotīsnā* = *jyṇhā*, *śmāsā* = *sunhā*, *śunisā*, also *hūsā*; (see 92)

*N* = *r* : *Navañjanā* = *Nerañjarā*

*N* = *l* : from *√ nah* : *pilandhatī*

*N* = *l* : *enas* = *ela*.

*P* = *h* : *pīṭhikā* = *kīṭhikā* by metathesis

*P* = *r* : *pūpa* = *pūva*, *apara* = *arara* in *pararata*

*B* = *p* : *alāhu* = *alāpu*

*B* = *r* : *piḍaṭṭi* = *pirati*, *bandhuyā* = *vañjhā*

*M* = *n* : *Sumeru* = *Sineru*.

*M* = *v* : *mīmāṃsā* = *vīmāṃsā*.<sup>6</sup>

*Y* = *b* : *jarāyu* = *jalāhu*, *pūya* = *pubba*

*Y* = *bh* : *Sarayū* = *Sarabhū*

*Y* = *r* : *snāyu* = *nahāru*

*Y* = *l* : *yaśhthi* = *laśhthi*, *pariyāya* = *peyyāla*

*Y* = *r* : *dāya* = *dāra*, *merayā* = *mararā*, *kaśāya* = *kaśāra*, *piyaya* = *pareccchati*, *kīyat* = *kīra*, *traya* = *tāra* (in *Tāratimāsa*), *Diṅghāyukh* = *Diṅghāru*, *āyudha* = *ārudha*, (also *āyudha*), *sāhāyika* = *sahavya* (tā).

*R* = *n* : *prabhaṅgura* = *pabhaṅgura*

*R* = *y* <sup>(2)</sup> : *grdhra* > *grdhya* = *ayjha*

*R* = *l* : *roma* = *loma*, *sukumāra* = *sukhumāla*, *rudra* = *ludda*, *jarayū* = *jalāhu*, *agaru* = *agalu*, *antariṅksha* = *antalikkha*, *Maharūṭi* = *Mak-khūṭi*, *viparyāsa* = *vipallāsa*, *ārida* = *alla*, *pariyāyaka* = *pallāyaka*, *paripālī* (*pālībodha*, *pālīgūṇṭhita*, *pālīgha*), *māruta* = *māluta*

*R* = *m* : *vidarṣayati* = *vidamseti*, *lomaharīsha* = *lomahamśa* (see 32)

*L* = *r* : *kīla* = *kīra*, *bīḍāla* = *bīlāra*, *ālambana* the same as *ārammaṇa*.

*L* = *l* : *dalidda* as well as *dalidda*, *galati* = *galati*, *pālī* as well as *pālī*

*V* = *p* : *parajaratī* = *pajāpatī*, *palāya* = *palāpa*, *śāra* = *chāpa*.

*V* = *b* (initial only) : *īja* = *bīja*, *bhayaṇa* also *vyāṇa*, *vyādhi*, *hyādhi*.

*Ś* = *ch* : *Śāra* = *chāpa*.

*Ś* = *d* : *śāka* = *dāka*

*S* = *ch* : *śad* = *cha*

*H* = *dh* : *īha* = *idha*, (also *cho*).<sup>7</sup>

\* \* \* \* \*

<sup>6</sup> *Ātmaja* = *attaja*

<sup>7</sup> Changes illustrated by very few examples do not betray the general tendency of the language

9. Pali words (i) begin with a single consonant, (ii) do not end in a consonant, (iii) and a conjunct consonant of more than two letters is not allowed in the middle of a word.

10. (i) A single consonant in the beginning of a word: *prāṇa*=*pāna*, *tri*=*tī*, *krodha*=*koḍha*, *dvīpa*=*dīpa*, *dvitīya*=*duṭṭiya*, *dvigu*=*diḡu*, *smti*=*sati*, *śmaśāna*=*sasāna*. It must not be understood that the first consonant is always retained. The rules of assimilation have to be applied before one of the consonants is dropped. (See under Assimilation) According to these rules *nyāya* becomes *ñāya*\* and then *nāya*, *ksetra*>*kkhetra*\*=*khetta*, *jhāna*>*ññāna*\*=*ñāna*, *dhāna*>*jjhāna*\*=*jhāna*, *smarati*>*ssarati*\*=*sarati*, (also *sumarati*), *phandana*>*pphandana*\*=*phandana*, *√sprç*>*pphus*\*=*√phus*, *stūpa*>*ttupa*\*=*thūpa* \*

The exceptions to the above rule of a single consonant in the beginning are *brahmā* and *brāhmaṇa* (and also words with initial *t*, e.g. (*by*), and *ś* *tiṇṇ*, *vyādhi* (*hyādhi*), *vyaggha*, *vyākata*, *vyāñjana* (*hyañjana*), *śce*, *śātana* and some words with initial *d* *die*, *diāra*, *dianda*. Even here forms like *tiṇṇ* instead of *tiṇm*, *duve* instead of *dve*, *vyākasi* instead of *vyakasi*, *vygghāraṇa* instead of *vyākarana* are found, indicating the tendency in Pali of preferring a single consonant in the beginning.

11. (ii) The final consonant is dropped and the preceding vowel, if short, is sometimes lengthened. *nāman*=*nāma*, *karman*=*kamma*, *punar*=*puna*, *saras*=*sara*, *trimsat*=*tiṃsa*, *nānam*=*nāna*, *gārat*=*gāra*, (also *gāratā*), *cid*=*ci*, *śad*=*sa*, *marat*=*mara*, *caḡus(h)*=*caḡhu*, *idgnt*=*iḡḡu*, *bhos(h)*=*bho*, *bhagavān*=*bhagavā*, *putrāt*=*putta*, *paścāt*=*paccā*, *tasmīn*>*tasmī*\*=*tamhi*, (cp. *tiḡivasmi modati*). *āraṇ*=*arahā* (also *araham*), *saṃgah*=*saṃmā*, *adhiṇ*=*addhā* (also *addhāna*), *apsaras*=*accharā*, *parishad*=*parisā*, *dhik*=*dhī*, *krip*=*kṛī*

12. If the final consonant is not dropped it is either changed into a *niggaḡhita* or a vowel is added at the end. *etad*=*etavī*, *arahan*=*arahavī*, (also *arahā*), *pacan*=*pacam*, *bhavan*=*bhavavī*, *aham*=*ahavī*, *saṃ*=*saṃvī*, *tesāṃ*=*tesavī*, *tasmīn*=*tasmivī*, *punar*=*(punap)punavī*, (also *puna*), *Sanatkhumāra*=*Sanavīkhumāra*.

*Tiaca*=*taca*, *adhiṇ*=*addhāna*; (cp. *dīghavī* *addhāvī*), also *addhā*, *medhas*=*medhasa*, *dis*=*disa*, *bhisak*=*bhisakka*, *van*=*vānija*, *udāc*=*udicca*, *kṛt*=*kṛta*, *yāvat*=*yavatā*, (also *yāra*), *prāna*=*bhṛt*=*pāṇabhūta*, (mixed up with *pāna* + *bhūta*), *sarad*=*sarada*.

9. Between an aspirate and an unaspirate the unaspirate is retained

9. *Śvāgata*, *khvāssa* have a double consonant in the beginning on account of *sandhi*.

The following words being feminine, the feminine suffix *ā* is added: *dis*=*disā*, *vāc*=*vācā*, *pratipad*=*patipadā*, *āpad*=*āpadā*, *gīr*=*gīrā*, *upānah*=*upāhanā*.

13. Consonantal bases are avoided in Pali as far as possible but they survive although there is a tendency of changing them into vowel bases: *kārin* becomes *kārī*, and the accusative singular is *kārīm*, but there is an additional form *kārinam* from *kārin* as in Sanskrit. The instrumental singular, genitive singular and plural and locative singular of consonantal bases are formed by adding *ā*, *o*, *am* and *i* respectively to the base. But here forms of the corresponding vowel declension are found along with those of the consonantal base: *mahat* in the instrumental *mahatā*, genitive singular *mahato*, locative singular *mahati* besides *mahantena*, *mahantassa* and *mahantasmin* respectively as from a vowel base (*mahanta*), the present participle *pacat* forms the genitive singular and plural *pacato* and *pacatam* respectively as also *pacantassa* and *pacantānam* (from  $\sqrt{\text{pac}}$  + *a* + *nta*), *manas* forms the locative singular *manasi* and according to the vowel base *manasmin* (See 41 & 42)

14. Words ending in a consonant followed by words beginning with a consonant are to be met with in compounds: *ākkarana*(*iā*), *khuppipāsā* (*khud*), *mahaddhana* (*mahat*), *saddhamma* (*sat*), *sakkāna* (*sat*), *tappurisa* (*tat*), *takkara*(*tat*), *tad-ahu*, *tad-utthāya*, *saddhā* (*sat*)

Words with a preposition ending in a consonant followed by words beginning with a consonant are also met with: *appajjoti* (*ud*) *maṣṣana* (*nir*), *duggandha* (*dur*), *catuppada* (*catur*). In all these cases assimilation has taken place<sup>9</sup>

15. A final consonant apparently missing in Pali, followed by a word beginning with a vowel is revived by the so-called rule of consonantal insertion: *kenaci* + *eva*=*kenacideva* (Skt. *-cid*), *tāva* + *eva*=*tāradeva* (Skt. *tāvat*), *tasmā* + *cha*=*tasmāthcha* (Skt. *tasmāt*), *saka-d-āgāmī*, *saki-d-eva* (Skt. *sakid*), *eta-d-ahosi* (Skt. *etad*), *sabbhi-r-eva* (Skt. *sabbhir*), *patu-r-ahosi* (Skt. *prādur*), *pāta-r-āsa* (Skt. *prātar*), *puna-r-eva* (Skt. *punar*), also *punadeva* and *punnaveva*, *durāsada* (*dur*), *caturanga* (*catur*), *nirāhara* (*nir*), *chalabhiññā* (Skt. *śhad*).

16. The Skt consonant, however, is not always retained as *dhuk* becomes *dhīr*—(*dhīratthu*), *samyak*=*sammak*—(*sammadaññā* *cimutta*), also *samma*, *anaggeva* becomes *anadeva* in Pali. (See 36).

9 As for the prepositions ending in a consonant, *ni*, *dur*, *ud* are generally assimilated: *ni*+*malā*=*nihammalā*, but *ni*+*harati*=*nīharati*, *nir*+*varana*=*nīvarana* *Dur*+*gata*=*duḍḍata* but *dur*+*rama* *dūrama* for which see 85 *Ud*+*sahati*=*usahati* but *ud*+*han*=*ūhan*, and for the change of *sa* into *sam* see 84.

17 (iii) Whereas in Skt there are conjunct consonants of even more than three letters, Pali words do not contain, as a rule, conjunct letters of more than two consonants. There are, however, one or two exceptions to this: *indriya*, *gantra* and 'ntv' in *hantvā*, *ganteā*. If there is a triple consonant, one of the consonants, the weakest is dropped and assimilation takes place wherever possible:<sup>10</sup> *indra*=*inda*, *muntra*=*manta*, *candra*=*canda*, *Lakshmana*=*Lakkhana*, *ujjala*=*ujjala*, *mahattva*=*mahatta*, *sattva*=*satta*, *dvandra*=*dvanda*, *ūrdhva*=*uddha*,  $\sqrt{\text{kar}} + \text{tvā}$ =*kateā*, *ṣāstra*=*sattha*, *vastra*=*vattha*, *rāṣṭra*=*raṭṭha*—( $s+t=\text{ṭṭh}$ ), *matsya*=*macca* *a*—( $t+s=\text{cch}$ ), *Ikṣvāku*=*ikkāka*—(here  $k+s=\text{kk}$ ). The weakest consonant is not dropped in the following examples.  $\sqrt{\text{dis}} + \text{tvā}$ =*disvā*,  $\sqrt{\text{chid}} + \text{tvā}$ =*chetiā*, *ārdra*=*alla*, ( $r=l$ ). And the same in combination of a sibilant and a nasal *jyotsnā*=*junhā*—( $\text{ṣ}+n=\text{nh}$ ) or *doṣinā*, *kṛtsna*=*karina*, *ślakṣna*=*saṇha*, *pakṣma*=*panha* but in the following examples the weakest consonant is indistinguishable on account of assimilation *sākṣma*=*sukhama*, *bandhya*=*banha* and *bandhyā*=*vaṇhā*, *ratman* ( $\sqrt{\text{rt}}$ )=*ratuma* (See Assimilation 31 and Epenthesis 36)

18. A double consonant is not allowed after a *nygghāta* or any nasal *saṅkhyā*=*saṅkhā*, *samskāra*=*saṅkhāra*, *samsthāna*=*saṇṭhāna*, *samspasa*=*samphassa*, *samsṣṭa*=*saṅkhitta*, *samstaya*=*santharaya*, cp *daṇṭrā*=*dāthā*, (see under Assimilation) A triple consonant is separated by means of epenthesis as in *harmya*=*hammya*.

19. Double consonant in the middle of a word must belong to the same group *maṅgala*, *gaṇchi* (also *gaṇchi*), *laṇṇā*, *pañca*, *gandha*, *nimba*, *sammata*

20. Assimilation sometimes takes place between consonants of the same group<sup>11</sup> *prajñā*=*paññā*, *apatti*=*apatti*, *ālambana*=*ārammana* 'Ac' in *pañca* is changed into *ṇ* in *pañnarasa*. Combinations like  $\sqrt{\text{budh}} + \text{ta}$ ,  $\sqrt{\text{pad}} + \text{na}$  are assimilated, (see 23).

21. If the consonants belong to different groups, or one is a mute and the other not, assimilation then as a rule takes place. The following exceptions may be noted *sākya* (to avoid confusion with god *Sakka*), *rākya* *ārogya*, *nigroḍha*, *piṇḍolya*, *atya*, *tatya*, *yatra* (also *ettha*, *tattha*, *gattha*), *gotiabhā* (but *gotta*), *vicitra*, (also *veitta*), with *tvā* of the gerund; *sutrā*, *paritrā* (but *cattāraḥ*=*cuttāro*), *bhadra*, (also *bhadda*), *ndraya*, *udriyati* (also *uddaya*, *uddiyyati*), *kalyāna*, *kalya* (also *kalla*), *harya*, *saharyatā*, *vidrā*.

10 The mutes ( $k-m$ ) are the strongest among consonants, nasals being sometimes regarded weak, then  $\text{ṣ}$ ,  $\text{ṭ}$ ,  $\text{v}$ ,  $\text{y}$ ,  $\text{r}$ , in decreasing strength

11 Ordinarily here the nasal is second in the compound letter

*bhasta*, *utrasa*, *odhasta*, *āyasmā*, *bhasma*, with *sm* in grammatical *asmī*, *tasmī*, *puttasmi*. Some combinations due to sandhi are to be found: *anveti* (*anu + eti*), *pātrākasi* (*pātu + akāsi*), *gatvādhikarāṇa* (*gato + adhikarāṇa*), *myāyami* (*me + āyami*). Besides, the combinations of *h* with another consonant are to be found. *brāhmaṇa*, *brahman*, *gaṇhāti*, *taṇhā* etc., and also combinations of *g*: *payyupāsati*, *laggā*, (variants *payyupāsati* and *laggā*) See 47

22. Assimilation is a conspicuous feature of Pali, (see 79). The combinations of consonants are avoided in Pali by means of either epenthesis or metathesis (which see). Assimilation takes place either in the body of a word or between a root or a word and suffix ending in or beginning with a consonant. It must be noted that final and initial consonants are not always assimilated e.g.  $\sqrt{pac} + ta = pacita$ ,  $\sqrt{has} + ta = hasita$ ,  $\sqrt{gah} + ta = gahita$ ,  $\sqrt{kar} + ta = kata$ ,  $ni + \sqrt{rar} + ta = nibbata$ . In assimilation one of the consonants is made the same as the other. This is called complete assimilation.  $\sqrt{muc} + ta = mutta$ ,  $putra = putta$ . When one of the consonants is made similar to the other the assimilation is incomplete.  $\sqrt{sam} + ta = santa$ ,  $hasta = huttha$ . Sometimes a third consonant reduplicated is used for both.  $\sqrt{labh} + ta = laddha$ . When the final consonant is assimilated the assimilation is called regressive, and when the initial consonant is assimilated, it is progressive assimilation:  $\sqrt{muc} + ta = mutta$  and  $\sqrt{lag} + na = lagga$  respectively.

23. (1) The first general rule of assimilation. When both the consonants are mute the final consonant is assimilated.  $\sqrt{guy} + ta = gutta$  (Skt. *gukta*),  $\sqrt{muc} + ta = mutta$ ,  $ud + \sqrt{pad} + na = uppanna$  (Skt. *utpanna*),  $sat + dharma = saddhamma$  (Skt. *saddharma*),  $prajñā = paññā$  (but *āññā* > *aññā*\* = *āññā*—(to avoid *aññā* which means super-knowledge),  $vapta = satta$ ,  $nimma = nimma$ . If one of the consonants is an aspirate the other consonant is assimilated.  $sat + bhi = sabbhi$  (Skt. *sadbhi*),  $\sqrt{budh} + ta$ ,  $\sqrt{sadh} + ta$ ,  $\sqrt{bhaḍh} + ta = buddha$ , *suddha*, *baddha* respectively as in Skt.<sup>12</sup>

There are some exceptions to this rule. When combinations of *gn*, *jn* (*gn*),<sup>13</sup> *kn*, *pn*, *tm*, *tn*, occur the nasal is assimilated. *nagna = nagga*, *agga = agga*,  $\sqrt{lag} + na = lagga$  (Skt. *lagna*),  $ud + \sqrt{vij} + na = viggga$  (Skt. *udvijna*),  $\sqrt{bhaḍh} + na = bhagga$  (Skt.

12 I have borrowed the scheme of Assimilation from Woolner's "Introduction to Pāli".

13 A mute aspirate is doubled by using the same unaspirate before it.

If *j* of the root is changed into the corresponding guttural, so that in *-gn*

It may be noted in this connection that if the palatal is changed into a guttural, the preceding nasal, if any, is correspondingly changed.  $\sqrt{bhaḍh} + a < \sqrt{bhaṇḍ} + a = bhaṇḍa$ ,  $\sqrt{aṇḍ} + a < \sqrt{aṇḍ} + a = aṇḍa$ .

*bhagno*),  $\sqrt{\text{śa}} + \text{no} = \text{sakho}(ti)$ , (Skt. *śaknoti*),  $\text{pra} + \sqrt{\text{āp}} + \text{no} = \text{puppo}(ti)$  (Skt. *prāpnoti*), *ātman-attan*, (but *vartman=vaṭṭuma*), *śapatti=śapatti*. Some irregular assimilations:  $\text{bh} + \text{t} = \text{ddh}$ ,  $\sqrt{\text{labh}} + \text{ta} = \text{laddha}$  (Skt. *labdha*),  $\sqrt{\text{labh}} + \text{ta} = \text{luddha}$  (Skt. *labdha*) a hunter, (also spelt *ludda* through confusion with *ludda=rudda*, fierce),  $\text{ā} + \sqrt{\text{rabh}} + \text{ta} = \text{āraddha}$ ,  $\text{j} + \text{t} = \text{tth}$  in the following:  $\sqrt{\text{śaj}} + \text{ta} = \text{śattha}$  (Skt.  $\sqrt{\text{śj}} + \text{ta} = \text{śjta}$ )—cp. *śisattha*, *samsattha*,  $\sqrt{\text{maj}} + \text{ta} = \text{maṭṭha}$  (Skt.  $\sqrt{\text{mrj}} + \text{ta} = \text{mrjta}$ ),  $\sqrt{\text{yaj}} + \text{ta} = \text{yatttha}$  (Skt. *yajta*). Some roots beginning with *r* change the following *a* to *u* before assimilation takes place  $\sqrt{\text{rac}} + \text{ta} = \text{vutta}$  (Skt. *ukta*),  $\sqrt{\text{vac}} + \text{ya} = \text{vucca}$  (u),  $\sqrt{\text{vas}} + \text{ta} = \text{vuttha}$  (also *vusita*), Skt. *usita*,  $\text{ni} + \sqrt{\text{vau}} + \text{ta} = \text{nibbuta}$ , but  $\text{pa} + \sqrt{\text{vat}} + \text{ta} = \text{pavutta}$  (Skt. *pravṛtta*).

24. (II) The second general rule of assimilation. When one consonant is a mute and the other a semi-vowel (*y*, *i*, *l*, *r*) or sibilant (*ś*, *s*) the mute being stronger is retained and the other consonant is assimilated *ākhyaṇa=akkhāna*, *sankhyaṇa=sankhā*, *akṣa=akṣa*, *pakṣa=pakṣa*,  $\sqrt{\text{muc}} + \text{ya} = \text{mucca}(ti)$ , *vāṇya=vāṇya*, *putra=putta*, *śatru=sattu*, *cativāṇa=cattāro*, *Bhāradvāja=Bhārad-dāja*, *samanvāgata=samanvāgata*,  $\sqrt{\text{kar}} + \text{tum} = \text{kattum}$ , *pusa+bhāsa=punabbhāsa*, *duṣṣati=duggati*, *kāma=kamma*, *du+ketu=dukkata*. Exceptions: with *ad-* *ad+loketi=alloketi*, *ud+śahati=ussahati*, *ud+matta=ummatta*. *M* becomes *m̐* *āmra=amba*, *tāmra=tamba*. *Lm* becomes *m̐* *śālmālī=simbālī*, *gulma=gum̐ba*. *Ht* becomes *th*, *ddh*  $\sqrt{\text{rāh}} + \text{ta} = \text{rathha}$ ,  $\sqrt{\text{muh}} + \text{ta} = \text{mulha}$ ,  $\sqrt{\text{nah}} + \text{ta} = \text{naddha}$ ,  $\sqrt{\text{duh}} + \text{ta} = \text{duddha}$ . *Tr* becomes *tth* in adverbs of space *tatra=tattha* (also *tatra*), *atra=ettha* (also *atra*) *savatra=sabbattha*, *aññatra=aññattha*, *ādiya=allo* (*i=l*), *gīdha=gijjha*, *catvāra=caccara*, (Pal)  $\sqrt{\text{puc}} + \text{ta} = \text{puttha}$ ,  $\sqrt{\text{muc}} + \text{ta} =$  (Pal) *mukka* in *patimukka*, (also *mutta*).

There are three main variations to this general rule:

25. (A) A dental meeting *y* is changed into the corresponding palatal before assimilation takes place: *satya=sacca*, *pratyāgacchati=paccāgacchati*, *ketya=kucca*, *mithyā=muṭṭhā*, *avidyā=avijjā*, *īyodhyā=īyojjā* (but in a triple consonant *dhy* usually becomes *ñh* by dropping one of the palatals *Vindhya=Vinñha*, *bandhyā=vaññhā*, cp. Skt. *ānantya=ānañca*), *kanyā=kaññā*,  $\sqrt{\text{man}} + \text{ya} = \text{mañña}(ti)$ , *nyāya=ñña* (but *nyāgrōdha=niyōdha*).

Not only a dental and *y* but in fact any nasal with *y* changes into *ññ* *punya=punñā*, *kāṇya=kāṇñā*, *sañ+yata=saññata*, *tañ+yeva=taññeva*<sup>15</sup>

<sup>15</sup> *Taññeva* is taken by grammarians as equivalent to *tam+eva*, but I do not agree to this.

When, however, *ud*-precedes *y* the assimilation is *yy* instead of *yy*. *ud + yojeti = uyyojetī*, *udgamma = uyyama*, *ud + yāti = uyyāti*, *adyāna = uyyāna*.

26 (B) The second variation of the rule is: When a mute meets a sibilant, the sibilant (being weak) is assimilated, but the mute is, at the same time, aspirated (unless already an aspirate).

With guttural: *bhikshu = bhikkhu*, *caḥsu = cakkhu*, *akṣ = akkhi*, *puraskṣta = purakkhata* (also *purekkhata*), *saṁ + kāra = saṁskāra = Pali saṁkhāra*; (see 18), *pari + kāra = pariskāra = Pali parikkhāra*; (see 91). Exceptions: *samskrta = sakkata* (Sanskrit language) in order to avoid *saṁkhata* which has a different sense, *Takṣaśīlā = Takkaśīlā*, *Ikṣvāku = Okkāka*,  $\sqrt{kṣā} = jhā(yati)$ . *K* is changed into *cc* in the following words: *kukṣi = kucchi*, *ikṣu = ucchu*, *sa + akṣi > sacchi\**—(cp. *sacchikaroti*), *ḥṣulla*, however becomes *culla* or *cāla*.

27. With palatal: *paścāt = pacchā*, *āśvaga = acchariya*, *nīśeta = nicchita*, *nī = nīś + car = nicchai*—(cp. *nicchāreti*) but *nīśala = neccala* from *nīśala*, *duścarita = duccarita* from *duścarita*, (see 89)

28. With lingual:  $\sqrt{drṣ} + ta$  (*drṣta*) = *dittha*,  $\sqrt{naś} + ta$  (*naśta*) = *nattha*, *pra + √riś + ta* (*prariśta*) = *parittha*, *iśhtia = iattha*,  $\sqrt{hrṣh} + ta$  (*hrṣta*) = *huttha*, (see 94), *darśitrā = dāthā*.<sup>16</sup>

29. With dental: *t*: *śrāvastī = Sāvatthī*, *mastaka = matthaka*, *hasta = hattha*,  $\sqrt{as} + ti = atthi$  but *hyastanī = hyattanī*. *ś* becomes *ch*: *ratva = vaccha* (also *raṁsa*—the Vamsas of Kosambi), *maśya = macecha*, *vikṣā = tikucchā*, *mat + śa = macchā*, *piḥatśa = piucchā* but *bīḥatśa = bīhacca*. Exceptions: *t* of *-at* is assimilated: *utsanna = assanna*, *utsuka = ussuka*, *utsava = ussava* but *utsanga = ucchanga*. *śth* becomes *tth* instead of *tth*: *asthi = atthi*, *sthāna = thāna*.

30. With labial: *pushpa = puppha*, *nishpanna = nipphanna*,  $\sqrt{sprī} = phus$ ,  $\sqrt{spand} = phand$ . Exceptions:  $\sqrt{sprh} = ph$ , *vanaspati = anappati*. *P* becomes *cc* in *aparas = accharā*, *yugupsa = yugucchā*.

31 (C) The third variation of the rule is: When a sibilant meets a nasal, the sibilant is changed into *h*, and the order of the consonants is reversed, (in other words the *h* aspirates the nasal): *trshnā = taphā*, *ushṇa = unha*, *kṣhṇa = kapha*, *tushnīm = tuṇhī*, *asmākaṁ = amhākaṁ*, *asmī = amhi* (also *asmi*), *tasmān = tamhi* (also *tasmān*), *giṣhma = gimha*,  $\sqrt{snā} = nhā$ ,  $\sqrt{nalā} = nhā$ , *snāyu > nhāyu\** >

16 When *tth* occurs, it must be understood that the Skt sibilant is either lingual or palatal (except when *sth* becomes *tth* as below), and when it is *tth* the Skt sibilant may be assumed to be dental, (see 94)

*nahāyu\**=*nahāru*, *śleśman*=*semha*, *paśhma*=*pamha*, *pruśna*=*pañha*, (*n* palatalized by the influence of the palatal sibilant), *jyotsnā*=*junhā* (also *dosinā*), *sunā*=*sunhā* (*n* shifted, and no reversal of consonants) Exceptions:  $\sqrt{\text{sar}}$ = $\sqrt{\text{sar}}$ , *vi*+ $\sqrt{\text{smar}}$ +*a*=*vissara*, *aru*+*smaraṇa*=*avussaraṇa*, *jāti*+*smara*=*jātissara*, *smṛti*=*saṭi*; *smṛta*=*sṛta* (also *mihita*), *śmaśru*=*massu*, *śmaśāna*=*susāna*. Instead of Assimilation, Epenthesis is used in the following. *jyotsnā*=*dosinā* (also *junhā*), *sūkshma*=*sukhuma*

32 (III). The third general rule of assimilation: If neither consonant is a mute the weaker is assimilated, *s*, *l*, *r*, *y*, *i* being their order in decreasing strength *āsva*=*assa*, *āśu*=*assu*, *sahasra*=*sahassa*, *asya*=*assu*, *śalya*=*śalla*, *palcala*=*pallala*, *aryaya*>*avaya*\*=*abhaya*,  $\sqrt{\text{div}}$ +*ya*>*diva*\*=*divha* *darāna*=*dassana*, *parivata*>*parvata*\*=*pabhata*, *saiva*>*sarva*\*=*abha*, *pāra*>*puvra*\*=*pubba*,<sup>17</sup> *ārya*=*ayya* (also *ariya*), *nri*+*yāti*=*niyyāti* but *vipariyāsa*=*vipalāsa*, *pariyanka*=*pallanka*—(*r*=*l*), *svayam*=*sayam*, *srāmin*=*sāmī*. Exceptions. *lomaharsa*=*lomahansa*, *sampahaiṣa*=*sampahaṁsa*, *vidaiṣati*=*vidaiṣeti*, *Ururilvā*=*Ururelā*.

*M* of *sam* followed by *t* is always assimilated *sam*+*lapati*=*sallapati*, *sam*+*lahuka*=*sallahuka*, *sam*+*līna*=*sallīna*, *attham*+*nāma*=*atthannāma*. (For final *m* (*n*) see 84 and for *m* followed by *y* see 25).

33 *H* with a nasal or a semi-vowel before it—the order is reversed, (see 47), but the following assimilations with *h* are found: *lehya*=*legga*, *gahvara*=*gabbhara*, *hassa* becomes *rassa*; (for *hāda*=*daha*, *rahada* see<sup>18</sup>) 47

Assimilation is the most common way of avoiding an inconvenient combination of consonants but there is another method of doing the same, that is Epenthesis.

34 Epenthesis is the insertion of a vowel between two consonants. It is invariably applied in the beginning of a monosyllabic word, for assimilation would change such a word out of recognition. *śrī*=*siri*, *hrī*=*hiri*, *jyā*=*jiyā*,  $\sqrt{\text{snā}}$ >*nhā*=*naha*, *śrasti*=*suratthi*, *padma*=*paduma*, *ratna*=*ratana*, *pari*+*upa*  $\sqrt{\text{ās}}$ +*ti*=*payi*+*upāsati*, *grhapatnī*=*gahapatānī*, *raja*=*rajja*, *śrapna*=*supina*, *kriyā*=*kiyā*, *bhagmī*=*bhaginī*, *cartman* ( $\sqrt{\text{rit}}$ )=*catuma*.

17 *VV* always becomes *bb*

18 I have given examples of assimilation from the roots of verbal derivatives and not from the forms they have assumed in Skt.  $\sqrt{\text{mu}}$ +*ta*=*mutta* (Skt *mukta*), *ud*+ $\sqrt{\text{pad}}$ +*na*=*uppanna* (Skt *utpanna*) It is easier, however, in some cases to go by the Skt forms  $\sqrt{\text{bhāṅ}}$ +*na* (Skt *bhāṅga*)=*bhagga*,  $\sqrt{\text{lābh}}$ +*ta* (Skt *lābha*)=*laddha*,  $\sqrt{\text{muc}}$  (Pali  $\sqrt{\text{muc}}$ +*ta*)=Skt *mucita*=*pattha*,  $\sqrt{\text{ṇ}}$ , Pali  $\sqrt{\text{ṇ}}$ +*ta* (Skt *ṇīta*)—(1) *ṇattha*



Epenthesis takes place in the following combinations in particular *a* is inserted between *r* & *h*: *arham*=*araham*, *garhati*=*garahati*, *etarhi*=*etarahi*, *antarhita*=*antarahita* *l* following a guttural or a palatal—*i* is inserted *kleśa*=*kilesa*, *klānta*=*kilanta*, *glāna*=*gilāna*, *śloka*=*siloka*, *mlā*=*milā*, (*yati*) but *plavati*=*palavati*. *I* is also inserted between *r* & *y*: *ācārya*=*acchariya*, *kārya*=*kādarīya*, *brahmacārya*=*bhahmacariya*, *tiryak*=*triya*. *Ārya*=*ariya* (also *ayya*), *bhāryā*=*bhariyā*, *ācārya*=*ācarīya*, *sūrya*=*suriya*, *vīrya*=*virīya*, *vaiddīrya*=*vechiya*,<sup>19</sup> *pariyāya*=*pariyāya*, *pariyesanā*=*pariyesanā*, *pariyanta*=*pariyanta*<sup>20</sup> But *vipariyāsa*=*vipallāsa*, *pariyanka*=*pallanka*—(*r*=*l*), *pariyupāsati*=*payrupāsati*, (see 47 for *ry*=*yr*)

*Ya, yā* at the end of a word preceded by another consonant introduces an *i*: *cārya*=*cariya*, *jātyā*=*jātiyā*, *sāmaññiya*=*sāmaññiya*, *dhammāya*=*dhammiya*, *vesyā*=*vesiya* (also *vesi*).

*Ambila* (Skt *amla*) is an instance of a consonant with a vowel introduced into a word

35. *M* is inserted in *piqimsati* (Skt. *piqīsati*), *bhimsana* (Skt *bhishana*) and in a compound word: *sanantana* (*sanātana*), *ammaso* (*ara + sro*), *sirimsapa* (Skt *sarisapa*), *sumsumāra* (*susu + māra*, *m* shifted—Skt. *śūmāra*).

36 Sometimes a consonant is inserted not in the body of a word but between two words for smoothness of pronunciation. This is different from final consonants restored for which see 15, and is known as consonantal insertion *añña + añña*=*aññamañña* (Skt *anyānya*), *añña + atthu*=*aññadatthu*, *ajja + agge*—*ajjatagge*, *āsanā + utthāya*=*āsanārutthāya*, *puna + eva*=*punadeva*, *punamēva* (also *punaceva*), for *pariyanta*, *pariyesanā*, *pariyāya* see 34, *sa + ajja*=*suhujja* (also *sūjja*) An example of Epenthesis in a word containing a triple consonant is *hammiya* (Skt. *harmiya*) Epenthesis is used to separate the consonants in *gyotsnā*=*dosinā*, *sukshma*=*sukhuma*, (see 31).

37 A vowel or a consonant is rarely introduced in the beginning of a word *stī*=*itthī* (also *thī*), *utthāna*=*rutthāna*

38. There are some instances of both assimilation and epenthesis in the same word  $\sqrt{snā}$ =*nahā*,  $\sqrt{mīta}$ =*mihita*<sup>21</sup>

19 It is necessary first to shorten the long vowel followed by two consonants according to 50 before *i* is inserted

20 *I* followed by a dissimilar vowel is changed into *y* so that *pari* becomes *pariy*. In Pali we can either take it as an insertion of *i* between *r* & *y* or at 'y' inserted between *pari* and the dissimilar vowel

21 As a general rule a triple consonant in a word is reduced to a double consonant *candra*—*canda*, *mantra*—*manṭa* etc.—(*andriya* and *ganṭrā* are exceptions) But by epenthesis *harmya*—*hammiya*,  $\sqrt{kai}$ +*tā*=*kantā* (also *kātā*) Assimilation takes place in a triple consonant containing a sibilant

Some other processes :—

39 Analogy—is responsible for certain irregular forms of words *su + gati = sugati* sometimes becomes *suggati* on the analogy of *duggati* (*dur + gati*), similarly *subbaca* (*su + vacas*) on the analogy of *dubbaca*, and *anuddaya* on the analogy of *nuddaya*. These pairs usually go together; hence this imitation. For the same reason *vāyu* becomes *vāyo* on the analogy of *tejo* and *āpo*. *Puthujjana* is equated with *prthagjana*, (average men) but through confusion with *prthu* = several (cp *puthusamanabrāhmanā*). *Bahu + suta + ya* should be *bāhusacca* but the actual form is *bāhusacca* through mixing-up with *sacca*. *Nir + gacchati = nirgacchati = nigacchati* but there is also the form *niyacchati* used in the same sense with *ni-* instead of *nir-*, (see 90). *√nrt + ya = nacca*—(*ty = cc*), so *naccana* has a double *cc* although it is derived from *√nrt + ana*, the doubling of *k* of *sakkhāpāti* (*√sak + unā*) is in imitation of *sakkoti* (*√sak + no*)

40 By false analogy new grammatical forms which are not covered by the rules of grammar, are made *manas* and *vacas* are consonantal bases, and their instrumentive sing. forms are *manasā* and *vacasā* respectively, and on their analogy *mukha* and *pada* form the inst. *mukhasā*, *padasā*.

41 There is a tendency of declining a consonantal base as if it were a vowel base, (see 13), and forms of both consonant and vowel bases are met with *karman*—*kammanā*, *kammena* in the instr., *dhītar* forms *dhītārā*, in the instr. and there is also the form *dhītāya* like the instr. of *kāññā*, *kārin* becomes *kārinam* and *kārin* in the accusative of *kārī*, the former is Skt. *kārinam*; in the same way *verimsu* and *verīsu* in the locative plu. of *verī*, (Skt. *carim*), the former is formed from a hypothetical base *verina*, *mahat* ought to form *mahanto* in the nominative plu. masculine (Skt. *mahantah*) but there is *mahantā* on the analogy of *puttā*, the present participle *gacchat*—(*-at*, *-ant*) forms the nom. sing. masc. *gaccham* (Skt. *gacchan*) and *gacchanto* on the analogy of *putto*, and the plu. form is *gacchantā* on the analogy of *puttā* although it ought to be *gacchanto* from Skt. *gacchantah*, but then *gacchanto* is the nom. sing. form, *pacat* in the loc. sing. forms *pacati* as in and a nasal after one of the consonants is dropped, and a vowel may or may not be introduced *gopsnā* *mukhā*, *kāśna*—*kasina* etc. (see 31), *variman* becomes *ratuma*. With a double consonant in the middle either assimilation or epenthesis takes place *āyā* *ayya* or *ayya* *√vay + ta*—*cattā* or *vasā*, *tiśnā*—*tañhā* or *tasnā*, (see 21). With a double consonant in the beginning assimilation first takes place, and then one of the consonants is dropped or the double consonant is separated by epenthesis *smarati* > *smarati* = *smati* or *sumarati*, *√snā* *nā*—*nabhā*, *smṛta* > *mṛta*—*smṛita*, *śreṇu*—*śreṇu*, (see 10)

Skt. as well as *pacantasmim*, *pacantamhi* as in the loc. pl. of *putta*. The consonantal form is preserved in the inst. sing. of *vāc* = *vācā* in *manasā vācā uda cetasā*.

42. In the declension of vowel bases too instances of false analogy are found the gen. sing. of *kapi* in Skt. is *kapeh* but Pali *kapiṣsa* is on the analogy of *puttassa*, and *kapiṇo* is on the analogy of words in *-m*, gen. sing., like *ṣacin*—*ṣacinaḥ*, *-smim* (loc. sing.) and *-smā* (abl. sing.) are used with pronominal bases in Skt. but these are also applied in Pali to vowel bases as well, e.g. *kapiṣmim*, *kapiṣmā*, *puttasimim*, *puttasimā*. These forms, however, are not met with in Skt. In Skt. the dat. gen. sing. forms of *kanyā* as also of other feminine vowel bases are different but in Pali not only these two but ablative and loc. sing. forms too are the same as the inst. sing. form. In Pali the nom. and acc. pl. forms are identical (except in the declension of *putta* and of the first person pronoun), the abl. pl. forms are the same as the inst. pl., and the dat. pl. forms are the same as gen. pl. In Skt. this is not the case. Instances can be multiplied but these examples will suffice to illustrate the point.

43. Conjugation too provides examples of false analogy. In Skt. the pl. of *karoti* is *kuranti* but Pali has not only the pl. *kubbanti* but also a sing. *kubbati* which is unknown in Skt. Skt. has *mṛigate* from  $\sqrt{mṛ}$  but Pali has *marati* on the analogy of forms like *pacati* as well as the rare form *maggati* corresponding to Skt. *mṛigate*,  $\sqrt{r}$  forms *vinoti* in Skt. but Pali  $\sqrt{r}$  = *rat* (*ati*) as in *samvarati* as if it were a root of the first conj. Compare the form *vināti* instead of *vinoti* (Skt. *vinoti*). Pali *vināti* is on the analogy of the roots of the fifth conj. (besides the regular *veti* and *jayati*). *Vadeti* is on the analogy of the roots of the seventh conj. (besides *vadati*), cp. *pūnēti* instead of *pūnāti*. *Vā* in Pali is the fifth conjugational sign but as almost all the roots of the fourth conj. add *nā* it is optionally regarded as a fourth conj. sign, e.g., *sunoti*, *sunāti*; *pappoti*, *pāpāpāti*. In fact forms with *nā* are more common. The very rare form *sakkati* (instead of *sakkoti*) is on the analogy of *pacati*, similarly *karamāna* instead of *karomāna*. Skt. *pacāmi*, 1st person sing. imperative is Pali *pacāmi* because the pl. of the 1st pers. imperative in Pali *pacāma* is the same as in Skt., and as the 1st pers. pl. present tense in Skt. *pacamāḥ* is equivalent to Pali *pacāma* so the sing. of the imperative 1st pers. in Pali is made the same as the form of the present tense. Skt. has *pacceyam* and *pacema* in the 1st pers. optative sing. and pl. respectively but the Pali forms are *pacceyyāmi* and *pacceyyāma* in the 1st pers. sing. and pl., *pacceyyāsi*, *pacceyyātha* in the 2nd pers. sing. and pl. on

the analogy of the forms of the present tense. Pali *paceyyaṃ*, medial optative, 1st pers sing. is equal to Skt *paceyam* which is, however, the corresponding active form *Dehi, denti, (ni) dheta*<sup>22</sup> are formed on the analogy of the imperative *dehi*. Perfect *āhuḥ* becomes *āhaṃsu* in Pali on the analogy of forms like *akhaṃsu*; (*āhu* is also frequent in Pali). *Sat + √kr + tya > satkṛitya = sakkacca*, a gerundial form used as an adverb has also the form *sakkaccam* on the analogy of adverbs like *siḥham, saṇṭham*.

44. The lengthening of *a* in *pakkhāmi* (*pa + √kam + i*) is due to confusion with forms like *pacāmi* (*√pac + a + mi*, *ā* lengthened); see 69. *Udapādi* and *udatāri* have a long vowel for a similar reason. Pali has *kapibhi* with *i* on the analogy of lengthening *a* before gen. pl. *nām* (e.g., *putrānām*), similarly *kapisu* has sometimes the *i* lengthened—*kapīsu*.

45. The *n* of *sakkunnāti* is lingualized because most of the roots of the 4th conj. has the lingual nasal (cp *suṇāti, pāpunāti*), see 43. The *n* of *onha* in *sāganha, majjhanha* is lingualized on the analogy of *pubbanha*, similarly the *n* of *kaṣiṇa* (Skt *kṛtsna*). *Junhā* (Skt *ṛjotsnā*), *sunhā, sunisā* (Skt *snusā*) have the *n* because almost all the combinations of *nh* are lingualized *nh* (e.g., *ganhāti, tanhā*); see 31.

46. Dissimilation—is making different one of the sounds repeated in a word. This process is the opposite of Assimilation. The few examples of it are *lāṅgala = naṅgala, lānula = nanṅula, lalāṭa = nalāṭa*—these are words with two *l*'s. *Ukatsā = tukuchā* (but *ucuktsā = ucukuchā*). Menander changes one *n* into *l* in *Milinda*.

47. Metathesis—is the transposition of syllables or letters in a word. It is also rare. *maṇḍaka = maḥaka, gadabha = gadaṭṭha, haṇḍa = dhaḥa* and *raḥada* (through imaginary *hada* and *haṇḍa*). Metathesis takes place whenever *h* is followed by a semi-vowel. In fact *h* is always used to aspirate a consonant in Pali. *jihvā = jivhā, sāhaya = saḥaya, ahna = onha, mahyam = mayham, upānah = upahanā, √gah + nā = ganhā(ṭi), √muh + ya = muḥya(ṭi)*, the present participle suffix *-ant* becomes *nta*. In *sumsumāra* the *m* is shifted (*sumsumāra*, Skt *śumāra* has no *m*), the *u* is shifted in *sunhā* and *sunisā*, equivalents of Skt. *snusā*. Conjunction *iy* also shifts the position of the consonants. *√kar + yāt = kay (i)rā, pari + upa + √ās (paryupās) = payu upās(ati)*. similarly *payu udāharati (pari + ud)*. In *yi* a vowel is often inserted showing the tendency in Pali of avoiding a conjunct consonant like this

<sup>22</sup> Skt *√dā* becomes *dadhāti*, also in Pali, and Skt *√dha* becomes *dadhāti* but in Pali *dadhāti* becomes *dahati* (as in *paridahati, samudahati*). See 59.

Exceptions: *brahman*, *brāhmaṇa*, *gahvara*=*gabbhara*, *hrasva*=*rassa*, *hyaḥ* (being mono-syllabic) becomes *hiyyo* by epenthesis. In *rh* epenthesis takes place, (see 34 and for *nir*+ $\sqrt{\text{har}}$  see 90).

48. Elision. A vowel is dropped in the following words. *agāra*=*agga* (cp *bhattagga*), *duhitā*=*dhītā*, *ājāneya*=*ājāñña*, (cp. the forms *jātiyā*=*jaṭṭā*, *rattiyā*=*ratyā*, *nadiyo*=*najjo*). The initial vowel is dropped in *snusā*=*husā* and sometimes in *uposatha*=*posatha*; (cp the form *thī* as a variant of *ittihī*). *Api*, *iva*, *idāni*, *eti*, the last following a *niggahīta* have optional forms without the initial vowel. This loss of the initial vowel cannot be accounted for by the rules of sandhi.

A consonant is elided in the body of the following words. *stharira*=*thera*, *mayūra*=*mora*, *yavāgū*=*yāgu*, *caturdaṇa*=*cuddasa*, *coddusa*, *khalu*=*kho*, *bhadante* has an abbreviated form *bhante*. *Kusinārā* is from *Kuśinagara*, *abhinha* from *abhikkhana*, *māteccā* from *mātrāsāsā*, *dhoraṇha* from *dhuravayha*(?), the variants of *dukkha*, *upekkhā*, *apekkhā*, are *dukha*, *upekhā*, *apekhā* respectively. Skt. Perfect *viciduh*=*cidu* in Pali because the perfect tense was regarded superfluous and the distinctive feature of it—the reduplicative syllable was lost, *m* is dropped in *ā*+ $\sqrt{\text{āms}}$ =*āsa* (-*āna*) and in such examples of sandhi or metre as *labheyyāham* (*labheyyaṃ*+*aham*), *kathāham* (*katham*+*aham*), *addasāham* (*addasaṃ*+*aham*), *Buddhānusāsanaṃ* (*Buddhānam*+*sāsanaṃ*).

*Ya* at the end of a word in a sentence is sometimes dropped. *anupādāya* becomes *anupādā*—(*anupadā āsacchi cittaṃ vimacchi*), *vyārosanā patighasaññā* instead of *vyārosanāya patighasaññāya*—(*vyārosanā patighasaññā na aññamanñassa dukkham ucciyya*), *abhiññā* instead of *abhiññāya* (*sagam abhiññā sacchikati*), *patisaṅkhāya yoniso*=*patisaṅkhāya yoniso*, *saddhā* instead of *saddhāya* (*saddhā agārasmā anagāriyam pabbajjī*). *katipaya* as well as *katipa*—(*katipāham*)<sup>21</sup>

49. Compensation. The loss of a consonant is often compensated by lengthening the preceding vowel. *upanishad*=*upanisā*, *candrama*=*candimā*, (see 11), *im* in a word becomes *i*. *vimāha*=*sīha*, *viṃcati*=*visati*, cp *damṣṭrā*=*dāthā*, *saṃ* becomes *sa* in *sākaśchā*, *sārambha*.  $\sqrt{\text{Kar}}$ +*tabba*=*kātabba* (Skt *kartarigo*) also *kattabba*,  $\sqrt{\text{kar}}$ +*tum*=*kātum* (Skt *kartum*) also *kattum*. In sandhi *n* is sometimes dropped—(see Elision 48), and the preceding vowel, if short, is lengthened by compensation: *katham*+*aham*=*kathāham*, *labheyyaṃ*+*aham*=*labheyyāham*, *addasaṃ*+*aham*=*addasāham*.

<sup>23</sup> See contraction 51. Some of these examples may as well come under 'Contraction'.

Many examples of compensation are found in Pali sandhi but there is nothing like this in Skt

50. A long vowel in a Pali word is never followed by a double consonant or by a niggahita, and so it is shortened before them:  $\sqrt{h}\bar{u} + t\bar{c}\bar{a} = hut\bar{c}\bar{a}$ ,  $\sqrt{d}\bar{a} + t\bar{v}\bar{a} = dat\bar{v}\bar{a}$ ,  $r\bar{a}tr\bar{i} = rat\bar{i}$ ,  $par\bar{a}krama = para-$   
 $kkoma$ ,  $\bar{s}\bar{u}dra = sud\bar{d}a$ ,  $\bar{a}rya = ay\bar{y}a$  (also  $ari\bar{y}a$ ),  $\bar{s}\bar{u}rya = suri\bar{y}a$ ,  
 $\bar{a}c\bar{a}rya = \bar{a}ca\bar{r}i\bar{y}a$ ,  $\bar{a}k\bar{h}y\bar{a}na = akkh\bar{h}\bar{a}na$ ,  $\bar{a}k\bar{r}\bar{o}\bar{s}\bar{a} = akk\bar{o}sa$ ,  $\bar{a} + \sqrt{j}\bar{n}\bar{a} + ya$   
 $= a\bar{n}\bar{n}\bar{a}ya$ ,  $\bar{s}\bar{a}nta = sant\bar{a}$ ,  $\bar{d}\bar{a}nta = dant\bar{a}$ ,  $\bar{p}\bar{u}tra = pat\bar{t}a$ ,  $\bar{s}\bar{a}stro = sat\bar{t}ha$ ;  
 $\bar{m}\bar{a}msa = mam\bar{s}a$ ,  $\bar{b}har\bar{a}n = bhav\bar{a}m$ ,  $\bar{k}\bar{a}\bar{s}\bar{n}\bar{a} + m = ka\bar{n}\bar{n}\bar{a}m$ . Excep-  
 tions  $\bar{d}\bar{a}tta (= dah, a big knife)$ , here  $\bar{a}$  is not shortened in order  
 to avoid  $datta$  which has a different meaning. In sandhi with  
 $su$  and  $sa$ ,  $\bar{s}v\bar{a}kkhat\bar{a}$  (but  $akkh\bar{a}ta$ ),  $\bar{s}\bar{a}kkhata$ , also  $\bar{s}\bar{a}k\bar{k}arana$ ,  
 $\bar{v}\bar{a}kya$ .

51. Instead of a long vowel being shortened before a double consonant the double consonant is sometimes made single:  $\bar{d}\bar{i}gha =$   
 $d\bar{i}gha$ ,  $\bar{s}\bar{i}sa = s\bar{i}sa$ ,  $\bar{s}\bar{i}gha = s\bar{i}gha$ ,  $\bar{m}\bar{u}l\bar{y}a = m\bar{u}la$ ,  $\bar{d}h\bar{a}tr\bar{i} = dh\bar{a}ti$ , Skt  
 $\bar{j}\bar{i}ryati = j\bar{i}rati$  or  $\bar{j}\bar{i}yati$  in Pali,  $\bar{l}\bar{a}k\bar{s}\bar{a} = l\bar{a}kh\bar{a}$ .

52. A long vowel followed by a single consonant is quantitative-  
 ly the same as a short vowel followed by a double consonant:  
 $\bar{k}\bar{r}\bar{i}d\bar{a} = kkhidd\bar{a}$ ,  $\bar{p}\bar{i}p\bar{i}lik\bar{a} = k\bar{p}\bar{i}llik\bar{a}$ ,  $\bar{a}l\bar{a}pa = all\bar{a}pa$ ,  $\bar{p}\bar{u}ga > pu\bar{y}ga^*$   
 $= pub\bar{b}a$ ,  $\bar{c}\bar{u}la$  as well as  $culla$ ,  $\bar{n}\bar{i}la$  as well as  $nidda$ ,  $\bar{k}\bar{u}tab\bar{b}a$   
 as well as  $kattab\bar{b}a$ ,  $\bar{m}\bar{i}yati$  as well as  $miggati$ ,  $\bar{p}\bar{i}t\bar{u}nam$  as well  
 as  $\bar{p}\bar{i}tunnam$ ,  $\bar{p}\bar{a}\bar{n}\bar{c}\bar{a} + nam = pa\bar{n}\bar{c}annam$  (instead of  $\bar{p}\bar{a}\bar{n}\bar{c}\bar{a}nam$ ). cp  
 $\bar{U}r\bar{u}r\bar{e}l\bar{a} = U\bar{r}ur\bar{e}l\bar{a}$ ,  $\bar{a} + \sqrt{c}had = ac\bar{h}\bar{a}deti$  for which see 81; cp. also  
 $\bar{a}d\bar{a}śa = \bar{a}d\bar{a}śa$

53. It may be mentioned at this stage that all the above rules  
 have the effect of changing different Skt words into Pali with the  
 same form:  $\bar{ac}cha = archa$ , clear or  $\bar{a}ksha = accha$ , a bear,  $\bar{p}\bar{u}t\bar{t}ha =$   
 $\bar{p}\bar{i}sta$ , asked or  $\bar{p}\bar{u}sta$ , nourished,  $\bar{o}t\bar{t}ha = \bar{o}stra$ , camel or  $\bar{o}stha$ ,  
 lip,  $\bar{d}\bar{o}sa = d\bar{r}esa$ , hatred (to avoid  $\bar{d}\bar{e}śa$ , country) or  $\bar{d}\bar{o}sa$ , fault,  
 $\bar{p}\bar{a}l\bar{a}pa$ , non-sense or  $\bar{p}\bar{a}l\bar{a}ra$ , chaff,  $\bar{p}\bar{u}b\bar{b}a =$  before or  $\bar{p}\bar{u}ga$ , pus,  
 $\bar{p}\bar{u}t\bar{t}\bar{a} = \bar{p}\bar{u}t\bar{i}śh$  (nom pl) or  $\bar{p}\bar{u}t\bar{i}āt$  (abl sing),  $\bar{s}\bar{a}t$  the present  
 participle of  $\sqrt{as}$  (also meaning 'good') or  $\bar{s}\bar{e}at$  which has the  
 same sense as  $\bar{s}\bar{a}ddh\bar{a} = (\bar{s}\bar{a}d + \sqrt{d}h\bar{a})$ ,  $\bar{s}\bar{a}$  is the abbreviation of  $\bar{s}\bar{a}ha$   
 or of  $\bar{s}\bar{o}a$ :  $\bar{s}\bar{a}dat\bar{t}ha = \bar{s}\bar{a}t(d)at\bar{t}ha$  or  $\bar{s}\bar{a}$ , own +  $\bar{a}t\bar{t}ha$ , ( $d$  as an  
 insertion),  $\bar{j}\bar{h}\bar{y}\bar{a}ti = dh\bar{y}\bar{a}yati$ , meditates or  $\bar{k}\bar{s}\bar{y}\bar{a}ti$ , burns,  
 $\bar{s}\bar{a}rati$ , moves or  $\bar{s}\bar{m}\bar{a}rati$ , remembers,  $\bar{s}\bar{a}tta =$  seven or  $\bar{s}\bar{a}ttva$ , being;  
 $\bar{s}\bar{u}tta = \bar{s}\bar{u}tra$ , a short rule or  $\bar{s}\bar{u}pta$ , asleep,  $\bar{a}ppamatt\bar{a} = \bar{a}piamatt\bar{a}$ ,  
 ardent or  $\bar{a}lpamatt\bar{a}$ , only a little (cp.  $\bar{a}ppamatt\bar{a} na m\bar{i}yare$ , the  
 earnest do not die and  $\bar{a}ppamatto ayam gandho$ , only a little is  
 this fragrance);  $\bar{a}dd\bar{h}\bar{a} = ard\bar{h}\bar{a}$ , half or  $\bar{i}dd\bar{h}\bar{a}$ , rich;  $\bar{a}t\bar{t}ha = \bar{a}r\bar{t}ha$ ,  
 meaning or  $\bar{a}ṣṭa$ , eight,  $\bar{s}\bar{a}nta = \sqrt{s}\bar{a}m + ta$ , tranquil or  $\sqrt{s}\bar{a}ram$ ,

to strive + *ta*<sup>24</sup>, *danta* =  $\sqrt{\text{dam}} + \text{ta}$ , tamed or *danta*, tooth; *māla* = root or *mūlya*, price.

It is easy to change a Skt. word into its equivalent in Pali by applying the rules mentioned above, but to do the reverse is not easy. It is difficult, for instance, to know whether the initial *u* of *utu* is an original vowel or derived from *r*; *itth* may represent *sth* or *rth*; *sattha* may be *śāstra*, science, scripture or *śastra*, weapon, *sāttha*, caravan or *sa + artha*, meaningful (cp. *sattham savyañjanaṁ*), *tt* may be original or may represent assimilation of *tr* or *pt* as in *putta* = *pātra*, bowl or *putra*, leaf or *pīta* +  $\sqrt{\text{āp}} + \text{ta}$ .

54. Contraction. *aya*, *āa* are sometimes contracted to *a* and *o* respectively: *adhiyayana* = *ajjhena*, *Udayana* = *Udena*, *Ujjayinī* = *Ujjenī*, *Yavana* = *Yona*, *lāraṇa* = *lona*, *arakāṣa* = *akāsa*, *aratarati* = *otarati*, *vyavahāra* = *rohāra*, *mayate* and *neti*, *palāyati* and *paleti*, *corayati* and *coreti*, *bhavanto* and *bhonto*. *Agana* may also be *āna* *Mandagalyāyana* = *Moggallāna*, *Kaccāyana* = *Kaccāna*, *patissallāyana* (a hypothetical form) = *patissallāna*. *Āśāyā* = *accheṇa*, (*āśāyā* > *acchayā* = *accheṇa*, *ay* becoming *e*), besides the common form *acchariya*.

55. Reduplication: Sometimes a consonant is arbitrarily reduplicated *pratikūla* = *patikkūla*, *anuddaya* (*ana + dayā*), *upasta* = *upassattha*, *risajayati* = *rissajeti*, *jātassara* (*jāta + saras*), *upakkilesa* (*upa + kleśa*), *bhisakka* (*bhisak*), *suggati* (*su + gati*), *naccana*—Pali  $\sqrt{\text{nac}} + \text{ana}$ ; *uju* and *ujja*, *bhadanta* and *bhad-danta*, *Ujji* is from *ujja*.

*Y* has a tendency of being reduplicated in Pali, *myate* = *myyati*, *bhāyeyya* = *bhāyeyyeyya*, *icciya* = *icceyya*, *haya* = *hyyo*, *seyya* = *seyyo*, *bhāyā* = *bhāyeyya*, *dakkhiyā* = *dakkhiyeyya*, *bhojanīya* = *bhojaneyyeyya*, *yākarana* = *eyyākarana*<sup>25</sup>. *I* also may be reduplicated, *er* = *bh* *yobhana* (*yauvana*), *pasibbaka* (*piśacaka*), *pubba*, *pus* is Skt. *paya* — (*y = b*) reduplicated. Cp. the reduplication of consonants in Bengali.

56. The consonants in the following words appear to have been reduplicated but by comparison with the Skt. forms they are found to be unreal cases of reduplication: *pubhajati* ( $\sqrt{\text{caj}}$ , Skt.  $\sqrt{\text{cra}}$ ), *pukkamati* ( $\sqrt{\text{kam}}$ , Skt.  $\sqrt{\text{kram}}$ ), *rippayutta* (*ri + pa*, Skt. *ri + pra*),

<sup>24</sup> The sameness of form has led to the derivation of *samana* from  $\sqrt{\text{sam}}$ , to be quite, instead of from  $\sqrt{\text{sram}}$ .

<sup>25</sup> *Suqqati*, *naccana*, *anuddaya* are due to analogy: see 39.

<sup>26</sup> Reduplicated *ya* often assumes the form *yya* causing confusion with the optative 3rd pers. sing. form, (cp. *ekāṁ ca uyyamattānam* or *ca uyyamattānam*—here *uyya* is optative).

*udakappamāna* (*pamāna*, Skt. *pramāna*), *chaddanta* (*cha*, Skt. *śad*) ; see 23.

57. Aspiration *paraṣa*=*phaṣa*, *paraṣu*=*pharasu*, *kīla*=*khīla* (*peg*) *sukumāra*=*sukhumāla*, *pushya*=*phussa*, *kubja*=*khujja*, *grāsa*=*ghāsa*, *krīdā*=*khiddā*, *basta*=*bhasta*, *basa*=*bhusa*, *Pippalī*=*Pipphalī*, *pippala*=*pipphala*, *Vidura*=*Vīdhura*, *Godāvarī*=*Godhāvarī*, *kiñcikkha* (*kinced+ka*), *labheta*=*labhetha*, *amaṇḍata*=*amaṇḍātha*,  $\sqrt{\text{sak}} + \text{no} + \text{ti}$ =*sakkoti* but in the aorist and the future, *asakkhi*, *sakkhissati*, *ghara* is from *gīha*.

58. When *sañ*  $\sqrt{\text{kar}} + a$  becomes *sankhāra* or *na* +  $\sqrt{\text{ci}} + ta$  becomes *nicchita* it appears there has been aspiration. But these are not genuine cases of aspiration as would appear from their equivalents in Skt., the Skt. forms are *saṃskāra* and *nīcīta*, and the aspiration is due to the assimilation of *sk* and *ce* (See 91 and 89)

\* \* \* \* \*

59. Pali and Prakrit. It may be noted that Pali words exhibit many characteristics which are found in a greater degree in the Prakrit dialects e.g., dropping the intervocal consonant; cp. *maṇḍāra*=*maṇa*—(*a + n + o*), *stharita*=*thēra*—(*a + i + c*), *y* replacing an intervocal consonant *khādita* and *scādita* sometimes become *khāyita* *sāyita*, *tadidam*=*taṇḍam*, the change of *āyā* into *ānā* (not *añnā* which means super-knowledge) is according to Prakrit in which *ṃ*=*na*, replacement of mute aspirates by *h* *udhita*=*uhita*, *laghu*=*lahu*(*ka*), *prabhu*=*pahu*, *prabhūta*=*pahūta*,  $\sqrt{\text{dhā}}$  forms the base *dadhā* which becomes *daha*(*ti*)—cp. *paridahati*, *samidahati*, *sandahati*, (see 43, foot note)

60. In Magadhi Prakrit unlike in Pali the nom. sing. of the base in *a* (both masculine and neut.) ends in *c*, and there are some examples of this in Pali *atthi attakāre parakāre purisakāre* instead of *attakāro* etc., *sukha dukkhe pīṇasattami* instead of *sukham* etc. These expressions are found where the views of rival teachers are discussed, and possibly their linguistic peculiarities have been preserved. *Janappagumbe yathā phussitaggē* (Katana Sutta) instead of *janappagumba yathā phussitaggo* is another instance of nom. sing. in *c*, (cp. *se* and *ye* in *seyyathā* and *yebbhuyyena* instead of the usual base *so* or *sa* and *yo* or *ya*; similarly *bhante* and *bhikkhave* end in *c*)

In Magadhi *y* too is replaced by *gy*, in Pali the only example of such a change is *nīya*=*nīya*, *dy* becomes *gy* but in Pali only *g* of *-ud* followed by *y* becomes *gy*: *udgāna*=*uggāna*, *ud + \sqrt{\text{yuj}}*=*uyy* and *r* invariably becomes *l* but in Pali only in a few words this change takes place; (see 8 and 25)



There are other features of Magadhi which are, however, not found in Pali. And Pali cannot be called Magadhi although there are traces of Magadhism in it.

61. Sanskrit grammatical forms and Pali. I have merely indicated the phonetic changes governing Pali and have not attempted to show how far these were due to the influence of the various dialects. "It is a wrong method to give the Skt. form a Pali word as its ultimate reduction and explanation", because some Skt. words especially Buddhist Skt. are later than Pali, e.g., *smṛtyupasthāna* (*satipatthāna*), *sāḥśāṭkaroti* corresponds to *sacchīkaroti*, *prthagjana* (*pubbajana*), *autapya* (*otappa*), *anupapaduka* (*opapātika*), *sārdham* (*saddham*) etc. Again some words are peculiarly Pali like *peyyāla*, *nivāna*, *sākaṇṭhā* *pīlandhati* etc. It is, however, possible to equate Pali words with Skt. in the majority of cases. I have avoided as far as possible words whose equivalents are of a doubtful character, also those that are very rarely met with. It should be borne in mind that Pali formations are different in many cases from Skt. formations. It would, for instance, be undesirable to deduce from Skt. *arīṣarya* and *arīṣuka*, Pali *issariya* and *ussukka* and to cite these as examples of Skt. *ar* and *au* changing into Pali *i* and *u* respectively. It would be better to derive the Pali words from *issara* and *ussuka*, the initial vowels not undergoing strengthening because in such matters Pali grammatical rules are very indefinite. Similarly *gārara* may be derived from Pali *garu* and not from Skt. *guru* changing into *gaurara* and *u* becoming *au* by *vrddhi*, the *a* of *garu* becomes *ā* by *vrddhi* and, so, *gārara*; instead of equating *adhīpāya* with *abhiprāya* it may be taken as formed with *adhi-* instead of with *abhi-*. Anāthapiṇḍika must not be derived from Anāthapiṇḍada, Vāsuladattā from Vāsavadattā, Purindada from Purandara or Bharukaccha from Bhrgukaccha, although these pairs refer to the same persons or place. And it would be wrong to say that the *c* of Mahendra is changed into *i* in Mahinda for according to Pali sandhi Mahā + inda = Mahinda. (See E. Muller's *Pali Grammar*, Introduction)

62. There is a tendency in Pali of using simple words, and cumbersome ones like *svaṣṣ*, *bhāṣya*, *sāntvanā*, *manāṁsa* (nominative plu. of *manas*) are avoided, and other words are used in their place. Again, simplified forms are used.  $\sqrt{kir} + na = kīna$  but in Skt. the root of *kīna* is *kr*, similarly  $\sqrt{jir}$  (instead of  $\sqrt{jr}$ ) + *na* = *jīṇa* (but *jarā* has to be derived from  $\sqrt{jr} + a$ ).

63. A comparative discussion of Pali and Skt. grammatical rules is beyond the scope of this article but certain rules of Skt. grammar are mentioned here that will help in understanding the

forms of Pali words which explained by Pali grammar alone are apt to be regarded as exceptions. But it must, at the same time, be remembered that Pali grammar has its own method although it has not been able to break away from the moorings of Skt.

64. Skt forms which are avoided in Pali are also met with side by side with the forms that are peculiarly Pali.  $\sqrt{Mṛ}$  forms *mṛiyate* in Skt but Pali has *marati* besides *mṛiyati* or *muyyati*, Skt. *karoti* forms the pl. *kurvanti* and not *karonti*; but in Pali besides the regular form *karonti* there is the form *kubbanti*; (see 43). Skt. medial form from  $\sqrt{hr}$  is *kurute* which is also found in Pali. In Skt. there is the optative suffix 'yāt', and besides the regular Pali *kareyya* there is *kayjā* ( $\sqrt{kar} + yāt$ ), *vāc* forms the inst. sing. *vācā* (also in Pali) but the corresponding Pali form *vācā* has the inst. *vācāya* which is, however the regular form, *h* preceded by any vowel except *a*, *ā* and followed by a vowel or a soft consonant<sup>47</sup> is changed into *r*, and by this rule the form *sabbhureva* (*sadbhih + eva*) may be explained; it is, however, taken as a case of consonantal insertion in Pali. The medial voice has almost fallen out of use in Pali; this is usually changed into the Active but Skt. medial verbs are also found in Pali poetry. *labhate*, *muyyare*; in the passive voice many Pali verbs have the medial terminations applied to them although such terminations unlike in Skt. are optional.

65. The Skt base is found in some compounds *macchāra* is from *mat*, the Skt. base of *aham* plus *sara*, the pl. base of *yūyam* is *yuṣmad* in Skt and the corresponding Pali base *tumhad* is to be found in *tumhādisa*, the base in Skt. is *manah*, and in Pali it would be *mano* (cp. *manomaya*, *manopubbānyama*), *tadutthāya* is *tato utthāya*—and the Skt. base is retained in Pali.

66. A Pali form is sometimes easier to explain with the help of Skt. rules *etad + ahoṣi = etadahosi* in Skt. but as in Pali the final consonant is replaced by *m*, the Pali form is explained by a special rule that the *m* of *taṁ*, *etam*, *yaṁ* and *sakāṁ*, *sakāraṁ* is changed into *d* when followed by a vowel, thus the original Skt. form is reached. *Kṛta* is derived from  $\sqrt{kr} + ta$  but in Pali this is to be explained by  $\sqrt{kar} + ta$ , the final *r* being dropped. Similarly *smṛ* > *smar* > *ssar* =  $\sqrt{sar} + ta$  = *sati*. *Sam*  $\sqrt{sr} + a$  = *sainsāra* (*r* = *ār* by *vrddhi*)—Pali *saṁ* +  $\sqrt{sar} + a$ , *ā* +  $\sqrt{hr} + a$  = *āhāra*—Pali *ā* +  $\sqrt{har} + a$ . *Samvṛta* = *saṁ* +  $\sqrt{vr} + ta$ —Pali *saṁ* +  $\sqrt{var} + ta$ , by dropping the final consonant and pointing out that the *a* following *v* is changed into *u*. (Here  $\sqrt{vu}$  cannot be taken as the root because *samvara* cannot be explained from  $\sqrt{vu}$ .)

27 The last three mutes of a group, semi-vowels and *h* are soft,

67. In Skt. the conjugational sign of  $\sqrt{ap}$  is *nu* and in Pali it is the strengthened form *no*, e. g. *pa + \sqrt{ap} + no = pappoti* but the gerundial *pappuyya* has to be explained by *pa \sqrt{ap} + nu + ya*

68. In Skt. the consonantal base in *-in*, for instance, *cārin* forms the feminine *cārinī*, but since *cārin* is regarded as the vowel base *cārī* in Pali this form is explained by *nī* added to the base to form the feminine (with the preceding vowel shortened)—*cārī + nī = cārinī*.

69. In Skt. the preceding *a* is lengthened before a suffix beginning with *m* or *r* e. g.,  $\sqrt{pac} + a + mī = pacāmi$  *Bhuttāri* (*bhutta + ri*) can be explained by this rule, similarly *dassāri*.

70. A number of roots which have the conjugational sign *a* but whose roots do not take *guna* are classified under a separate group in Skt. so that  $\sqrt{krs}$  becomes *kṛyati*. Pali  $\sqrt{kas} = kasati$  (but if in Pali the *r* is *gunated* into *ar* as is usually done then on the analogy of  $\sqrt{vrdh} = vārdh = vāddh(ati)$  the form would be *karsa = kassati* which, however, is the passive) As *guna* may take place in  $\sqrt{krs} + aka$  Skt. will have both *kṛsaka* and *karsaka* but Pali *kars + aka = kassaka* only. In the same way  $\sqrt{sprs} =$  Pali  $\sqrt{phus(ati)}$  but  $\sqrt{sprs} + a =$  Pali *pharisa = phassa*

71. In Skt. *jayati* and *nayati* are formed from  $\sqrt{j} + a + ti$  and  $\sqrt{nī} + a + ti$  respectively, the vowel of the root in the first conjugation taking *gaya*, the bases become *je* and *ne* which followed by *a* (*e + a = ay*) make by rule of sandhi—*jayati* and *nayati*, and the same in Pali. Similarly  $\sqrt{bhū} + a = bho + a = bhav + a + ti = bhavati$  both in Skt. and Pali. But in Pali there are additional forms *jeti*, *neti*, *bhoti* (cp. *anubhoti*)—the tense terminations in these are directly applied after the vowel of the root is strengthened. (See for *guna* 76 and for *e = ay* and *o = ai* 77)

72. To the suffix for agent nouns forms the feminine by adding *ī* which together with *i* becomes *ī* by sandhi rule. The only word in Pali which can be explained by this rule is *dhātī*—Skt. *dhātr + ī = dhātī* *i = dhātī*.

73. Skt.  $\sqrt{car}$  forms the p. p. p. *ukta* but the Pali is *ratta*. The Skt. form is, however, found in the word *daratta*, (*dai + ukta*).

74. It may be mentioned that whereas in Skt. there are ten conjugations, there are only seven in Pali. The first conjugation in Pali contains three conjugations which are lumped together without anything common among them, (see Duroiselle's *Pali Grammar*). The first and the third divisions of the first conjugation form together the first conjugation in Skt., and the second and the fourth divisions are two separate conjugations in Skt. And in Pali under the first conjugation are included roots whose vowels are *gunated* as

well as those that are not. But in Skt. these two classes of roots are treated separately, and much confusion is thereby avoided; (see 70)

75 It may be noted that no form corresponding to the indeclinable *labbhā*, (originally future passive participle) is to be found in Skt. It is on the analogy of *sakkā*.

76. *Guṇa* and *ṛddhi*. In Skt. the *guṇa* of *i, ī, u, ū, r, ṛ; ḷ* are *e, o, ar*, and *a'* respectively, (the other vowels cannot take *guṇa*), and the *ṛddhi* of *a, i, ī, e, u, ū, o, r, ṛ, ḷ* are *ā, ai, au, āi, āl* respectively. A knowledge of this is necessary for understanding the formation of certain Pālī words: *i, ḷ* do not take *guṇa* in *mṛta*, Pālī *mata*,  $\sqrt{\text{smr}} + \text{ta} = \text{smṛti}$ , Pālī *satī*,  $\sqrt{\text{drś}} + \text{ta} = \text{dṛṣṭa} = \text{ditṭha}$ . But *guṇa* takes place in *mi + ana*, Pālī  $\sqrt{\text{mar}} + \text{ana} = \text{marana}$ ,  $\sqrt{\text{smi}} + \text{a} + \text{ta} = \text{smāriti}$ , Pālī *sarati*, *dis + ana* = *dassana*, Pālī *dassana*,  $\sqrt{\text{kḷp}} = \text{kālp}$ , *kapp (a)*, *kapp (eti)*  $\sqrt{\text{Dīś}}$ , becomes  $\sqrt{\text{dis}}$ , and  $\sqrt{\text{dis}} + \text{ta} = \text{ditṭha}$  but *dassana* cannot be explained without the help of Skt. root  $\sqrt{\text{darś}}$ , which by *guṇa* becomes *darś + ana* = *dassana*, from Pālī  $\sqrt{\text{dis}}$  or  $\sqrt{\text{das}}$  it is not possible to have *dassana*. *ṛddhi* except of *a* is not recognised nor possible in Pālī but to take one example — *bhāveti* cannot be explained by *guṇa*,  $\sqrt{\text{bhū}} + \text{c}$  by *guṇa* = *bho + c* = *bhāveti* — (*o = ar*) but the form is *bhāveti*, whereas the *ṛddhi* of *u* being *au*,  $\sqrt{\text{bhu}} + \text{c} = \text{bhau} + \text{c} = \text{bhāveti}$  — (*au = āi*), see 77. Similarly *bhāva* is obtained by means of *ṛddhi* and *bhava* by *guṇa* of  $\sqrt{\text{bhū}} + \text{a}$ .

77 Sandhi. Some of the Skt. sandhi rules have to be used in order to explain certain formations in Pālī which are not covered by Pālī rules: *e, o, ai* and *au* followed by a vowel are changed into *ay, av, ay, and āv* respectively —  $\sqrt{\text{nī}} + \text{a} = \text{nī + a}$ ,  $\sqrt{\text{bhū}} + \text{a} = \text{bho}$  (by *guṇa*) + *a*,  $\sqrt{\text{bhu}} + \text{c} = \text{bhau}$  (by *ṛddhi*) + *c* and applying this rule *nayati, bhavati, bhāveti* respectively are obtained. See 76

It must be noted that *e* and *o* may be the contracted forms of *aya* and *aua* respectively as well *ḷ dayana = Udena, atatarati = otarati*, (See 54).

78 In Skt. assimilation is unknown but consonantal changes are regulated by means of consonant sandhis and other rules. A mute is changed into the third of its own class followed by a vowel or a soft consonant, so that *mahat + dhana* = *mahaddhana*, *sat + dharma* = *saddharma*, *sat + bhiḥ* = *sabbhi* Pālī *sabbhi*,<sup>78</sup> the same change, however, takes place in Pālī by assimilation. Although there is no such thing in Pālī as consonantal sandhi Skt. consonant sandhi rules explain certain Pālī forms which cannot otherwise be accounted for: *patī + √yat* = *patiyādeti*, *nir + √yat* = *niryyādeti* — these forms cannot be explained except with the help of

28 *Tasmāt + iha = tasmātiha* is an exception

the above rule; similarly *tārat + eva = tāradeva*. In fact if a vowel or a soft consonant follows, a mute always is the third letter of a group, and on the other hand, if a hard consonant follows the mute is always the first letter of a group, e.g., *mahad + dhana*, *śad + dhā*, *tad + utthāya*, Skt.: *ut + panna*, *tat + purusa*, *mat + sara*.

79. In Skt. a consonant followed by a nasal is changed into the nasal of its own class:  $\sqrt{pad} + na = (sam)panna$  but this change takes place in Pali by the assimilation of consonants.

80. *Ch* following a vowel is changed into *chh*:  $\hat{a} + chādayati = acchādayati$ . This is covered in Pali by the rule of compensation; (see 52) But *kāma + chanda = kāmacchanda* is to be explained by the Skt. rule; so also *suchanna*, (*su + channa*).

81. There are cases in Skt. in which sandhi rules are not applied but consonantal changes take place according to other rules.  $\sqrt{muc} + ta = mukta$ , Pali *mutta*,  $\sqrt{gny} + ta = gnykta$ , Pali *gutta*,  $ud\sqrt{vij} + da = udrigna$ , Pali *ubbigga*, (*j* becomes *g + n = gg*),  $\sqrt{labh} + ta = labdha$ , Pali *laddha*,  $\sqrt{duh} + ta = dugdha$ , Pali *duddha*, similarly *Buddha*, *baddha*.

82. In Skt. sandhi does not take place in every combination of consonants: *pra + \sqrt{āp} + no + ti = prāpnoti*,  $\sqrt{śak} + no + ti = śaknoti$ , *lag + na = lagna*, but in Pali these combinations of consonants assimilate, (see 23), and the corresponding forms are *pappoti*, *sakkoti* and *lagga*.

83. In Skt. *m* followed by a consonant in general is changed into *m̐*, and followed by a mute becomes the nasal of the group to which the mute belongs *sam* becomes *saṁ-* or *saṁ(gacchate)*, in Pali instead of *m* the final is always a *m* which followed by a mute is changed into the nasal of the group to which the mute belongs (except the *m̐* of *citam*, *gam* and *sakm*, *sakaṁ* for which see 66), and the *m̐* followed by a vowel is changed into *m*, there are, however, exceptions to this rule, sometimes assimilation with *m̐* takes place, (see 32) Note that no change takes place when *m* is followed by a vowel in Skt., but since in Pali the final is *m̐* a rule had to be made that *m* followed by a vowel becomes *m̐*. This is reversion to the Skt. original.

84. In Skt. *r* followed by *r* is dropped, and the preceding vowel, if short, is lengthened. Examples from Pali *ur + roga = ūroga*, *dur + rama = dūrama*, *dur + rakha = dūrakha*.

85. *S* at the end of a Skt. word is changed into *h*, and in Pali *ah* becomes *o*. This change takes place in Pali whether the *s* or *h* is at the end of a word or is followed by a vowel or any consonant; (in Skt. *ah* becomes *o* only before a vowel and a soft consonant). *namas = namaḥ = namo*; *manas = manaḥ = mano* (*manomaya*); *vayas = vayaḥ = vayo* (*vayo anuppatto*), *ayas = ayaḥ = ayo* (*ayoghara*), *puras =*

*purah*=*puro* (*purohita*), *manopubbangama*. There is, however, an exception in *vayappatta*, and to explain this the Skt. rule on the point may be mentioned: in Skt. *ah* only when followed by a vowel or a soft consonant is changed into *o* (and not when followed by a hard consonant) so that *vayas*=*vayah*+*prāpta*=*vayahprāpta* and by assimilation Pali *vayappatta* (like *duhha*=*dukkha*).

86. In Pali *r* at the end of *pātar* and *antar* first becomes *h* and *ah*=*o*, (cp. *as*=*ah*=*o*). This change takes place also when a consonant follows: *pātar*=*pātah*=*pato*—(*pāto ra*); similarly *anto*—(*antogabbha*), *paras*=*parah*=*paro* (*parosahassa*)<sup>29</sup> This change does not take place when final *r* is followed by a vowel, e g., *pātar-āsa*. In Skt. *r* is retained only before a vowel and a soft consonant so that *prātar+eva*=*prātarēva* (Pali *patova*) and *antar+hito*=*antarhita* but the corresponding Pali from *antarahita* is an exception both according to Skt. and Pali examples

87. *R* as the final letter in any other word or suffix, if followed by a vowel is retained and if followed by a consonant is assimilated: *nir+upaḥi*, *nir+āsanā*, *punareva* (also *punadeva* which is an irregular form), *punar+āṣattitrā*, *nir+purisa*=*nippurisa*, *nir+mala*=*nimmala*, *punar+puna*=*punappuna*<sup>30</sup>, *punar+bhara*=*punabbhara*. But the Skt. rule is necessary in order to explain *nikkhamati* and *nipphanna*. In Skt. the final *r* is changed into *h* when followed by a hard consonant, and when the hard consonant is *k* or *kh*, *p* or *ph* the *h* of *nih* is changed into *ṣ*: *nih+√kram*=*niskram*=*nikkham(ati)*, *nih+panna*=*nispanna*=*nipphanna* in Pali. But *catur+pada* (Skt. *catur+pada*=*catuspada*)=*catuppada* in Pali—this is not in conformity with the Skt. rule but is due to assimilation like other Pali examples of this kind.

88. Any *h* followed by *c*, *ch* is changed into *ṣ*, the Pali word *nicchita* is from *nih(nir)+√ci+ta*=*niścita*=*nicchita*, so *nicchāreti* is from *nih+√car*=*niścār*=*nicchāreti*. But *niccala* is formed as usual by assimilation of *nir+cala*; so *duccarita* is from *dur+√car* instead of from Skt. *duścārita*.

89. It may be noted that in Skt. there are *nir* and *ni*, two separate prepositions, also in Pali there are *nir*—(*nir+√yā+ti*=*niryāti*, goes out) and *ni*—(*ni+śīdati*=*niśīdati*, sits down); similarly *nir+māta*=*nimmāta*, *ni+rodha*=*nirrodha*. *Nir* followed by *√ha* becomes *nī*: *nīharati*; so also *nīvarana*. Skt. *nirgrantha* is changed into *nigantha* due to confusion of *nir*- and *ni*-.

29. There is a form *puna-m-aham*, *puno* is formed from *punar* in the same way as *anto* from *antar*.

30. The final *r* is dropped in *punar* (*puna*).

90. In Skt. *saṃ* add *pari* add an *s* before *hr* : *saṃskāra*, *pariṣkāra* from which Pali *sankhāra*, *paṭikkhāra*, (but *saṃskṛta* = *sakkata*, the Skt. language from *saṃ* + *kata*).

91. Spelling: The changing of *n* into *ṇ* in Skt is regulated by definite rules. There are, however, some words with an original *ṇ*, e.g., *guṇa*, *maṇi*, *punya*, *anu* (an atom) etc but *n* preceded by *r*, *r*, *ṣ*, is changed into *n* even if a vowel, a semi-vowel, a guttural or a labial interposes : *prāna*—(√*an*), *marana*—(√*an*) *Rāmāyana* (*ayana*). The lingualization of *n* in a Pali word can be understood by referring to the original Skt. spelling, although the letters *r* and *ṣ* are not to be found in Pali they nevertheless exercise their influence. *ksana* = *khana*, √*khī* + *na* = *khīna*—(Skt. √*ksi*), √*sa* + *nā* = *sunā*(*ti*)—(Skt. *śu*), √*gah* + *nā* = *ganhā*(*ti*)—(Skt. √*grih*), *pa* √*āp* + *unā* + *ti* = *pāpunnā*(*ti*)—(*piu*)

It may be noted that this rule is not generally observed so far as the case-endings in Pali are concerned. Skt. *putrānām* (-*nām*), Pali *puttānaṃ*, Skt. *brahmanā*, Pali *brahmanā*, Skt. *karmanī*, Pali *kaṃmani*, the *n* of *yaksyī* is not also lingualized in the Pali *yakkhinī*, similarly *bhīšana* = *bhīṣana*, *ghrāna* = *ghāna*, *bhīṣaṇa* = *bhāṇa*. On the contrary the *n* in the following words is lingualized in Pali but not in Skt. *ñāna* (*jñāna*), *sakuna* (*śakuna*), *anamatī* (*acanamatī*), *savikam* (corresponding to Skt. *śanakh*). For the lingualization of *n* due to analogy see 45

92. The rule of changing *n* into *ṇ* is extended in Pali so as to cover the lingualization of all the dental letters, e.g., *piṭṭhī* = *patharī*, *kavvarta* = *keratta*, *uṇṇantha* = *uṇṇantha*<sup>1</sup>, *dukkata*—(√*kar* but √*kar* + *ta* = *kata*), *vattati*, *saṃvattati*, (√*eri*), also *pacattati*, *saṃvattati*<sup>2</sup> *pati* (*prati*), also *pati*, (cp *patirūpa*, *pati* + *āgaacchati* = *pacāgaacchati*)

93. Roots in *ṣ* and *ṣ* lingualize the following *t* : √*hrī* + *ta* = *hṛsta* = *hattha*, √*mrī* + *ta* = *mrīsta* = *mattha*, √*dī* + *ta* = *dīsta* = *dittha*, √*ī* + *ta* = *īsta* = (*pa*) *rittha*. It may again be mentioned here that wherever Pali *tth* is found the Skt. sibilant must be understood to be either lingual or palatal and where the *tth* occurs the Skt. sibilant is dental. In Skt. *s* preceded by any vowel except *a* and *ā* or a guttural is lingualized.

So, it is futile to claim Pali scholarship without a little learning in Sanskrit.

R. P. CHAUDHURI

31 The preceding *n* must always be changed into *ṇ* if the following dental is lingualized

32 There is difference in the meaning of *vattati* and *vattati*, *vattati* is the same in meaning as Skt. *vartate*. *Vattati* is used in the sense of "is proper" in Pali—this form does not occur in Skt.

## MISCELLANY

### The word Ba'ūrah in Murūj ul-Zahab of Al Ma'sūdī\*

Al-Ma'sūdī, the Arab author and traveller, was born in Baghdad towards the close of the third century A.H. and died in Egypt in 345 A.H. He can roughly be referred to the period c. 890-956 A.D. He visited Multan and Mansurah about the year A.H. 300 (A.D. 912), and Cambay about A.H. 304 (A.D. 916). His work *Murūj ul-Zahab*<sup>1</sup> records some interesting events of Indian history of his time, but due to certain peculiarities of the Arab language and script some of the proper names have been so changed<sup>2</sup> that it is sometimes difficult to identify them. One such word is Ba'ūrah (بؤره).<sup>3</sup> The word was apparently spelt in different ways by different copyists of the original work of Ma'sūdī. Meynard, Sprenger and Raverty notice nearly half a dozen variants in the different MSS. consulted by them.<sup>4</sup> Some of the passages where this word occurs may be given as follows —

- (i) "One of the neighbouring kings of India, who is far from the sea, is Ba'ūrah (بؤره) who is the lord of the city of Qanūj (قنوج). This is the title given to all the sovereigns of that kingdom. He has large armies in garrisons on the north and on the south, on the east and on the west, for he is surrounded on all sides by warlike kings."<sup>5</sup>
- (ii) "The king of Qanūj, who is one of the kings of Sind, is Ba'ūrah, this is the title common to all kings of Qanūj. This king has four armies according to the four quarters of the wind. Each of them numbers 700,000 or 900,000 men. The army of the north wars against the prince of Multan and with the Musulmans his

\* Read before the *History Section* of the eleventh session of the All India Oriental Conference held at Hyderabad (Deccan) in December 1941.

1. Trans., Elliot, Vol. I, pp. 18-25. *Les Prairies d'or*, Texte et Traduction Par C. Barbier de Meynard, Paris, 1861. Ray, *Dynastic History of Northern India*, Calcutta University Press (DHNI), I, pp. 52, 614, 578 and 578 fn. 1.

2. For example, Balhārī (بلهاری) of Mānku (منكبر). The words which have been so changed are Vallabharāja of Mānyakhetaka, see DHNI, I, p. 577.

3. Meynard transcribes as *Baonrah*.

4. The variants noticed so far in different MSS are - بؤره - بؤره - بؤره - بؤره - بؤره - بؤره. Hodivala, *Studies in Indo-Muslim History*, Bombay, 1939, p. 25.

5. DHNI, I, 578.



subjects, on the frontier. The army of the south fights against the Balharī ( بلهاری ) king of Mānkīr. The other two armies march to meet enemies in every direction”<sup>6</sup>

- (iii) “(Jāhiz) did not know that Mīhrān of Sind comes from well known sources in the highlands of Sind, from the country belonging to Qanūj, in the kingdom of Ba'ūrah and from Kashmir, Kandahar, and at-Ṭāfin.”<sup>7</sup> Ma'sūdī further tells us that at his time a city which was called Ba'ūrah was “in the territories of Islam.”<sup>8</sup>

It has been accepted by all scholars that the kings of Kanauj referred to in these passages were the Pratihāras who reigned from that city from c. 836 A.D. to 1018 A.D. That these rulers were of Gurjara stock seems to be suggested by the following statement from the *Silsilat ut-Tawārikh* of Sulaymān, a Muslim merchant who flourished about the middle of the ninth century (c. 851 A.D.) —

“This king (of Jurz) maintains numerous forces, and no other Indian prince has so fine a cavalry. He is unfriendly to the Arabs, still he acknowledges that the king of the Arabs is the greatest of kings. Among the princes of India there is no greater foe of the Muhammadan faith than he. He has great riches, and his camels and horses are numerous. Exchanges are carried on in his state with silver (and gold) dust and there are said to be mines (of these metals) in the country. There is no country more safe from robbers.”<sup>9</sup>

Though the word Jurz has been used by Balādhurī (9th century) in a geographical sense in connection with the raids of Junayd, the Governor of Sind under Caliph Hishām (724-43 A.D.),<sup>10</sup> yet it is probable that in this passage it has been used in an ethnic sense. By “king of Jurz” Sulaymān apparently meant “king of the Gurjaras.” Dr. Majumdar has identified this prince with the Pratihāra emperor Bhoja I (c. 836-882 A.D.), who ruled over an extensive empire in Northern India. The Sanjan grant of Amoghavarsha<sup>11</sup> and the Rajor inscription of Mathanadeva<sup>12</sup> further

6 *DHNI*, I, 578

8 *Ibid.*, p. 16

10 *Elliot*, I, p. 4, *Journal of the Dept of Letters*, Calcutta University (JL) X.

p. 57

12 *El*, Vol XVIII, p. 243, v. 9

7 *Ibid.*

9 *Elliot*, I, Extracts Trans., pp. 1-7

11 *DHNI*, I, p. 9

13 *El*, Vol III, p. 266, *DHNI*, I, p. 592

strengthen the view that the rulers in question belonged to the Pratihāra clan of the Gurjara tribe.

Dr. R. C. Majumdar, writing in 1923, was inclined to accept the view that the word 'Ba'ūrah' "was but an Arabic corruption of the word Pratihāra or its Prakrit form Padīhara"<sup>14</sup> He further suggested that the king in question was Mahīpāla (914-17 A.D.), the Gurjara-Pratihāra prince of that name. The possibility that the word 'Ba'ūrah' of Mas'ūdī stood for the dynastic name of the rulers of Kanauj was tentatively accepted by me in 1931 when the first volume of my *Dynastic History of Northern India* was published by the University of Calcutta.<sup>15</sup> Recently Prof. S. H. Hodivala in trying to make a critical commentary on Elliot and Dowson's *History of India as told by its own Historians*<sup>16</sup> has challenged the correctness of the reading 'Ba'ūrah' accepted by Meynard. The right reading according to him "seems to be *Bozah*, *Bozoh*, or *Bodzah* (بوزد - بوزو، بوزو) i.e. *Bhoja*." He identifies this 'Bhoja' with Bhoja II who succeeded "the Gurjara-Pratihāra ruler" Mahendrapāla (890-910).<sup>17</sup> According to this scholar "Ma'sūdī's statement that the title was common to all the kings of Qanūj is probably due to the fact that Bhoja the Great was succeeded, after some years, by another prince of the same name who had been ruling shortly before the time of Ma'sūdī's arrival in India."<sup>18</sup>

Prof. Hodivala rejects Meynard's reading of the word because "he never gives any variants." He finds his difficulty in selecting the right reading from amongst the many variants solved by the fact that some of these bear a phonetic resemblance to the names of two kings of the Pratihāra line of Kanauj. If this view could be accepted it would indeed be a great step towards the correction of a mistake which has gained currency during recent years in Indian history. But we have to consider carefully the facts at our disposal and see whether the new reading of the word in question is in harmony with the statements of Ma'sūdī. This writer has definitely stated that the word in question was a *title* and not, as suggested by Prof. Hodivala, a personal name. He has also clearly noted that this "title was given to *all* the sovereigns of the royal family of Qanūj." So far as we

14 *JL*, X, p. 65, *DHNI*, I, p. 579 fn. 1.

15 *Ibid.*, p. 4 fn. 3, 15, 579 fn. 1, etc.

16 *Studies in Indo-Muslim History*, Bombay, 1939, p. 25.

17 The correct dates are c. 893-907 A.D. See, *DHNI*, I, p. 611.

18 *Studies in Indo-Muslim History*, p. 25.

know, nearly a dozen kings of this family ruled from Qanūj of which only two kings were named *Bhoja*. It is difficult to reconcile the categorical statement of Ma'sūdī that the word in question "is the title common to all kings of Qanūj" with the suggestion of Prof. Hodivala. In the circumstances it seems that the old reading of Meynard, viz. "Ba'ūrah" (بأوراه) and the view that it possibly represented a corruption of the dynastic name *Pratihāra* or *Padihāra* may be, as before, tentatively retained. As there were sometimes many different princes bearing the same name ruling in different parts of India the custom may have prevailed, at least in common parlance, to attach the name of the dynasty or clan to the name of the king, for example *Padihāra Bhoja*, *Pāvar Bhoja*, etc. If we take into account the peculiarities of the Arabic script and specially the very slight difference between ب and پ it is not improbable that after all Meynard had hit upon the right reading and can be fairly depended upon for historical purposes.<sup>19</sup>

In conclusion it may be stated that the city mentioned by Ma'sūdī as "Ba'ūrah" was possibly situated on the NW of the territories of the Pratihāra emperors. Ma'sūdī tells us that "through this town passes one of the (five) rivers which form together the river Mūhān (Indus) in Sind".<sup>20</sup> As the Pehowa inscription of Bhoja I<sup>21</sup> shows that the Pratihāras certainly were ruling in areas east of the Sutlej and as Al-Sind in the days of the Arabs extended beyond Multan, it is almost certain that the Punjab was a bone of contention between the two rival powers. A city in the Punjab built by and named after the Pratihāras<sup>22</sup> was at the time when Ma'sūdī visited India, "in the territories of Islam". As I have already observed in my *Dynastic History*,<sup>23</sup> the exact identification of this city named Ba'ūrah is difficult but its identification with "Budha" (Boozah) of Istakhri and Ibn Hauqal<sup>24</sup> is more than doubtful.

H. C. RAY

19 It is clear from my *Dynastic History (DHNI)*, Vol. I, pp. 571 ff. that the chronological arrangement of the Pratihāra princes after Mahendrapāla I (c. 893-907) is rather uncertain. But the recent attempt to identify Mahipāla I and Bhoja II, depending partially on the view of Hodivala, must remain inconclusive.

20 *DHNI*, I, p. 16.

21 *El*, I, pp. 184-90, *DHNI*, I, pp. 570 and map no. 10.

22 Compare the name of this city with modern 'Mughal Kot' in the Zhob District of Baluchistan and Pathankot in the Guddaspur District of the Punjab.

23 *DHNI*, I, p. 16.

24 *Studies in Indo Muslim History*, p. 25.

## The Date of Subandhu

The only thing that may be taken for certain about Subandhu's time is that he was a predecessor of Bāna, for this latter writer mentioned the *Vāsavadattā* in his introduction to the *Harṣacarita*. Thus it may be assumed that Subandhu lived some time before the seventh century A.C. This again is corroborated by the fact that Bhavabhūti (circa 700 A.C.) reproduced metrically in his *Mālatīmādhava* some lines occurring in the *Vāsavadattā* (= *Vd*)<sup>1</sup>. Thus the sixth century may be taken to be the lower limit to the date of Subandhu (= *S*). In the following paragraphs we shall discuss the time of *S* and try to see if it is possible to get nearer his exact time. For this purpose we must begin with the tenth introductory stanza of the *Vd*, which runs as follows:

सा रसवत्ता चिह्ना नवका विलम्बन्ति चरन्ति नो कं कः ।  
मरसीव कीर्तिशेषं गन्वन्ति भुवि विक्रमादित्ये ॥

Vikramāditya alluded to in this passage has been identified with Candragupta II (374-413)<sup>2</sup>. But in spite of this, many scholars were unwilling to see in *S*, a contemporary of that monarch, for they thought that in the passage quoted above occurs only a 'conventional harking back to happy times long past'. But such a view about the implication of the passage seems to demand a revision after the discovery of *S*'s name in connexion with a son of Candragupta in the *Kavyālamkaraśāstra* of Vāmana. The credit of bringing this passage to light and suggesting that it mentions Candragupta's son along with *S* belongs to the late Mr. H. P. Shastri. In 1905 he wrote a short note in the *Journal and Proceedings of the Asiatic Society of Bengal* discussing the historical value of the passage<sup>3</sup>. While giving an example Vāmana writes

सोऽयं सम्प्रति चन्द्रगुप्तनयधन्वप्रकाशो युवा जातो भूपतिराश्रयो कृतधियां दिष्ट्या कृतार्थश्रमः and in commenting on this, Vāmana says

‘आश्रयः कृतधियाम्’ इत्यस्य च सुबन्धुमार्चव्योपक्षेपपरत्वात् मामिप्रायत्वम् .

For nearly half a decade which followed H. P. Shastri's note, no scholar seems to have given attention to this hypothesis. But in the *Indian Antiquary* of 1911, Mr. K. P. Pathak discussed the passage with the help of the Vāṇivilāsa press ed. of Vāmana's work<sup>4</sup>. Curiously enough in this

1 Studies in Indology to P. V. Kane, Poona 1941, p. 128 footnote, 33

2 *JPASB*, 1905, New Series pp. 253 ff. and *I Ant.*, 1912 pp. 15, 16

3 *Vāsavadattā* ed. I. Gray, New York 1913, p. 11

4 *Vide* note 2 above

5 *I Ant.*, 1911, pp. 170-171

paper he ignored H. P. Shastri's note as well as the Kāvya-māla ed. of Vāmana's work on which H. P. Shastri depended. As Mr Pathak read 'Vasubandhu' instead of 'Subandhu' in the passage under discussion he gave a different hypothesis. Assuming the authenticity of Mr. Pathak's reading Hoernle believed that Shastri's reading was merely a conjecture not supported by any Ms.<sup>6</sup> But he disagreed with Mr Pathak in thinking that Candraprakāśa was a proper name held possibly by Kumārgupta, a son of Candragupta II before his accession to the throne. In this matter he had agreement with Shastri who expressed such a view in 1905. Coming to know of Hoernle's opinion Shastri sent a communication to the *I Ant* to say that his reading 'Subandhu' had support of more than half a dozen Mss from the Northern as well as Southern India while 'Vasubandhu occurred' in only one or two Mss. It seems that after this no scholar called into question the propriety of H. P. Shastri's hypothesis which connected S with Candraprakāśa, a son of Candragupta II (374-413).

Now, if this hypothesis has any merit it throws fresh light on the meaning of the introductory stanza of *Vd* alluding to Vikramāditya. We have then no necessity of taking this passage as only 'a conventional harking back to happy times long past.' The plain historical fact which we may deduce from a joint reading of the passages in the *Vd* and Vāmana's work will be as follows: S who lived very close to Vikramāditya could not complete his *Vāsavadattā* before the passing away of Vikramāditya (Candragupta II). It is very likely that with the accession of a sovereign to power new set of people came to dominate royal affairs. S seems to have suffered at the hands of such people who might have been unfriendly to him, and the new sovereign in spite of his liking for S did not probably at once try to displease his influential court circle by bestowing favour on him. This appears to be the reason why S bewails about the passing away of Vikramāditya and makes an attack on rogues (*khalas*) who were inimical to his literary success. If Hoernle's hypothesis is correct and Candraprakāśa was the name of Vikramāditya's successor before his sitting on the throne, it seems very much probable that he it is who showed his favour to S after he was firmly established on the throne and was in a position to disregard the court circle and in recognition of S's scholarship and literary power he made him

one of his ministers. This event appears to be recorded in the passage of Vāmana referred to above.

Now all this places S roughly between 375 and 450 A.C. But there may still be another objection against this date. Subandhu's mention of Uddyotakara has been taken to be an evidence of his being later than the sixth century. For it has been supposed that Uddyotakara refuted the Buddhist logician Dinnāga who flourished between 520 and 600 A.C.<sup>8</sup> Now this objection does not seem to be strong at all. For Uddyotakara criticizes Buddhist views on *prameya* which have not been discussed in Dinnāga's *Pramāṇa-samuccaya* and it seems very much likely that D. criticizes some Buddhist logician earlier than him.<sup>9</sup> Even if it may be proved that Uddyotakara criticizes D., that may not place S after the sixth century, for we have no sure means of ascertaining D.'s date and according to one view D. flourished in 400 A.C.<sup>10</sup> Now from the consideration of data discussed above it seems possible to place S between 375 and 450 A.C.

MANOMOHAN GHOSH

### A note on the Hanumān type Copper Coins of Prthvīdeva and Jājalladeva of Mahākośala

In his paper entitled "The Coins of the Kalachuris" in the *Journal of the Numismatic Society of India*, 1941, Prof. V. V. Mirashi writes —

"Mr. Allan has recently pointed out that in view of the probability that Prthvīdeva I was still a feudatory and that the dynasty became completely independent in the reign of Jājalladeva I, it is not improbable that *some, at least* of the coins should be attributed to Prthvīdeva II." In a footnote it is further remarked by the writer that the description of this king as given in the Amoda Plates is indicative of the feudatory rank of Prthvīdeva I.

Prthvīdeva I in his Amoda plates dated in year 831 of the Cedi era is no doubt described as a Mahāmandaleśvara, but he at the same time is

8 *Vāsavadattā*, ed. L. Gray, p. 10.

9 Narendrar Ch. Vedāntatīrtha—*Nyāyadarśaner Itihāsa*, (History of the Nyāya System), Calcutta, 1931, p. 229.

10 *Bhāmaha alankāra*, Chowkhamba ed., Benares, 1928, pp. 41-53.

styled as *sakala-kosalādhīpati*, i.e., lord of entire Kośala, apparently Mahākośala.

Prthivideva I's son was Jājalladeva I. His record dated in year 866 of the Cedi era is silent about his rank as an independent monarch. No seals of either of these two kings Prthivideva I and his son Jājalladeva I, have as yet come to light to enable us to know and compare the respective titles, used by them as rulers.

The Sheorinarayan plates of Ratnadeva II, vanquisher of Coda-ganga, dated in year 878 of the Cedi era, contain a seal which describes its donor (Ratnadeva II) as *Mahārānaka*. The Sarkhon plates of this king dated in year 880 of the Cedi era, the seal of which is missing, eulogize him as *Sakala-kośalamandana-śrīb*.

If it was possible for Ratnadeva II with the title of *Mahārānaka* and the qualifying praise of *sakala-kośala-maṇḍana-śrīb* to issue gold and copper coins as Mr J. Allan thinks, there can be no objection to the suggestion that Prthivideva I, who was a *Mahāmandaleśvara* and *sakala-kosalādhīpati*, had his own coinage.

Again, of the largest hoards of copper and gold coins yet discovered, not a single hoard contained any specimen of gold or copper coins of the *Hanumān* type either belonging to Prthivideva I or to Jājalladeva I. Such specimens of the Hanumān type copper coins have been recovered by the Mahākośala Historical Society from time to time at and near about Bālpur and from the bed of the Mahānadi (in Bilaspur District) close to it in solitary bits of one at a time. In the absence of any known specimen of gold coins with the figure of a Hanumān on it, it is in itself suggestive of the fact that the Hanumān type coins are earlier than those of the lion type. Their very absence in all the hoards of gold and copper coins found at Sonsari (600 gold coins) in Bilaspur District, at Daldal Sewani (136 gold coins) in the Raipur District, at Baghod (12 small size gold coins of Prthivideva) in Chandrapur Tract (Raigarh State), old Sambalpur District, at Jalora and in the Khairagar State goes to prove that the lion type coins found in those hoards belonging to the three kings, Prthivideva, Jājalladeva and Ratnadeva, are later issues. These may therefore be safely attributed to Prthivideva II, Jājalladeva II and Ratnadeva II (not Ratnadeva III as he is wrongly<sup>1</sup> described by scholars).

1 The reason is that there were three earlier kings called Kalingarāja, Kamalarāja and Ratnarāja. To call Ratnarāja as Ratnadeva I, specially when no records

The Hanumān type copper coins bearing the names of Prthivideva and Jājalladeva found at and about Bālpur and in the bed of the Mahānadi, should be attributed to Prthivideva I and Jājalladeva I respectively. These Hanumān type coins are decidedly heavier and thicker than the later issues of copper coins of lion type which are thinner ones. The *aksara Śrī* on such coins belonging to Prthivideva, exactly resembles the *Śrī* as found engraved in the writing of the Amoda plates dated in the Cedi year 831 (cf. lines 18, 23, 28). A reference to the facsimile of the Amoda plates referred to above will fully convince the reader. The angular curve at the end of the stroke or perpendicular line of *Śrī* as found in the Amoda plates remains the very same on *Śrī* as put on the coins of Prthivideva. This also lends support to our attribution of the Hanumān type coins to Prthivideva I.

Prof. Mirashi has tried to attribute different coins of the Hathayā princes to different rulers on the basis of the form of *Śrī* used on their respective coins. But this is not a safe and reliable guide. Within such a short period of time (from 866 to 900 of the Cedi era) it is not possible to think of such a rapid change in the form of the letter *Śrī*. Was the letter *Śrī* made to change its form with the installation of every ruler? Certainly not.

But even taking the shape and size of letters of the legends on the coins as an evidence, the test cannot stand scrutiny. No copper plate inscription of Jājalladeva I has as yet come to light, and the form of *Śrī* adopted during his reign on copper plates is not definitely known to us. The form of *Śrī* as found engraved on the Ratanpur stone inscription of Jājalladeva I dated in year 866 of the Cedi era is identical with the form of *Śrī* engraved in the Akaltata stone inscription of the reign of Ratnadeva II (whom I may call Ratnadeva I), the vanquisher of Cōḍi-ganga. But the form of *Śrī* as found in the Sakthi plates of Ratnadeva dated in year 880 of the Cedi era is identical with the form we find in the Amoda plates of Prthivideva I dated 831. In short, the engravers and writers would have been free to use any form of letters prevalent in their time and, unless there is dated evidence to the effect, it is not possible to classify the coins on the basis of one or two letters except with the help of conjecture.

describe him by that epithet, is wrong. Ratnavāpa is called Ratnāja in some inscriptions, but he is nowhere mentioned as Ratnadeva.



A galloping horse with a lion's claws has been discovered by Prof. Mirashi on the gold and copper coins of the Hathaya princes. Nothing can be more unconvincing and misleading. When the claws of the lion figure on the copper coin of Ratnadeva (cf. *J.N.S.I.*, 1941, Plate III, no. 8 /E) are so distinctly cut, what more proofs are required to take the animal for a lion? Surely there is no coin of the same type where the *claws* are shown as 'hoofs'. It may be pointed out that in my cabinet I have not got a single *copper coin* of any of the Prthividevas with a lion type, nor do I remember to have seen any elsewhere. The Baghod hoard of 12 *gold coins* of the lion type (small size, weight 7 ratas each) are all of Prthivideva.

Regarding the change of metal suggested by Prof. Mirashi with reference to the *three copper coins* of Hanumān type, described by General Cunningham (*C.M.I.*, coins nos. 9-11), one should satisfy himself by examining the original coins before coming to any definite conclusion and make sure of the mistake, if at all, made by former writers. Cunningham, the father of Indian Numismatics, can hardly make such a gross mistake. As no gold coins with the Hanumān type are reported to have been discovered in any part of India uptill now, the coins may in all probability be of copper and not of gold.

There is no proof to show that the Hathaya Hanumān type was an imitation of the same type of coins issued by the Candella kings.

L. P. PANDIYA SHARMA

## REVIEWS

SELECT INSCRIPTIONS BEARING ON INDIAN HISTORY AND CIVILIZATION, volume I (600 B C —600 A D ) edited by Dines Chandra Sircar, M A., Ph D., (with 61 plates), pages Royal Octavo xli + 530 Published by the University of Calcutta, 1942

Due to the scarcity of old historical works, students of ancient and medieval Indian history have to depend a great deal on the epigraphic and numismatic records. Many of them have been edited and published by various scholars in India and Europe. But as these are scattered over a large number of books and different periodical publications, critical students of Indian history are much handicapped in their work. Hence the editor's plan of collecting and editing in a handy volume all the important epigraphs and coin legends illustrating different phases of the cultural history of ancient India, may very justly demand appreciation of all serious students of our national history.

A glance at a brief summary of the contents of the work will convince one of the great importance that should be attached to it. It has been divided into three Books. The first includes Akhaemenian (old Persian) inscriptions relating to India edicts of Aśoka and similarly important pre-Christian epigraphs. Book II contains post-Maurya but pre-Gupta records. There are inscriptions of dynasties ruling in western, central and western India, of Indo-Greeks, Indo-Parthians, Kushanas including Sakas of western India, inscriptions of Śatavāhanas, Khāravela and inscriptions from Andhradeśa and from regions outside India such as Ceylon and Central Asia. Book III contains inscriptions of Imperial Guptas, and their various contemporaries and subordinates in India and countries, outside India, such as, Burma, Java, Champa, Borneo and Malay Peninsula.

Not the study of Indian history only but the study of Indian literature too requires an acquaintance with inscriptional materials discovered up till now. There is a good number of epigraphs which are written in the best *kāvyā* style and can very favourably be compared with the writings of celebrated masters of classical Sanskrit and Prakrit. As these records can with certainty be assigned to a definite date or epoch our fragmentary knowledge

of the evolution of Indian literature becomes considerably supplemented by a study of inscriptions.

Though the present collection of inscriptions will greatly benefit the student of Indian history, who is eager to have some first hand information about the religious, social, political, economic and literary conditions of the country in the ancient period, it may be said without exaggeration that one to be benefited most by Dr. Sircar's admirable work is the student of Indo-Aryan linguistics. No other language in the world can probably bear comparison with Indo-Aryan as regards its vigorous growth and long life during at least thirty-five centuries. Due to the wealth of forms it developed in different periods and in different localities, its study has a special fascination to students of linguistics. As the numerous inscriptions (Skt as well as Pkt) may with certainty be grouped geographically and assigned to definite dates or epochs, historical study of Indo-Aryan becomes easier when one has, in a handy form, more or less dated records of Indo-Aryan languages from the very ancient times.

Considering the different aspects of importance of inscriptions in Indo-Aryan it can be legitimately hoped that Indian Universities will before long give them proper place in their syllabus for various degrees. Already some Indian Universities, notably among them the University of Calcutta, have taken initiative in the matter and have prescribed a number of inscriptions for the candidates for the M.A. degree in Skt. Pkt., Pali and Ancient Indian History.

It is to be hoped that Dr. Sircar's very valuable compilation will be greatly helpful in the matter. The Skt. rendering of Pkt. inscriptions and various notes which he appends to the texts of epigraphs will greatly facilitate their studies. Numerous facsimiles of inscriptions, and original critical notes which Dr. Sircar has given will render this volume indispensable to the specialist. In this connexion his learned notes on the Indo-Aryan migration to Bengal and the meaning of *Kalyāṇapī* deserve mention (pp. 499-501).

That Dr. Sircar could get such an important work published at a time when the Great War with its numerous difficulties is staring us in the face reflects indeed a great credit on him as well as on his publishers the University of Calcutta.

**Introduction to INDIAN TEXTUAL CRITICISM** by S. M. Katre, M.A., Ph.D. (Lond.), with the Appendix II by P. K. Gode, M.A. Karnatak Publishing House, Bombay, 1941. Pages Demy 8vo. XIII + 148.

It is a matter of genuine pleasure to see that Prof. Dr. Katre has attempted to make an end of comparative inattention of Indian scholars in general towards the technique of editing old texts. One can very wholeheartedly agree with him when he says: "With very few exceptions the critical editing of texts in India is lagging behind, and the editors have neither the training nor the proper guidance to qualify them for the task."

The volume under review, an excellent small manual for which the author has drawn materials from various standard works on the subject will go a great way indeed to remove a longfelt want of Indians in the field of Indology. In his introduction (ch. I) he defines the subject and gives a short history of writing in ancient India together with an account of writing materials as well as the relation between oral and written tradition of different works. Influence of different schools in giving shape to different text traditions has also been discussed in the Introduction. Other chapters of the work deal with the following subjects: (II) Kinds of texts, (III) Some fundamental aspects of textual criticism, (IV) The problem of critical recension, (V) Causes of corruption in a transmitted text, (VI) Emendation, (VII) Some canons of textual criticism, (VIII) Practical hints on the editing of texts. In the treatment of all these topics the author has cited suitable Indian examples wherever necessary. Any one reading this work carefully will realize the necessity of preparing critical texts of ancient Sanskrit, Prakrit and Pali works, a good number of which have not yet received the thorough scholarly scrutiny they badly need. The very happy lead which the Bhandarkar O. R. Institute has given in the matter in the person of Dr. V. S. Sukthankar, the able editor of the great Indian Epic has indeed been appreciated and admired by every serious scholar of India and it seems that a new era has begun as far as the study of ancient texts is concerned.

The work under review includes three useful appendixes: I. A glossary of some important terms used in textual criticism. II. A brief note on the history and progress of cataloguing Skt. and other MSS. in India and outside (1800-1941). III. On some important manuscripts and critical editions. We can very earnestly recommend this small but valuable work to every aspirant in the field of ancient Indian studies. The author and

the compiler of the Appendix II are to be congratulated on the production of this work and its publication in such a handy and neat form.

MANOMOHAN GHOSH

# STUDIES IN THE HISTORY OF THE BRITISH IN INDIA

By Dr. A. P. Das Gupta, M.A., Ph D. University of Calcutta, 1942.

This small volume of 160 pages is a collection of papers published in various periodicals during the last ten years. These papers deal with some important topics in the history of the British in India in the eventful period from 1757 to 1784. The paper entitled "The Select Committee in Bengal and its conflict with the Council in 1770" deals with an interesting aspect of the early history of British administration in Bengal. The paper on 'Nawab Najmudowlah and the English' shows that "months before the English obtained the dewany from the Emperor of Delhi, they had started taking a hand in the revenue administration of Bengal and that the formal grant by Shah Alam on the 12th August, 1765, only legalised the existing position". These two papers constitute a really valuable contribution to modern Indian history. "A note on the personal relations of Warren Hastings and Sir Thomas Rumbold" analyses some hitherto unpublished letters written by the latter to the former and throws some light on the causes of their quarrel. "A peep into the Macartney papers in the Historical Museum, Satara" gives a brief account of some English manuscripts belonging to Lord Macartney and relating to the period of his Indian administration as well as his subsequent career. The author examined these papers at Satara, but they have now been transferred to the Deccan College Post-Graduate and Research Institute at Poona. "The Treaty of Mangalore" analyses the circumstances leading to that famous treaty between Tipu Sultan and the East India Company and vindicates the Madras Government against the charge of having concluded the Second Mysore War with unseemly haste and accepted terms disgraceful to the British. All the papers are based on a careful study of unpublished documents. There are a good index and some interesting illustrations.

A C BANERJEE

HISTORY OF ANCIENT INDIA, by Rama Shankar Tripathi, M.A., Ph.D., Professor of Ancient Indian History and Culture, Benares Hindu University. published by Nand Kishore & Brothers, Benares, 1942. Pages xxix + 555.

Dr Rama Shankar Tripathi is well known to students of Indian history as the author of *History of Kanauj to the Moslem Conquest* which shows his critical spirit and sound judgment. In the volume under review Dr Tripathi has given, in the lines of the late Dr. V. A. Smith's *Early History of India*, a compendious account of the political and institutional history of ancient India. The latest edition of Smith's work was published as early as 1924, and much fresh and valuable material for Indian history has since then accumulated. It is therefore a good sign that scholars have felt the necessity of bringing out up-to-date works of a similar type as that of the late Dr. Smith. Dr Tripathi's book will no doubt be welcomed by persons interested in ancient India, especially by students preparing for the degree examinations of Indian Universities.

The book under review is carefully prepared and is sure to be immensely interesting and useful to the general student of Hindu history and culture. It is gratifying to note that in the plan and preparation of the earlier chapters the author has followed more comprehensive works like the *Cambridge History of India*, vol. I. It must be said to his credit that he has always an eye on the cultural life of the period with which he deals.

Dr Tripathi has tried his best to make the work up-to-date. It is however almost improbable in these days to keep abreast with the gradually increasing literature on the history and culture of India published every month in different parts of the world, especially in the periodicals. By way of illustration it may be pointed out that the recent discovery of some records of the Vākātaka dynasty ruling from Basim (ancient Vatsagulma) has escaped the author's notice. It has been proved by recent researches that at the time of Pulakesin II the "province of Veṅgī" (p. 446) was certainly under the Viṣṇukundins and not under the Pallava king of Kāñcī. The author deals with the history of Kalinga and Odra (e.g. the account of the Eastern Gangas) in a section entitled 'Medieval Hindu Dynasties of Northern India.' The account of Kalinga and Odra, however, ought to have been placed in the section on Dakṣiṇāpatha. The history of some regions, e.g. the Andhra country (especially the history of the Eastern Cālukya dynasty) has been neglected. There are again some

suggestions (e.g. in the account of the Kadambas, Pallavas, Cālukyas of Bādāmi, etc.) which the author have accepted from works not quite up-to-date. Passages like "Brhatphalāyanas of Kudūra", "Viṣṇukundins of Lendulura" etc. are not quite satisfactory. Nevertheless these are not of great importance and do not detract from the value of Dr Tripathi's work. Considering the greatness of his task, the defects are rather few, and we have no doubt that the arduous author will try to avoid them in the future edition of the work into which, we hope, it will soon run.

D C SIRCAR

BUDDHA PŪRVA KĀ BHĀRATĪYA ITIHĀSA (Part I) by Rao Raja Dr Shyam Bihari Miśra, D Litt., and Rai Bahadur Pandit Sukadeva Bihari Miśra, B A., published by the Hindi Sāhitya Sammelan, Prayāg, 3rd ed., Samvat 1996, Price Rs 2/8/-.

Rao Raja Dr Shyam Bihari Miśra and his brother, Rai Bahadur Pandit Sukadeva Bihari Miśra, occupy a prominent place in the world of Hindi letters. Both of them are gifted and prolific writers, and it is hardly an exaggeration to say that Hindi literature owes much to their joint contributions on a variety of subjects under the *nom de plume* 'Miśra Bandhu'. The work under review deals with the history of India prior to the rise of Buddhism. It is a pioneer attempt in Hindi on a period that is obscure and beset with numerous difficulties and chronological uncertainties. The *Purāṇas* are doubtless a vast store-house of information for the early history of India, but, despite the labours of European Orientalists like Wilson and Patgiter and of a number of Indian scholars, specially Dr Ray Chaudhuri and Dr Pradhan, who have consistently stressed the importance of the Paurāṇic evidence in their works, there is no gainsaying that much still remains to be done before these mines of ancient wisdom and tradition may be considered to have yielded all their historical treasures. In the present Hindi work 'Miśra Bandhus' have, besides utilising other sources, systematically tapped the *Purāṇas* for giving us a connected account of pre-Buddhist India. They have carefully analysed the data, and their conclusions are not unoften at variance with those of their predecessors. Indeed, in respect of some dynastic lists and synchronisms "Miśra Bandhus" have broken altogether new ground. It is noteworthy that in unravelling the tangled webs of Pre-vedic history and culture the authors have not only

depended on the usual materials brought to light by the archæologists' spade but they have also made full use of the *Purāṇas*. Thus, they have tried to show the historicity of certain non-Aryan tribes that were so far regarded as belonging to the realm of mythology. "Miśra Bandhus" offer some novel suggestions on the "Manvantaras," they believe that the first five "Manvantaras" were pre-Vedic and pre-Aryan. One may or may not agree with these views, but they are certainly interesting and set forth skilfully. In tackling other topics also, like the problem of the Aryans and the chronological positions of the various ruling families and kings, as well as in depicting the cultural conditions of the times, the authors display a good deal of learning and a faculty of critical reasoning. The book is, on the whole, very well-written, and "Miśra Bandhus" deserve the congratulations of all students of history for the scholarly work they have produced in Hindi on a period that still continues to be a fruitful source of speculation and controversy.

RAMASHANKAR TRIPATHI



## Select Contents of Oriental Journals

**Adyar Library Bulletin**, vol. VI, pt. 3 (October 1942)

- P. K. CODE—*The Identification of Gōsvāmī Narasimhāśrama of Dara Shukoh's Sanskrit Letter with Brahmendra Sarasvatī of the Kāṇḍiā-candrodaya (Between 1628 and 1658)*

SERIAL PUBLICATIONS—The editing of several Sanskrit works continues

- K. MADHAVA KRISHNA SARMA—*The Āryabhaṭīyavyākhyā of Raghunātha-rāja—A rare and hitherto unknown work* The Adyar Library possesses the ms. of a valuable commentary written by Raghunātha about the close of the 16th century on the famous astronomical treatise of Āryabhaṭa
- H. G. NARAHARI—*A New Recension of the Mahānātaka* The *Mahānātakaśūktisudhānidhi* consisting of 519 verses is available in mss. The nucleus of the work seems to have been the well-known *Mahānātaka* or *Hanumannātaka* with a good number of verses dealing with the story of Rama added to it. Having no prose passages nor any stage directions, and being divided into Kāṇḍas instead of Acts the work has lost its appearance as a drama. Its author, patronised by King Deva-rāja II of Vijayanagar, belonged to the 15th century A.C.

**Calcutta Review**, November, 1942

- S. K. BANERJĪ—*Fuzz Fughluq as seen in his Monuments and Coins*

**Indian Culture**, vol. VIII, nos. 2 & 3

- H. C. RAY—*The Line of Kṛṣṇagupta* Kṛṣṇagupta and his descendant princes are mentioned in several epigraphs found in different places of Bihar. Arguments are put forward against the conclusion that the line of Kṛṣṇagupta is a "branch of the Imperial Gupta dynasty descended from the Mahārāja Gupta"
- H. G. NARAHARI—*The Meaning of Brahman and Ātman in the R̥gveda* An analysis of the different senses, in which the words Brahman and Ātman are used in the texts of the *R̥gveda*, shows that at times the Upaniṣadic conception of Brahman or Ātman is noticeable even in those old texts
- P. M. MODI—*Relation of Brahman and Jagat* The purpose of the paper is to show that the *Brahmasūtra* teaches the complete identity of

Brahman and the world,—the cause and the effect, even in respect of consciousness and Bliss, which are not perceivable in the objects of the material world. The theory of causation as propounded in the Sūtras has been, it is asserted, reflected more faithfully in the 'Suddhādvaita' commentary of Vallabhācārya than in other expositions of the Sūtras.

NANI MADHAB CHAUDHURI—*Mother-goddess Conception in the Vedic Literature*

P. K. ACHARYA—*Hindu Architecture and Sculpture*

BAJI NATH PURI—*The Kusānaputras*. The Kusānaputras mentioned in several epigraphic records are thought to have been the descendants of the Kusanas. A chronological history of the line is given here.

**Jain Antiquary** vol. VIII, no. 2 (December, 1942)

VASUDEVA S. AGRAWALA—*A fragmentary Sculpture of Neminātha in the Lucknow Museum*. In the Lucknow Museum is found a number of Jain images brought from the Devanmrita Stūpa of Kanakā Tīlā in Mithunā. They are of special value as containing inscribed images of Tirthankaras with various subsidiary gods and goddesses of the Jain pantheon and some Brāhmanical deities as their attendants. One of the images assigned to the Gupta period represents Tirthankara Neminātha with Baladeva serving him as one of his attendants.

PARISARVA BHATTACHARYA—*Nāyāṇas, Pratīnāyāṇas and Balabhadras*. This instalment of the paper deals with the Jain versions of the different episodes of the story of Rāma. They differ substantially from Valmīki's version.

KAMPAJI MISHRA—*Magic and Miracle in Jaina Literature*

A. N. UPADHYA—*Prakrit Studies: Their Latest Progress and Future*

**Journal of the Assam Research Society**, vol. IX, nos. 1 & 2  
(January & April, 1942)

S. C. GOSWAMI—*Land Grant to the Temple of Umānanda at Ganbatī by Badshah Ghazi Aurangzeb Salari Khan*. A document in Persian recording the grant of certain lands made by Emperor Aurangzeb to a Brāhmana manager of the temple of Umānanda has been published here. The document proves Aurangzeb's patronage extended to a religious institution of the Hindus.

K. R. MITRA—*Philosophic Aspect of the Assam Brājāvālī Literature*. The Brājāvālī works of the Bhakti school of Vaiṣṇavism in Assam show

that its exponent Śaṅkara Deva and his followers believed in the doctrine of strict monism of Vedānta as interpreted by Sankarācārya and found in some portions of the *Bhāgavata-purāṇa*.

- S. C. RAJHOWA.—*Ahom Kingship* Evidence is adduced to prove that there existed in medieval Assam a limited monarchy, and the Ahom kings were not at all absolute

**Journal of the Bihar and Orissa Research Society,**  
vol. XXVIII, pt 3 (September, 1942)

- A C PERUMALIL —*The India of the Early Greeks and Romans from the Time of Alexander's Invasion till the Fall of Alexandria (336 BC to 641 AD)* The writings of those who accompanied Alexander the Great to India, and those who came to the country after his invasion show that these Greek authors had a fairly accurate knowledge of the Geography of India and they knew also the varieties of Indian plants and animals, and the people and their different customs prevailing in the country before Christ. The accounts left by the Roman traders and philosophers who frequented the towns of India during the early centuries of the Christian era, when commercial relations were established between Rome and the eastern world, also show how well these authors knew the geographical position of India
- S. K. ROY — *Mineralogy and Mining in Ancient India* The writer of the article deals with the condition of mineralogy, mining and metallurgy in the different stages of Indian history from the pre-Vedic times, and things that as the knowledge of mineralogy is necessary for the science of medicine, the former science must have formed a subject of study in the ancient university of Taxila
- S. A. SHRE — *Kings of the Jaunpur Dynasty and their Comage*
- A. BANERJI-SASTRI — *Resemblance of Manichaeism to Buddhism* Translated into English from the original German of Lassen's *Indische Alterthumskunde*.
- GEOGE M. MORALS — *The Hamjamana of the Śilāhāra Records* The paper supports the view that the city of Hamjamana mentioned in different epigraphical records of the Śilāhāra kings was an 'administrative unit' of the Northern Śilāhāras. A village called Anjuna in the district of Baides in the Portuguese territory of Goa has been identified here with Hamjamana.

**Journal of the Numismatic Society of India,**  
vol. IV, pt. 1 (June 1942)

- A S. AITIKAR — *New Kings and interesting Coin-Types from Kauśāmbī* Notes on Some Pañcāla Coins. A Coin of Vaṅgapāla, a king of Kauśāmbī. A Coin of Madavika, a new king of People. Two Coins of Ajadatta, a new king in Central India. Some interesting Sātavāhana Coins. Some interesting Uninscribed Coins. Some interesting Medieval Coins. — The papers deal with coins belonging to the collection of Rai Braj Mohan Vyas Bahadur of Allahabad. They reveal the names of nine new kings ruling between the 2nd century B.C. and the 3rd century A.C. at Kauśāmbī. Four other new kings are also known from these numismatic records to have ruled in the Gangetic plain or Central India. Some new types of coins have also been found in this collection.
- J. M. UNVAIA — *Hepthalite Coins with Pahlavi Legends*
- V. S. AGRAWALA — *The Old Names of Sunet and Sudavapa*. Sunet, the hind place of a large number of coins and Sudavapa read on a class of coins are regarded respectively to have been Sunetra and Udvapa, two place names enumerated in the list of words in Pāṇini's *Gaṇapāṭha*.
- PARAMESWARAN GUPTA — *Identification of Agācha on Agiropa Coins*. The word Agācha is thought to be a Prakrit variation of the Sanskrit Agrieva which is conjectured to have been the name of a republic or a tribe.
- S. V. SOHONI — *A Note on Audumbara Temple Coins*. From the banner with a trident-battle-axe seen in front of the temple-like building on some of the copper coins belonging to the Audumbaras of the Panjab, the writer of the note draws the conclusion that the structure on the coins is a Śaiva shrine.
- C. R. SINGHAI — *A Hoard of 3877 Billon Coins of the Sultans of Delhi*. The big hoard of coins discovered by a ploughman at Triambak in Nasik contains coins of three rulers, viz. Balban, Alauddin Khilji, and Ghiyasuddin Tughlaq.

**Journal of Sri Venkatesvara Oriental Institute,**  
vol. III, no. (January-June, 1942)

- K. C. VARADACHARI. — *Śrī Kulaśekhara's Philosophy of Devotion*. Kulaśekhara, ruling in the 7th century over Madura and other principalities

in Southern India, was a great Ālvār. His *Perumal Tirumoli* containing 105 hymns in Tamil reveals the heart of a devotee trying to achieve union with God by mentally establishing some sort of relationship with Him. The treatise divided into ten sections speaks of the different kinds of attitudes taken by a *bhakta* in relation to his object of worship. Kulaśekhara lays great emphasis on the Devakī-Kṛṣṇa attitude and the Kauśalyā-Rāma attitude, extolling in this way the sentiment of Vatsalya more than that of Madhura.

—*Buddhist and Yoga Psychology* The purpose of the paper is to show the correspondences between the Buddhist and Yoga methods of attainment of ecstasy *dhyāna*, *pānāyāma* etc.

- N. AIYASWAMI SASTRI — *Asoka's Edicts and Sagga (Heaven)* It is argued in the paper that the references in the Asokan Edicts to the heavenly bliss as a reward of righteous living have not been influenced by the Vedic religion which offered the attainment of heaven as a result of the sacrificial rites. Asoka was a thorough Buddhist as his Edicts show, and fulfilled the duties of a Cakkivatti (Emperor) as assigned by Buddha.
- M. RAMAKRISHNA KAVI — *चातुपायम्* A rare treatise on the Nītiśāstra supposed to have been the source of the *Kautilya*, has been edited here.

**ibid**, vol. III, no. 2 (July-December, 1942)

- K. C. VARADACHARI — *The Philosophy of Religion of the Ālvārs* The Ālvārs or the Vīṣṇava saints of southern India have left hymns in Tamil containing religious and philosophical ideas that are found in the highest lore of the Bhāgavatas and the Pāñcarātris. The controversial points about the age of the Ālvāras are discussed in the paper, and all the ten saints are assigned to dates earlier than the 9th century A.C. The paper also presents an exposition of the religious thought of the first three Ālvāras, Poygai Bhūtattar and Pey as found expressed in the three hundred verses forming the three *Tiruvandādis* (of hundred verses each) composed by them.
- N. SUBRAHMANYA SASTRI — *Syllogistic Reasoning* A comparative study of Indian and European logic.
- T. K. GOPALASWAMI AYYANGAR — *Arc Karmendriyas accepted by Akṣapāda* According to the later advocates of the Nyāya system of philosophy,

only six organs can be regarded as *indriyas*, because the motor organs (*karmendriyas*) do not satisfy in their opinion the definition of an *indriya*. It has been shown in the paper that Akṣapāda, the exponent of the system, does not himself exclude the motor organs from the category of *indriyas*. It is argued that as Akṣapāda has not opposed the Vedāntin's theory in respect of the inclusion of the *karmendriyas* as *indriyas*, he must have been a 'Vedāntic-Logician'.

- D T TATACHARYA — *Theories of Sentence-significance*. The paper contains a discussion of the opinions of the different schools of thought as to how a sentence as a combination of words conveys an idea and contributes to our knowledge.
- N AIYASWAMI SASIRI — *Bhāmaha*. Bhāmaha is assigned a date earlier than that of Dandin. He is surmised to have been originally a Gauda having migrated afterwards to Kashmir. Bhāmaha seems to have followed, at least partially, the reforms introduced by Dharmāga in the field of logic and epistemology. So, it is possible that he belongs to the school of the Svātantrika Mādhyamikas of the Mahāyāna form of Buddhism. Many passages quoted in different works as sayings of Bhāmaha but not found in his *Kāvya-lankā* have been discussed regarding their authorship.
- T K V N SUDARSHANACHARYA — रसगङ्गाधरे कश्चन प्रघट्टः मूलव्याख्यानयोर्विरोध-परामर्शः. — It is an attempt at reconciliation between the apparent contradictions in the text of the *Rasagangādharā* and its commentary *Mammaprakāśa*.
- K B NIVANGHACHARYA — भगवद्गीतामहिमा — 'This is an appreciation of the excellence of the *Bhagavadgītā*'.
- P P SUBRAHMANYA SASIRI — अपर्यायद्वयविवेचितशिक्षाव्यानपद्धतिः. — Edited

Journal of the United Provinces Historical Society,  
vol. XV, pt. 1 (July, 1943)

- PRAYAG DAS — *Presidential Address of the Numismatic Society of India for 1941*.
- RADHA KUMUD MOOKERJEE — *Universities in Ancient India with Special Reference to Āyurvedic Studies*. A close personal relation subsisting between the teacher and the taught was a special feature of general education in ancient India. Organised activities for the promotion of learning as against individual efforts were noticeable in the institutions

that grew up for the prosecution of advanced studies at places like Nālandā, Vikramaśilā, Jagaddala, Odantapurī, Valabhī and Mithilā. Important details about the University of Nalandā as found in the accounts of the Chinese pilgrims are given in the paper, and various data are collected from the Āyurvedic texts like the *Suśrutasaṃhitā*, Pālī treatises like the *Mūlinda Pañho*, and the *Jātakas*, and several Buddhist canonical works, to discuss the methods of medical study obtaining in the centres of learning like Taxila, the condition of medical profession as a whole, the treatment of cases by medical practitioners, and the hospital arrangements.

KRISHNADASA—*A Kinnara-mithuna Terracotta Case from Rajghat, Benares*

S. K. BANERJĪ—*Ghiyasuddin Tughluq Shah as seen in his Monuments and Coins*. The coins, buildings, and military works, of Tughluq Shah indicate that his was a prosperous reign.

JANGIR SINGH—*Raja Todar Mal's Sons*. This is a brief account of the careers of Dharu or Govardhandhārī and Kalyān Das, the two sons of Todar Mal, the celebrated minister of Akbar.

RADHAKAMAL MUKHERJEE—*The Economic History of India 1600-1800*. The social stratification and the industries and markets are the main topics discussed in this instalment of the paper.

**Journal of the University of Bombay, vol. XI, pt. 1 (July, 1942).**

A. P. KARMAKAR—*The Vṛātyas in Ancient India*. Evidence has been adduced from the *Mahābhārata* and the *Purāṇas* to show that the Vṛātya cult mentioned in the *Atharvaveda* is non-Aryan in character. It was an institution developed among the indigenous peoples of India and was not confined to any one tribe or locality. "The early peoples of Mohenjo Daro, the Mahāsikas, the Colas, the Ambasthas and the Andhaka-Vṛṣṇis were styled as Vṛātyas." The Aryans started a parallel institution of *Cāturvarṇya*, and afterwards began to take the Vṛātyas into the Aryan fold by means of conversion as the Vṛātya-stomas indicate.

**Ibid. vol. XI, part 2 (September, 1942)**

H. D. VELENKAR—*Hymns to Indra by the Bharadvājas*. Thirty-one hymns of the 6th Maṇḍala of the *R̥gveda* addressed to Indra by the seers of the Bharadvāja family are translated into English and annotated.

- P. V. KANE.—*The Rājāsāstras of Bṛhaspati, Uśanas, Bhāradvāja and Viśālākṣa* The paper discusses the views of Bṛhaspati, Uśanas, Bhāradvāja and Viśālākṣa as can be known from the references and quotations found in the *Mahābhārata*, *Arthasāstra* and such other Sanskrit works. Bṛhaspati's work seems to have been a comprehensive treatise on Rājadharmā written in mixed prose and verse.
- G. V. DEVASIHALI—*Gaṅgārāma Jadhū* Four works,—the *Casaka*, a commentary on the *Tarkamṛta* of Jagadīśa, the *Dinakarīkbandana*, a dialectical treatise on the Mīmāṃsāśāstra, the *Naṭkā*, a commentary on Bhānuḍatta's *Rasatarāṅginī*, and the *Rasamīmāṃsā* with *Chāyā* are known to have been written by Gaṅgārāma during the period between the last decade of the 17th century and the middle of the 18th. Many well-known Sanskrit authors were related to him.
- P. K. GODE—*A Contemporary Manuscript of Bhānuji Dikṣita's Vyākhyā-sudhā* An incomplete ms. of the *Vyākhyā-sudhā*, Bhānuji Dikṣita's commentary on the *Amarakośa*, deposited in the Government Mss. Library at the Bhundarkar Oriental Research Institute contains a chronogram bearing the date of Samvat 1705 or A.C. 1649. The importance of the ms. lies in the fact that the transcript was executed during the life-time of the author. The colophon of the ms. reveals that Bhānuji's patron Kīrtisimha was a prince of the Baghela dynasty, ruling over the Mahidhara territory. Mr. Gode has identified Kīrtisimha with Iateh Singh, the founder of the Sohawal State in Baghelkhandā in Central India. Mahidhara, according to him, means the Mathar State.
- A. N. UPADHYA—*Padmaprabha and his Commentary on the Niyamasāra* This forms a critical study of Padmaprabha and his *Tatparyavṛtta*, a Sanskrit commentary on the Prakrit work *Niyamasāra* of Kundakunda, the celebrated Jaina author of important theological treatises. Padmaprabha flourished about the close of the 12th century.
- K. R. POIDAR—*Contemporary Life as Revealed in the Works of Bāṇa* In this instalment of the paper the subjects are dealt with under the following headings: People, their occupations, sports, etc., social intercourse, etiquette, sports, dress, etc., household, social and religious ceremonies, city life, village life, and forest life, learning, art and literature.



*I H Q*, Sept 1942, p 196,  
for Avadānacarita read Avadānakalpalatā

# WORKS

OF

DR NALAKSHA DUTT, M A, B L, P R S, PH D., D LITT.

OF THE CALCUTTA UNIVERSITY

1 EARLY HISTORY OF THE SPREAD OF BUDDHISM  
AND THE BUDDHIST SCHOOLS (Out of print)

2 ASPECTS OF MAHĀYĀNA BUDDHISM AND  
ITS RELATION TO HINAYĀNA with a foreword by Prof  
Louis de la Vallée Poussin Demy 8vo pp viii + 358 Price Rs 12/-

3 SUMANGALA VILĀSINI (Commentary on Digha  
Nikāya), Suttas Rs 2/-

4 PAÑC MITA  
Vol I Edited with critical  
pages xxxvi + 26  
Rs. 8/-

5 BODH ed for  
the first time  
University of  
bridge  
1/-

6 THE RLY  
BUDDHISM 2/-

7 GIL crit-  
ically edited  
cripts  
krit  
the

8 EAB 3vo  
pp viii + 340 5/.

9 GIL ūtra  
(part 1 Chs edi-  
tion based on n in  
English conte

[NB - The  
gracious permis  
Shri Hansingh  
4

बोर सेवा मन्दिर

पुस्तकालय

काल न०

लेखक Lau, Narendra Nath

शीर्षक The Indian Historical Quarterly

खण्ड Vol. XVIII क्रम संख्या

3625